1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX : CIVIL TERM : IA-5
2 3	In the Matter of a Proceeding under Article 70
4	Of the CPLR for a Writ of Habeas Corpus,
5	THE NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT, INC., on behalf of HAPPY,
6	Petitioner(s)
7	-against-
8	JAMES J. BREHENY, in his official capacity as Executive Vice President and General Director of Zoos and Aquariums of the
9	Wildlife Conservation Society and Director of the Bronx Zoo and WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY,
10	Defendant(s)
11	851 Grand Concourse
12	Bronx, New York 10451 January 31, 2020
13	BEFORE:
14	HONORABLE ALISON Y. TUITT,
15	Presiding Justice.
16	APPEARANCES:
17	NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT Attorneys for the Petitioner
18	99 27th Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11214
19	BY: SPENCER LO, ESQ. KEVIN SCHNEIDER, ESQ.
20	NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT
21	Attorneys for the Petitioner 5195 NW 112th Terrace
22	Coral Springs, Florida 33076 BY: STEVEN M. WISE, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	

1	LAW OFFICE OF ELIZABETH STEIN
2	Attorney for the Petitioner 5 Dunhill Road
3	New Hyde Park, New York 11040 BY: ELIZABETH STEIN, ESQ.
4	
5	PHILLIPS LYTLE, LLP Attorneys for the Respondents
6	One Canalside 125 Main Street
7	Buffalo, New York 14203-2887 BY: KENNETH A. MANNING, ESQ.
8	JOANNA J. CHEN, ESQ.
9	WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY
10	Attorneys for the Respondents 2300 Southern Boulevard Brony, New York 10460
11	Bronx, New York 10460 BY: CHRISTOPHER J. MCKENZIE, ESQ.
12	
13	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN, LLP
14	Attorneys for the Respondent - Special Counsel 3050 K Street NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20007
15	BY: JOSEPH WILSON, EQ.
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT: WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY
18	PATRICK R. THOMAS, PhD
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	SHONELL N. MABRY Senior Court Reporter
25	

SNN - Proceedings -1 2 3 4 5 COURT OFFICER: All rise, come to order. The Honorable Alison Y. Tuitt is presiding. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay, counsel, let's put our 8 appearances on the record. 9 MR. WISE: Steven Wise, Nonhuman Rights Project. MS. STEIN: Elizabeth Stein, Law Office of 10 11 Elizabeth Stein, 5 Dunhill Road, New Hyde Park 11040. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Kevin Schneider, for the 13 Nonhuman Rights Project. 14 MR. LO: Spencer Lo, Nonhuman Rights Project. 15 MR. MANNING: Phillips Lytle, by Kenneth Manning. 16 MS. CHEN: JoAnna Chen, Phillips Lytle. MR. McKENZIE: Christopher McKenzie, Wildlife 17 18 Conservation Society. 19 MR. THOMAS: Patrick Thomas, Wildlife Conservation 20 Society. MR. WILSON: Joseph Wilson, Kelley Drye. 21 22 THE COURT: Mr. Wise, are you ready to proceed? 23 MR. WISE: I am, your Honor. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 And the last time -- this is not the same reporter

so please bear with her. She has to catch up on our 1 2 arguments and our terminology. 3 But I remember the last time that we were here, 4 the last thing I have is that you were submitting was an 5 equality argument with respect to a Roma vs Evans? 6 MR. WISE: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And then you were going to go into 8 another point; am I correct? 9 MR. WISE: Yes, I am, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 So now we're going to start with a new point? 12 MR. WISE: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: That was the end of that argument? 14 MR. WISE: Yes. And I have invited the 15 stenographer that when I start speaking too fast, please 16 tell me to slow down. 17 THE COURT: Oh, she will. 18 MR. WISE: She promised. 19 THE COURT: Oh, she will. 20 MR. WISE: Okay. 21 So if I may begin, your Honor, handing up the 22 exhibit. It's the Petitioner's Exhibit 1, which is a 23 courtesy copy of the affidavits I'll be referring to in my 24 argument. 25 THE COURT: Okay, thank you so much.

1 (Whereupon, the referred to exhibit was handed to 2 the Court.) 3 THE COURT: All right, counsel, whenever you're 4 ready. 5 MR. WISE: Thank you, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: You may proceed. 7 MR. WISE: Your Honor, for the last two days I have 8 been addressing legal arguments and I think the Court has 9 heard just about all the legal arguments it needs, almost. What I'd like to do now is to discuss the issue of 10 11 the facts that are undermining the legal arguments. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. WISE: Now, in this case, all of the facts have 14 been -- are in front of the Court through affidavits. And 15 the courtesy copy has -- I think -- seven affidavits, 16 your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: And here's what they are: One is the 18 19 affidavit of James Breheny, which we have as Number 1. 20 THE COURT: Correct. 21 MR. WISE: The second is the supplemental affidavit 22 of James Breheny, that's Number 2. 23 THE COURT: Correct. 24 MR. WISE: The third is the affidavit of 25 Paul Calle. That's the third.

THE COURT: And who is Paul Calle? 1 MR. WISE: Paul Calle is -- I believe is the 2 3 veterinarian at the Bronx Zoo. 4 Am I correct? MR. THOMAS: Chief veterinarian. 5 MR. WISE: Chief veterinarian at the Bronx Zoo. 6 7 James Breheny is the Director of the Bronx Zoo. So that's 8 one, two, three -- actually, just to keep everything in 9 order or actually in some way, if you look at Number 9. THE COURT: Yes. 10 11 MR. WISE: That's Patrick Thomas, who is also an 12 affiant that was submitted by the Bronx Zoo. So those are 13 the --14 THE COURT: And he is what at the Bronx Zoo, 15 Mr. Wise? 16 MR. WISE: He's the vice-president in charge of 17 something or other at the Bronx Zoo. 18 MR. McKENZIE: He can say so himself. 19 MR. THOMAS: I am the Vice President and 20 General Curator for all of our parks and the Associate Director of the Bronx Zoo. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 23 MR. WISE: I believe those are the one, two, three, 24 four affidavits. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WISE: The affidavits now that were submitted 1 2 by the Petitioner are Number 4, which is the affidavit of 3 Joyce Poole, P-O-L-L-E. She is an expert in elephant 4 cognition and other elephant behavior. Number 5 is the supplemental affidavit of Joyce Poole. Number 6 is the 5 6 second supplemental affidavit of Joyce Poole. And then 7 Number 8 is a case -- it's a superior court case from 8 California. 9 THE COURT: One second. Seven is what? 10 11 MR. WISE: Seven is a preliminary injunction 12 motion. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 It's a copy of the motion papers? 15 MR. WISE: I actually don't know what's in there. 16 MR. LO: Yes, it's a copy of the entire motion. 17 MR. WISE: Of the entire motion, yes, because 18 that's not part of my argument right now. 19 THE COURT: It's not? 20 MR. WISE: Not right now. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. WISE: It may be a preliminary injunction when 23 it comes up it will be but it is not now. 24 THE COURT: All right, now this case was before 25 whom? Counsel?

1	MR. LO: Justice Tracy Bannister.
2	THE COURT: And was that New York County?
3	MR. LO: Orleans County.
4	THE COURT: Orleans?
5	MR. WISE: That's where we began the case,
6	your Honor.
7	THE COURT: And is that in New York?
8	MR. LO: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Orleans County.
10	And what department? Do you know where it is?
11	MR. LO: That was in the Fourth Department.
12	MR. WISE: Fourth Department.
13	MR. MANNING: Fourth Department.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. WISE: Number 8 is Leider versus Lewis, which
16	is a superior court case from Los Angeles County.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. WISE: And I think did we hand in all of
19	those?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. WISE: Judge, would you like me to now simply
22	refer to them as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or would you like me each
23	time I refer to them, to state who's whose?
24	THE COURT: You can just state who the affiant is.
25	MR. WISE: I think I'll do that.

THE COURT: Yes. 1 2 MR. WISE: So I don't -- in case I make a mistake. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. WISE: Okay. Thank you very much, your Honor. So with all of the facts --5 6 THE COURT: I'm listening. 7 (Pause.) 8 MR. MANNING: If I may make one clarification, 9 these are all affidavits that were previously filed with the Court? 10 11 MR. WISE: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay, yes. Thank you. 13 MR. WISE: Yes, they are. 14 THE COURT: Okay, you may continue. 15 MR. WISE: Now, your Honor, I want to have -- to 16 take a close look at the affidavits. The -- you know, we're 17 going to argue that the Respondent's affidavits should be essentially disregarded for several reasons. 18 19 One of them is that none of the affidavits which 20 would be both affidavits of James Breheny, the affidavit of 21 Paul Calle and the affidavit of Patrick Thomas, none of them 22 are made on personal knowledge. And it's not -- I'm not 23 talking about leaving out the formal part saying I hereby 24 make this affidavit out of personal knowledge. Instead if 25 you look within the affidavits themselves, it's clear that

1 they're not made on personal knowledge. 2 What knowledge they are made on is not clear. And 3 that's going to be one of the issues that I raised that 4 whenever a fact is setforth or an opinion is setforth, 5 there's no predicate for it. All of a sudden it happens. 6 There's not even a predicate of saying I know this, I saw 7 it. We have no idea of where this information came from. 8 The second thing is to the extent and -- it's not 9 clear to me that these affidavits are made -- are supposed 10 to be expert affidavits but to the extent that they are 11 intended to be expert affidavits, we'll argue that none of 12 the three are actually experts. 13 So let me begin with James Breheny. 14 THE COURT: Breheny? 15 MR. WISE: Breheny, yes. I want to look at the 16 comparative expert qualifications of the three affiants that 17 my brother submitted and then Dr. Poole. 18 Now, James Breheny and I -- that would be the 19 affidavit of James Breheny, which is -- which are -- is our 20 Number 1. So in Paragraph Number 1, he sets out his 21 qualifications. He says he's the director of the Bronx Zoo 22 though he doesn't say what he does as director of the 23 Bronx Zoo. He said he has a B.S. in Biology, from 24 Manhattan College and an M.S. in Biology, from Fordham. 25 (Pause.)

1 THE COURT: Go ahead, I'm sorry. 2 MR. WISE: So he says he has a B.S. in Biology and 3 an M.S. in Biology but he doesn't say what he studied. We 4 have no idea what it means. 5 It's like your Honor I have a B.S. in Chemistry 6 but I would never think of holding myself out as an expert 7 in every aspect of chemistry. Then he says he's a staff 8 member of the World Conservation Society for 37 years but he 9 never tells us in what capacity he was a staff member, he 10 doesn't tell us what he did, he doesn't say what position he 11 held. We have no idea. 12 And then he says he taught as an adjunct professor 13 at Manhattan College for 17 years. I don't know, did he 14 teach calculus? Did he teach ballroom dancing? Did he 15 teach -- we have no idea what he taught as an adjunct 16 professor at Manhattan College. 17 But I think one of the most important things is 18 that he has nothing whatsoever to do with elephants. There's 19 no evidence at all that James Breheny knows anything about 20 elephants. 21 And in fact, in the substance of when he sometimes 22 offers an opinion, it becomes clear that he actually doesn't 23 know anything about elephants. 24 In paragraph -- in his second exhibit which is the 25 supplemental affidavit of James Breheny, which is your

snn, – Proceedings –

1 Number 2. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. WISE: He then adds that he's Chairman of the 4 Board of Directors of the AZA and he serves as the Board 5 liaison to the AZA Accreditation Commission. He doesn't say what that means to be chair of the board of directors or as 6 7 being the board liaison. 8 And, again, he doesn't say anything about he will 9 fronts. In fact, if you -- not only does he never say that 10 he's ever seen an elephant in his entire life, he never even 11 says that he's ever seen Happy, that he has any personal 12 evidence of Happy at all. None. He knows nothing about 13 elephants, he knows nothing about Happy. 14 And as I then point out, sometimes he'll mention 15 Happy but we have no idea how he knows what he knows or what 16 he says he knows. 17 Now, let's then move to the second affidavit, 18 which is the affidavit of Paul Calle. Now, Paul Calle, 19 that's our exhibit -- let's see, that's your Number 3. He 20 says that he joined the Wild Life Conservation Society in 21 1989 and serves as it's Vice President for Health Programs, 22 chief veterinarian and Director of Zoological Health Program 23 based at the Bronx Zoo. His responsibilities include 24 managing the Clinical, Pathology and Aquatic 25 Health Departments for WCS, Bronx Central Park, Queens and

Prospect Park Zoo and the New York Aquarium. And he has a
 shared oversight of the Wildlife Conservation Society of
 Wildlife Health Program and he's chair of a
 Wildlife Conservation Society Institutional Animal Care and
 Use Committee.

6 Note there is no mention that he knows anything 7 about elephants. He seems to be the chief veterinarian for 8 what James Breheny later says are thousands of animals at 9 the Bronx Zoo. He also says in addition to his 10 administrative responsibilities and medical surgical care, 11 he's participated in various other projects. And the only 12 time elephant is ever mentioned is he says he participated 13 in the Management and Research Priorities of Tuberculosis 14 for Elephants in Human Care.

15 He says that he's worked with both African and 16 Asian elephants. That's the only thing he says he does. We 17 have no idea in what capacity he's worked for them. He 18 appears to be, I think the most fair conclusion that you 19 could draw is that he is a veterinarian and he's involved in 20 medical and surgical care of the animals. Now, whether he's 21 actually done medical and surgical care of elephants, I 22 don't know but it actually doesn't matter because the 23 medical and surgical care of Happy is not part of this case. 24 So that is buttressed by his Paragraph 3 where he

says he's got a Bachelor of Arts from the University of

25

Pennsylvania School of Arts. He's a veterinarian medical 1 2 degree from the Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine, 3 he has an internship in small animal medicine and surgery, 4 which I think we can say unless we are talking about a 5 newborn elephant it's very unlikely that a small animal 6 internship had anything whatsoever to do with elephants and 7 in zoological medicine and surgery at the San Diego Zoo. We 8 have no idea whether he's ever saw elephants. And he's been 9 and adjunct professor of Wildlife and Conservation Medicine 10 at Cornell University.

So it does not -- there is no specific -- no specific statement he's ever made to his qualifications that shows that he knows anything about elephants except perhaps, perhaps how to treat them medically or how to operate on them, neither of which have anything whatsoever to do with this case.

17 And one of the reasons we're taking about this is 18 my brother has gone -- has come to the Court and several 19 times says Happy is happy. Well, this entire talk is going 20 to show that Happy is brutally unhappy and that there's no 21 evidence, there's no evidence whatsoever that Happy is a 22 Happy elephant or is being cared for with respect to her 23 social, her mental, her emotional, her autonomy. All the 24 things that a writ of habeas corpus would apply to as 25 opposed to medical or surgical care.

The next affidavit is by Patrick Thomas and that 1 2 is the affidavit of Patrick Thomas which is Number 9 on the 3 courtesy list that we gave you. 4 Now, Patrick Thomas says that he served as Vice President and General Curator of the 5 6 Wildlife Conservation Society and he's Associate Director of 7 the Bronx Zoo. His responsibilities include overseeing the 8 management of the animals in all of the zoos, all of the 9 zoos. And that's -- that I assume is the same thing that 10 Dr. Calle was talking about. He's not only the chief 11 veterinarian for the Bronx Zoo, he's chief veterinarian for 12 all the zoos and all the -- apparently all of the aquariums 13 in New York. 14 The idea that somehow he would have any idea about 15 one -- the one particular non-human animal that is the 16 subject of this case, Happy, seems rather farfetched. He 17 treats thousands and thousands of animals or we don't know 18 if he treats them, he supervises the treatment. In fact, he 19 never actually says that he treats any animals at any of the 20 zoos. 21 He's the suit, he's the administrator who has 22 every -- who supervises the treatment apparently of other 23 veterinarians. 24 And he also says -- now we're back to

Patrick Thomas and here's the affidavit of Patrick Thomas.

25

1 He says he currently serves as a member of the AZA Safety 2 Committee, whatever that is. A member of the AZA Wildlife 3 Conservation and Management Committee, whatever that is. And 4 he's the institutional representative for the AZA Elephant 5 Taxon Advisory Group and Species Survival Plan, whatever 6 that is. He doesn't say what he does. He doesn't say what 7 his duties are. And he's a board member of the 8 International Union for Conservation of Nature's 9 Conservation Planning Specialist Group Global Conservation 10 Network, whatever that is. 11 Now, in Paragraph 3 he says he received a 12 Bachelor of Science Degree in Ecology from Ramapo College, 13 Masters of Art Degree in Animal Behavior from 14 Goddard College and Ph.D. in Biology from 15 Fordham University. 16 Again, biology is just the study of living beings. 17 You can get a degree of biology and just study plants or you can study mice. There's absolutely no evidence in 18 19 Patrick Thomas's affidavit, certainly not in his 20 qualifications and you'll see in his entire affidavit, that 21 he knows anything whatsoever about elephants much less that 22 he knows anything about Happy or is ever even met Happy. 23 THE COURT: But doesn't he also state that the zoo, 24 the Bronx Zoo has complied with all of the standards from 25 the AZA and as such that Happy meets all of the -- their

compliance with all of the standards? 1 2 MR. WISE: Oh, which paragraph are we talking 3 about, your Honor? 4 THE COURT: Six. 5 MR. WISE: Well, it actually doesn't say that they 6 complied with it. 7 First of all, it wouldn't matter if they complied 8 with it because as I am about to show you that Dr. Poole has 9 spent many, many years studying the AZA requirements and she 10 says -- in fact and some of her written articles on that 11 show that they're completely substandard, they don't 12 actually protect non-human animals. And the AZA standards 13 themselves, which the court can look at, don't have anything 14 to do with how you are supposed to recognize the emotional, 15 the social aspects of it, the mental aspect, protect the 16 autonomy, it's elf determination. The AZA, the zoos don't 17 care about this. That's not their province. THE COURT: Well, let me ask this: Is there 18 19 another standard by which Dr. Poole says that we should 20 analyze animal behavior? 21 MR. WISE: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Is that an accredited standard? 23 MR. WISE: No, it's -- there is no accreditation 24 standard with respect to the issue that we are talking 25 about.

1 THE COURT: There is none? 2 MR. WISE: There's no standard for that. There 3 might be some vague thing in the AZA standard about you're 4 supposed to treat them in some nice way. But I'm sure my 5 brother can point out the exact words but no. And as 6 Dr. Poole will -- does point out, there's nothing in the AZA 7 standard that says you can't keep an elephant for 40 years 8 on one acre of land, which is as Dr. Poole as I will show 9 you, which is terribly detrimental to non-human animal, 10 imprisoning an animal like an elephant on one acre is simply terrible. 11 THE COURT: Well, let me just say this, is there --12 13 you said there's no other standard by which the animals are 14 assessed or the zoos are assessed to be in compliance with, 15 with respect to the treatment of the animals? 16 MR. WISE: Well, if we're talking about and which 17 they do -- which, you know, we don't have any reason to 18 rebut that, she says -- they say for example that the 19 elephants, their trunk is washed, they get medical care, 20 they take their blood, they make sure they give them their 21 three square meals. That's not -- that doesn't have anything 22 to do with the habeas corpus. That's what happens like when 23 you're running a prison hospital, you don't want your 24 prisoner to die. They don't want the elephant to die. They They 25 make sure that if they have a disease they treat them.

- Proceedings -SNN

make sure if they have a medical problem, if they need 1 2 surgery they treat them. 3 But what they don't do is deal with the issues 4 that are the subject of a writ of habeas corpus. And 5 that's -- the issue of that is how do you allow them to live

their autonomous lives, how do you deal with the fact that 6 7 they're self determined, how do you deal with the fact that 8 elephant if she's in the wild is going to constantly move. 9 In fact, I think one of the things that Dr. Poole says is 10 that -- I think it's in Dr. Poole's supplemental affidavit 11 which is at Paragraph 4, I sure hope I have my paragraphs 12 right but I think I do.

13

14

15

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WISE: Let me see.

So Dr. Poole's supplemental affidavit, 16 Paragraph 4 -- I do have it right -- so she says over 17 millions of years elephants have roamed the earth as 18 intelligent and social animals capable of planning, 19 negotiating and engaging in collective decision making. 20 Active more than 20 hours a day, elephants may move many 21 miles across landscapes to locate resources to maintain 22 their large bodies, to connect with friends and to search 23 for mates.

24 Elephants have evolved to move. Holding them 25 captive and confined prevents them from engaging in normal

autonomous behavior and can result in the development of 1 2 arthritis, osteoarthritis, osteomyelitis, boredom and 3 stereotypical behavior. Which by the way Dr. Poole 4 recognizes and has diagnosed stereotypical behavior in the 5 videos which I'll get to that she's seen Happy. Held in 6 isolation, which is exactly what Happy is. Happy is held by 7 herself, she can smell another elephant, she can hear 8 another elephant. She might sometimes be able to stick her 9 trunk near another elephant but she needs to live with an 10 elephant. Plus living with one elephant, that's like me 11 having have to live just with my wife. What a nightmare. 12 THE COURT: Well, I don't want to say anything but 13 I -- perhaps maybe you might get in trouble but not me 14 because I never said that living with your wife --15 MR. WISE: Let me say just living with one elephant 16 is not how elephants live. And she says held in isolation --17 and Happy is in isolation -- elephants become bored, depressed, aggressive, catatonic and fail to thrive. And 18 19 that human care givers are no substitute for the numerous 20 complex social relationships and the rich, gestural and 21 vocal communication exchanges that occur between free living 22 elephants.

THE COURT: Well, isn't this a recent phenomenon that she's living by herself? She had the company of other elephants before.

1 MR. WISE: Happy, as you'll see in the affidavits, 2 at the most Happy has lived with one other elephant. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MR. WISE: And she lived with that twice --5 THE COURT: The other elephant that got killed, right? 6 7 MR. WISE: Right. The other two, Maxine and Patty 8 killed her companion. And ever since that happened, that 9 was some years ago, she has then lived by herself. 10 THE COURT: And that's how long she's been by 11 herself? 12 MR. WISE: How many? 13 MR. LO: Fourteen years. 14 MR. WISE: Fourteen years. 15 THE COURT: Fourteen out of the 30? 16 MR. WISE: Out of the 40. 17 THE COURT: Forty. 18 MR. WISE: And even when she was not living by 19 herself, she was living with one elephant. Elephants are 20 like human beings -- I just joking about my wife -- just 21 elephants are made to live in herds of elephants with 22 mothers, with aunts, uncles, with children. That's how 23 elephants live. They -- they -- and Dr. Poole will have more 24 to say about that. 25 THE COURT: But Happy has never lived that life.

1	MR. WISE: She's never lived that life.
2	THE COURT: She's never.
3	MR. WISE: She was kidnapped from I believe
4	Thailand when she was small.
5	THE COURT: Right, four, I believe.
6	MR. WISE: Say again?
7	THE COURT: Four, I believe.
8	MR. WISE: No, no, she was less than four years
9	old, I believe. She was younger than four years old and if
10	I'm wrong then I'm wrong.
11	THE COURT: So she's never lived in the environment
12	that Dr. Poole is talking about.
13	MR. WISE: She never has and we'll get to that.
14	And Dr. Poole addresses that and the meaning of that. And
15	you will see that she says no matter how awful the
16	elephant how terrible their life has been and she sets
17	out that the history when those elephants are moved to a
18	sanctuary they just blossom. They blossom into normal
19	elephants. And Dr. Poole will explain that in detail.
20	So now let's look at Dr. Poole's affidavit.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. WISE: So her affidavit, let's look at her
23	exhibit number which is.
24	THE COURT: Four.
25	MR. WISE: Dr. Poole. Dr. Poole.

1 THE COURT: Four. 2 MR. WISE: Number 4, thank you, your honor. 3 So if you look at Dr. Poole --4 THE COURT: She's from --5 MR. WISE: We have like three numbering systems 6 going on here and I have to make sure that I have it all 7 correct. Here we are -- here we go almost. 8 (Whereupon, a brief pause was held in the 9 proceedings.) 10 MR. WISE: Okay, Dr. Poole. 11 Dr. Poole graduated with a Bachelor of Arts with 12 high honors Biological Science from Smith College. She 13 received a Ph.D. from the university of --14 Cambridge University in England, in 1982 in -- from the Subdepartment For Animal Behavior. She completed a post 15 16 doctoral research fellowship at Princeton University. And 17 she runs an elephant behavior and conservation projects in 18 Kenya and in Mozambique, that's Number 1. 19 Number 3, she says, I've studied wild elephants in 20 Africa and worked toward their conservation and welfare for 21 more than 40 years. 22 She doesn't deal with thousands of different 23 species of animal. She focused 40 years of her life just on 24 elephants. And her research interests are focused on social 25 and reproductive behavior and acoustic and gestural

communication, cognitive science, decision making and
 conservation.

3 She's co-chair of an organization called 4 Elephants, which aims to aspire wonder and intelligence, 5 complexity and voices of elephants. And they advanced the 6 study of elephant cognition, communication, of social 7 behavior. They promote the ethical sound and ethical 8 management and care of elephants through research, 9 conservation ethicacy and the sharing of knowledge.

10 And then Paragraph 4, she says in addition to 11 co-directing Elephant Voices she's worked conducting 12 research for a number of organizations. She was the 13 research director of the Amboseli Elephant Research Project 14 from 2002 to 2007 where she oversaw the monitoring of 15 elephants and the research. I won't read -- she lists all of 16 the research projects that she's been involved with. She 17 says that she's conducted field work, so she's not sitting 18 in an office like James Breheny. She's out doing field work 19 with the elephant. She's actually working with the elephants 20 day after day, year after year, decade after decade.

As part of her scientific research in multiple sites in multiple countries including in Mozambique, in Kenya, in Sri Lanka where she specifically studied Asian elephants. She's involved in the study of elephant communication, cognition and social behavior. She studies

elephant vocal and olfactory communication. And, again, I 1 2 won't -- she's been in Uganda and I won't -- the Court can 3 read all of the rest of the research that she's done. 4 THE COURT: Yes, I can. 5 MR. WISE: She's also -- on Paragraph 6, she talks 6 about the awards that she's gotten specifically for her 7 research on elephants. 8 In eight, she says that she has written two 9 books -- Paragraph 8 -- she's written two books about her 10 work with elephants. Not neither James Breheny or Dr. Calle 11 or Dr. Thomas, none of them have written any books. None of 12 them as in at least none that they bothered to tell us 13 about. 14 In Paragraph 9, she says that she's published 28 15 peer reviewed scientific articles -- these are peer reviewed 16 ones -- about her work with elephants. She also -- and they 17 deal with, you know, do elephants show empathy, cognition, 18 leadership in elephants. You can see there's a wide variety 19 of characteristics of elephants that she has written about. 20 She's also published chapters in several peer related books 21 about elephants, based upon her work. That's Number 10. 22 Number 11, that her scientific research has been 23 in publishing in several peer review symposiums of which she 24 gave papers. Paragraph 12, she talks about technical reports 25 about elephants that she has publish.

THE COURT: But most of these are African 1 2 elephants, right? 3 MR. WISE: Most are African but also --4 THE COURT: She did that one with Sri Lanka. MR. WISE: She did work in Sri Lanka with 5 6 elephants, yes. And also in her affidavit she notes that 7 generally what she says about African elephants also will 8 hold for Asian elephants. 9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: In Paragraph 14, she talks about being, 10 11 you know, where she's been a speaker. 12 THE COURT: I can read the affidavit. You don't 13 have to go on. 14 MR. WISE: Okay. 15 As much as I would enjoy going through each word 16 of her -- of her -- I won't bore the court. I think it's --17 if I can also point to the Los Angeles case. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MR. WISE: I just wanted to point to page 17. 20 THE COURT: Affidavit number -- that's 21 Leider versus Lewis, which is a California superior court 22 case. 23 THE COURT: Right. 24 MR. WISE: I have the whole case in so you can see 25 it. It's a claim that the elephants are not being treated

snn. – Proceedings –

1 properly at the Los Angeles Zoo. 2 THE COURT: Well, no, let me just give her how they have it listed as PC375234. I guess that's how they refer 3 4 to it and I have the whole case here. 5 (Pause.) 6 THE COURT: This was a motion also, correct? 7 MR. WISE: It was, your Honor? Say it again. 8 THE COURT: A motion. 9 MR. WISE: This case here was --10 THE COURT: No, the California case. 11 MR. WISE: It was a trial. THE COURT: Okay, rulings on submitted court file. 12 13 MR. WISE: Yes. 14 And the reason I point to page 17 is that everyone 15 who testified at the trial, as the judge notes, the most 16 credible testimony was the testimony of Dr. Joyce Poole, who 17 has advanced degrees in elephant behavior from University of Cambridge and Princeton. And who has become 18 19 one of the world's leading experts in elephant behavior. 20 Dr. Poole has studied elephants since 1975, essentially 21 pioneered the study of the Social Organization of African 22 Elephants. 23 And also mentions on the following page -- well, 24 she mentions that she spent over a hundred thousand hours in 25 the while observing elephants and their behavior.

1	
1	THE COURT: Slow down, counsel.
2	MR. WISE: Say again, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Slow down for my Reporter.
4	MR. WISE: I'm sorry, yes, I will.
5	So she spent over a hundred thousand hours in the
6	wild observing and analyzing elephants and their behavior.
7	Although most of her experience has been with African
8	elephants rather than Asian elephants, she did initiate an
9	elephant an Asian elephant conservation project and
10	behavior study in Sri Lanka, in 2008.
11	THE COURT: Yes, yes.
12	MR. WISE: So she is this judge believed based
13	on the same things you're looking at that she's one of the
14	world's leading experts in elephant behavior and a pioneer
15	on the social organization at least of African elephants.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. WISE: Dr. Poole is a true expert. When you
18	compare Dr. Poole's qualifications to James Breheny, to
19	Dr. Calle, to Dr. Thomas who never even mentioned ever
20	seeing an elephant or much less Happy, you can understand
21	that when there is an opinion to be made Dr. Poole's
22	opinions are worth something as an expert.
23	Mr. Breheny, Dr. Calle and Dr. Thomas to the
24	extent that they're talking about elephants, they're just
25	simply not qualified ever to give an opinion about either

1 elephants or about Happy. 2 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if their 3 qualifications are the same as Dr. Poole's but I don't know 4 if you can just say that I need to disregard the whole 5 affidavit just based on what you believe that their 6 qualifications show. 7 But you can tell me that Dr. Poole's 8 qualifications trump those of Dr. Breheny. 9 MR. WISE: Oh, well I actually think I'm arguing 10 more than that. I am arguing that everything -- everything I 11 know about James Breheny or Dr. Calle or Dr. Thomas comes 12 from their affidavits. 13 THE COURT: Correct. 14 MR. WISE: None of them give any reason for 15 thinking that they know anything about elephants. None of 16 them give any reason for thinking that they know anything 17 about Happy or have even met Happy or know Happy or even met 18 an elephant or know an elephant. 19 THE COURT: Well, Happy is in their custody and has 20 been in their custody for many, many, many years. 21 MR. WISE: Well, it's been in the custody of the 22 world conservation -- of the Wildlife Conservation Society. 23 THE COURT: And that's what -- they are their 24 representative, correct? 25 MR. WISE: Yes, but that doesn't mean that they

1 know anything about elephants or know anything about Happy. 2 And Mr. Breheny notes that there are thousands of 3 animals, even in the Bronx Zoo. 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. WISE: Dr. Calle is also working with the aquatic animals, he's working with four other zoos as well. 6 7 There's nothing that -- it would seem to me, logical at 8 least, that if any of them had actually met Happy they 9 might've wanted to say I've met Happy. 10 Or if any of them ever said we've worked with 11 these elephants or we've worked with elephants, they 12 would've said we've worked with elephants, we've worked with 13 Happy. They did not say that. 14 Now this raises another issue, which Dr. Poole 15 notes at her -- at Paragraph 4 of her affidavit, I 16 believe -- yes, I'm sorry, Paragraph 4 of her second 17 supplemental affidavit which is Number 6 for you. 18 THE COURT: Correct. Okay. 19 MR. WISE: So she says, she notes that the wildlife 20 conservation society is recognized for its outstanding 21 conservation and research in wild elephants in Africa and 22 Asia. And it is. 23 And she says quote it's worth noting that none of 24 these elephant scientists have contributed affidavits in 25 support of the Bronx Zoo's desire to continue to hold Happy

captive rather than to release her to an elephant sanctuary where she would have a much larger space to roam and companions.

4 In other words, the Wildlife Conservation Society, 5 they have elephant experts on their staff. These elephants 6 experts are highly -- they're reputable, they're respected. 7 Not a single one -- there's not a single person who actually 8 knows anything about elephants -- and they have them on 9 their staff -- has submitted an affidavit that says anything 10 about elephants, that says anything about Happy or that 11 would dare to venture the opinion that it would be better 12 for Happy, to keep her imprisoned on a one acre piece of 13 land in New York, rather than to send her to a 2600 acre 14 sanctuary.

15 I think the Court can fairly imply that the reason 16 that happened is that none of them would want to do that 17 because they don't think it's true.

18 THE COURT: Well, I'm just looking at the affidavit 19 and this time this is my first glance at it but perhaps you 20 can tell me if Dr. Poole had the opportunity to examine 21 Happy personally.

22 MR. WISE: Dr. Poole has never examined Happy but 23 she's seen videos of Happy and she talks about what she sees 24 on the videos.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

25

So she's only had a video presentation of Happy? 1 2 MR. WISE: Yes, which puts her one up on the -- on 3 the others who never even said they have seen her. 4 THE COURT: Who have not stated that they have even 5 seen Happy before. MR. WISE: Right, thank you. 6 7 THE COURT: Right, continue. 8 MR. WISE: Thank you, your Honor. 9 Now, despite that fact of never saying that 10 they've seen Happy or know anything about elephants, 11 Mr. Breheny at his supplemental affidavit which is number --12 which is your Number 2 at Paragraph 7 says that elephants --13 and obviously he's talking -- he's trying to rebut what 14 Joyce Poole says who is so far more qualified than he is to 15 speak about elephants -- he says elephants who've lived in 16 zoos for long periods of time are significantly different 17 from elephants in the wild and the characteristics of one cannot be attributed to the other. 18 19 He doesn't give us any -- he doesn't cite any kind 20 of -- any article, any source for that. He's not educated 21 in it. He's not an expert but that's his totally untutored 22 opinion. 23 Dr. Poole says quote and this is at her second 24 supplemental affidavit which is --25 THE COURT: Well, let me just say that --

1	MR. WISE: Paragraph 7.
2	THE COURT: Wait one second.
3	MR. WISE: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Reading further
5	MR. WISE: Yes.
6	THE COURT: and like I said this is the first
7	time these affidavits have been submitted to the Court but
8	it says, in contrast the Bronx Zoo employees including
9	myself that's talking about Dr. Breheny have been
10	protecting Happy's interest and caring for her well-being
11	knowing her as an individual for over 40 years.
12	MR. WISE: Well, I'm not sure what does that
13	mean? It's just simply boiler plate language.
14	THE COURT: Well, you said he never had any contact
15	with Happy or knowing Happy individually but I guess that
16	paragraph says that he has. I mean it may be it was
17	inartfully drafted, the affidavit, but I think the
18	MR. WISE: Oh, no I think it was artfully drafted
19	because it's somehow made look, if he had actually
20	personally met Happy he would say, I have met Happy on a
21	thousand occasions, one occasion, three occasions. He never
22	said that. It's a boiler plate thing saying it's not just
23	him, it's wait, I have to find it again here.
24	(Pause.)
25	MR. WISE: The Bronx Zoo employees. What, the

person in the accounting office, the public relations 1 2 person, the person who takes my ticket? All of them? All of 3 the Bronx Zoo employees? 4 THE COURT: Well, I think he says in further detail of Patrick R. Thomas and Paul Calle. 5 6 MR. WISE: Yes. 7 THE COURT: The vets. 8 MR. WISE: Have done what? They haven't done 9 anything. THE COURT: Well, they said they guarantee the 10 well-being of Happy and they care for her. 11 12 MR. WISE: Well, they say they -- they say -- well, 13 he's saying -- he's not giving his own opinion, he's saying 14 it's detailed in their affidavit. 15 THE COURT: Correct. 16 MR. WISE: So we'll get to their affidavits to see 17 what they say. 18 THE COURT: Well, he's the head of a large 19 institution. He can't -- he has to rely on his employees to 20 a certain extent to do the daily activities of individual 21 animals. 22 MR. WISE: Well, that's one of the problems I have 23 with this affidavit is that an affidavit is supposed to be 24 made on his personal knowledge. He doesn't have any 25 personal knowledge.

And I think here he's agreeing. Well, he's 1 2 saying -- he's not saying I have any personal knowledge, 3 he's saying as detailed in the other affidavits. 4 THE COURT: Correct. MR. WISE: And I would say the other affidavits 5 6 don't really help him much as we go over those affidavits, 7 too. 8 THE COURT: We went over those. 9 MR. WISE: Oh, just their qualifications but --THE COURT: But I think we've looked at --10 11 sufficiently looked at those on the record. I can take a 12 further review of them because I have them in my possession 13 now. 14 MR. WISE: Okay. 15 So when -- so the way that Dr. Poole then would 16 respond to what James Breheny said at Paragraph 7 of the 17 supplemental affidavit, she says coming from the director 18 and that's -- that's paragraph -- I think at Paragraph 7 and 19 Paragraph 11. Let me make sure that I have that right. 20 (Pause.) THE COURT: Paragraph 7 of Dr. Poole's affidavit? 21 22 MR. WISE: Of Dr. Poole's second supplemental 23 affidavit. 24 THE COURT: And that is which --25 MR. WISE: That's Paragraph 7.

1	THE COURT: Of five or of four?
2	MR. WISE: Number 6.
3	THE COURT: Of 6.
4	MR. WISE: Yes, and this is 7 and Paragraph 11.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. WISE: So she then says I'm referring to
7	them both so she says coming from the director of the
8	Bronx Zoo, this is a shocking acknowledgment of the profound
9	problems that stem from keeping large, social, intelligent
10	autonomous animals like Happy in a space that cannot meet
11	their social and physical needs. It's likely that any
12	differences between an elephant who's been confined for a
13	long time in a zoo and a wild elephant
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. WISE: are due solely and entirely to the
16	nature of their captivity, of being kept without normal
17	social groups and of lacking the ability to enact normal
18	freewill. This will likely be remedied by releasing Happy to
19	a sanctuary that can offer her both companionship and space
20	to roam.
21	While an elephant sanctuary is not the same as the
22	wild, it offers elephants more autonomy and the possibility
23	to choose where to go, what to eat and with whom and when to
24	socialize. There is no scientific basis for arguing that
25	captive and wild elephants are fundamentally different.

They have the same biology and needs but the failure of 1 2 captivity to meet these needs result in physical and 3 psychological problems in calf development unquote. 4 So if Mr. Breheny in his zoo thinks that Happy 5 isn't acting like a wild elephant she's saying, well, that's because of what you've done to her. 6 7 THE COURT: I got it. 8 MR. WISE: Now, then doctor -- is it Dr. Thomas? 9 Dr. Thomas. 10 So Dr. Thomas is here to talk about the management 11 and care standard of the AZA. 12 THE COURT: Wait one second. Let me get the 13 affidavit. 14 (Pause.) 15 MR. WISE: We talked about the fact that Dr. Thomas 16 had said here is the AZA standards which --17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. WISE: Your Honor, they may be part of one of 19 the thick books. 20 THE COURT: Yes, it's 9 for the record. MR. WISE: It's almost all AZA standards. 21 22 THE COURT: Correct. 23 MR. WISE: Most of which have nothing to do with 24 elephants. 25 Dr. Poole said in paragraph --

1 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. 2 You've made the statement that it has nothing to 3 do with elephants but what are they? 4 MR. WISE: They're AZA standards for how zoos 5 operate and how you keep every single animal you can think 6 of. There's only a few pages that talk about elephants at 7 all. 8 THE COURT: Well, I guess that that's what they 9 were referring to as it says AZA Standards for Elephant 10 Management and Care. 11 MR. WISE: That's what they are referring to. 12 THE COURT: And the Animal Welfare Act. 13 MR. WISE: That's what they are referring to. 14 THE COURT: Are the two primary sets of standards for the care and management of elephants in AZA accredited 15 16 institutions in the United States. 17 So there is something that they're referring to. 18 You say it has nothing to do with elephants. 19 MR. WISE: No, no, most of it doesn't. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. WISE: There's a few pages on. 22 THE COURT: Yeah, but that's what you said first. 23 MR. WISE: If I did, then I take that back. I did 24 not mean to. I did not mean to. 25 THE COURT: Okay, I just wanted to make sure, to

clarify that you're not saying there's none, there's only a 1 2 few pages. 3 MR. WISE: A few of the pages, yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. WISE: The AZA is nothing special, it's a trade 6 organization for zoos. 7 THE COURT: But there's nothing else to compare 8 them with. 9 MR. WISE: I'm just about to tell you that there 10 is. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 And how about this Animal Welfare Act. 13 MR. WISE: The Animal Welfare Act is a Federal 14 statute that requires regs -- that certain Federal regs 15 be -- they're very broad regs -- regulations and if you --16 if you're said not to obey then them the USDA may or may not 17 come and sanction you for it. 18 THE COURT: They're broad regulations? 19 MR. WISE: For all kinds of animals; dogs, monkeys, 20 you know elephants. Every kind of animal except farm 21 animals, usually except farm animals or certain other kinds. 22 THE COURT: And the purpose of that Act is to? 23 MR. WISE: The purpose of the Act is to set some 24 kind of a minimum level to have the USDA set some kind of 25 minimal level for how you care for a non-human animal. Ιt

1 does not --2 THE COURT: Care and the welfare of the animal 3 also, right? 4 MR. WISE: But we're not -- the Animal Welfare Act 5 does not address the issue of an animal's mind, their 6 psychology, certainly not their -- the only non-human animal 7 whose mind the Animal Welfare Act addresses are primates. 8 Specifically in 1985, the Animal Welfare Act was 9 amended to say you have to -- you have to take measures that 10 will protect the psychological well-being of primates only, 11 not of elephants or any other animal. 12 THE COURT: Not of any other animal? 13 MR. WISE: No, just primates. 14 THE COURT: That the amendment only dealt with 15 primates? 16 MR. WISE: That's right. 17 THE COURT: So the other --18 MR. WISE: All the other animals in the world you 19 don't have to protect their psychological well-being. 20 THE COURT: Well, not in the world only in the 21 United States. 22 MR. WISE: Actually, yes, the world. Only primates 23 you have to. Any other non-human animal you're not required 24 by the Animal Welfare Act to protect the psychological 25 well-being of them, just primates.

THE COURT: But the Federal statute only deals with 1 2 the United States, correct? MR. WISE: Well, within the United States. 3 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. WISE: Yes. Pardon me, yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay, I was just wondering if there was 7 some global --8 MR. WISE: There's no worldwide animal protection 9 act. THE COURT: There is none? 10 MR. WISE: There's not much of one in the 11 12 United States either but that's a whole other case that 13 we're not litigating. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 So beyond this AZA standard and the Animal Welfare 16 Act, there are no other guidelines? 17 MR. WISE: Well, there are no -- well, the Animal 18 Welfare Act and the Federal guidelines done by the USDA. 19 The AZA simply is a private organization. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 But there are no other promulgated group of 22 standards that -- so say that Dr. Poole had relied on when 23 she made her checklist of her examination of Happy. 24 MR. WISE: Well, there are. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WISE: There are, for example -- I didn't know 1 2 you were going to ask this guestion. There are --3 THE COURT: I can't imagine that's a question that 4 you didn't anticipate. 5 MR. WISE: You got me on this one, your Honor. 6 There are other private organizations that 7 specifically certify sanctuaries and they have other 8 standards for sanctuaries. 9 THE COURT: Oh, so --10 MR. WISE: I did not know you would be interested 11 in that. We'll be happy to give them to you. 12 MR. MANNING: If I may, your Honor, you know we've 13 been silent and not objecting to much of the argument today 14 as a courtesy of counsel. But I mean it really begs me to 15 say that the whole discussion we are having today is as if 16 there's an element in this case that this all relates to. 17 And the petition which has never been amended in this case, specifically says and I'm quoting from the petition, "This 18 19 petition does not allege that Happy is illegally confined 20 because she is kept in unsuitable conditions." 21 THE COURT: Correct. 22 MR. MANNING: "Nor does it seek improved welfare 23 for Happy. All of this goes to Petitioner's new contentions 24 that there should be improved welfare for Happy." 25 We've got affidavits -- I'll wait my turn to talk

about our affidavit and what they say or don't say. 1 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MR. MANNING: But to talk now about other standards 4 not even in the papers -- all of the affidavits have been on 5 file with the court for more than a year without objection. 6 And now on the third day of argument on the legal petition, 7 we are basically going through an evidentiary analysis with 8 opinions of counsel sprinkled throughout. 9 We'll deal with that on or argument but this isn't 10 relevant to what we are doing and I have to bring that to 11 the Court's attention. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. WISE: Well, we have been arguing that this is 14 not a welfare case, which he just read. This is not a 15 welfare case, it's a rights case. 16 THE COURT: But in terms of --17 MR. WISE: It's a rights case. 18 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. Based upon the 19 habeas corpus petition --20 MR. WISE: Yes. 21 THE COURT: When you deal with the confinement, it 22 deals with the general welfare of the person who is bringing 23 the petition, correct? 24 MR. WISE: Yes. 25 THE COURT: And so in that extent we are dealing

with the welfare of the animal or the person because you're 1 2 saying that the mind has something to do with the welfare. 3 MR. WISE: This is a bit tricky sometimes. 4 THE COURT: Because I mean doesn't the well-being 5 or social connotation of the person come into play in a 6 habeas corpus standard? MR. WISE: Well, with respect -- I think to some 7 8 degree, yes, in that --9 THE COURT: Because that's what you are arguing, 10 right? 11 MR. WISE: Oh, yes, absolutely. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. WISE: If you are -- I mean, for example, it's 14 likely that we are going -- we are not going to bring a 15 secret writ of habeas corpus on behalf a nonhuman animal who 16 is unconscious. We'd have to prove they are conscious. 17 There's only certain nonhuman animals we have been 18 bringing our cases for, chimpanzees and elephants because of 19 the fact that they can self determine because they are 20 autonomous and the reason is is that that's the purpose of a 21 habeas corpus. 22 THE COURT: Well, let me ask, the animal welfare 23 act deals with chimpanzees? 24 MR. WISE: Yes, it does. 25 THE COURT: And it has a primate exception for it?

1 MR. WISE: No, it just says that you're suppose to 2 take into account -- you're supposed to protect the 3 psychological well-being of chimpanzees. That's what the 4 Animal Welfare Act says. 5 The reason we're going into the Animal Welfare Act 6 and the AZA not because we think it's important because what 7 we're doing is we're arguing -- we've been arguing from the 8 very beginning two entirely different cases here. And of 9 cures we believe that my brother is arguing the wrong kind of case. He's not defending himself against the argument 10 11 that we're actually making. And the argument that we're 12 actually making is because an elephant is an autonomous, 13 self-determining, self-conscious being that she can be 14 imprisoned against -- she has the will to be -- she can be imprisoned against her will and that that then -- then she 15 16 should then be able to be free pursuant to a writ of habeas 17 corpus and is sent to a place where she will be able to 18 exercise her autonomy, her ability to self-determine and 19 herself consciousness to the greatest extent that you can, 20 considering the fact that we can't send them to Africa or we 21 can't send them to Thailand. That's the case. 22 The case that my brother has been arguing, which 23 we believe from the beginning is actually irrelevant but

Animal Welfare Act. We're not arguing that they're violating

that causes us to have to look at this AZA stuff and the

24

the Animal Welfare Act. We're not arguing that they're violating the AZA. It's not hard to, you've got to work really hard to violate the Animal Welfare Act. You have to work really hard to violate the AZA.

1

2

3

4

5 But as Dr. Poole notes and that was just about 6 what I was going to say which is that neither the AZA nor 7 the Thomas affidavit mention the real problem, which is the 8 very small space in which Happy is held captive and that's 9 Paragraph 7 and then 28 -- I'm sorry, Paragraph 28 to 29 in 10 Dr. Poole's second supplemental affidavit. She says they 11 don't talk about the fact that they're putting this animal 12 who's evolved to move, who would walk, you know, miles and 13 miles everyday, they don't -- the AZA standard Animal 14 Welfare Act, nobody mentions the fact that she's put in what 15 would be for me it would be like if I had to spend my entire 16 life in my livingroom. Basically, an elephant sitting in 17 one acre of land by herself, that's not even elephant. It's -- you have not -- you have completely undermined her 18 19 autonomy, completely undermined her self-determination, 20 completely undermined her self-consciousness and that is the 21 crux of the habeas corpus argument that that has destroyed 22 her the autonomy and self-determination. That is the 23 purpose of habeas corpus, that's why over the last 600 years 24 habeas corpus became the writ because we acknowledged that 25 in human beings one of the worse things we can do -- and by

the way that's why we put people in jail but one of the worse things we can do is that we would strip them of their autonomy and self-determination by putting them in jail and making them do whatever -- whatever we want to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

The life that Happy lives is the -- in her one acre of land in the Bronx Zoo is the exact same life that a human prisoner lives with the exception that the Bronx Zoo makes you pay \$30 to watch this animal suffer while the prisoners at least are allowed not -- to live their lives without people paying to gawk at them.

11 And so what they see when they go over in the 12 tram, they look down and they see an elephant standing 13 there. Just standing there. And I -- elephants in the 14 wild, I've seen elephants. I've been to Kenya, I've seen 15 elephants in the wild. They don't just stand there. Thev 16 don't just stand there by themselves. They're always on the 17 move. They're always on the move. As Dr. Poole said, 18 they've evolved to move.

And that's part of their ability to self-determine. In fact, what they -- they've also evolved to have a social organization to live with aunts, to live with children, to live with nieces, to live with grandmothers. And by keeping them, keeping an elephant in solitary confinement then that completely undermines their autonomy, their self-determination, their self

1 self-consciousness. They might take blood from her and make 2 sure that she gets medicine, make sure she gets surgery but 3 other than that, she's basically like a prisoner who's in 4 like a never-ending prison hospital. And that's -- that's 5 the crux of it.

6 We don't care about the AZA standards, we don't 7 care about the Animal Welfare Act because as Dr. Poole says, 8 they don't talk about -- they don't talk about space, they 9 don't talk about the fact that -- and apparently, the AZA 10 for apparently 40 years has thought it's just fine for the 11 Bronx Zoo to keep an elephant, a full grown elephant by 12 herself on an acre of land. That is crazy and that's why I'm 13 saying it's just a trade organization that rubber stamps 14 what zoos do. And when you read the Leider case, you'll see 15 similar such defenses.

16 THE COURT: But Happy does have an acre to roam 17 around in, at least?

18 MR. WISE: Elephants can't roam around in an acre.19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. WISE: An acre for an elephant -- I think 21 Dr. Poole says it's like putting a human in a house. You 22 know, so I would be like I would have my -- for 40 years I 23 would never be able to leave my house and I wouldn't even 24 have my wife. It would just be by myself. And she says 25 that you're not an elephant, you're essentially in solitary

confinement in what for you is a very small space. 1 2 So they are evolved to move. They move miles and 3 miles everyday. And they socialize. She has none of that. 4 She just sits there with people taking her blood and washing 5 her trunk and making sure that she's not about to die or 6 something or she had surgery doing that. But other than 7 that, they utterly ignore her. The things that are at the 8 crux of habeas corpus which is autonomy, self-determination, 9 the ability to choose what to do when you want to, the 10 ability to choose your friends. 11 And later on, in fact, Dr. Poole talks about the 12 problem. And I'll just give a little coming attraction of 13 it but what Dr. Poole says is that -- for example, if Happy 14 has ever shown any aggression of any kind, she says she 15 would expect it. If Happy doesn't -- you know, has problems 16 moving from one part of the zoo to the other, she would 17 expect it. And she talks about that is one of the -- these are things that happen not because of who Happy is but 18 19 who -- because of what the Bronx Zoo has turned her into. 20 THE COURT: Well, has she shown any aggression? 21 MR. WISE: There's no evidence of that. 22 THE COURT: There's no evidence that she is 23 depressed or --24 MR. WISE: That's what I'm saying, is that none of 25 the affidavits ever say anything about I saw Happy be

1 aggressive, I saw Happy be this, I saw Happy or somebody 2 told me this or it's in the report. There's nothing. 3 THE COURT: But Dr. Poole never observed her being 4 aggressive or depressed? 5 MR. WISE: No, she says Happy just basically actually at one point -- and I have to go look for it -- she 6 7 says that she basically has seen her engage in four, just 8 four behaviors. If I can remember, she likes to eat grass, 9 she stands there. 10 Oh, she also talks about the facts that Happy is 11 engaging in something that's one of two things that Happy 12 moves --13 THE COURT: Rocks? 14 MR. WISE: Moves back and for the like this on her feet which means one of two things. Happy has unhealthy 15 16 feet and unhealthy feet which eventually is something that 17 leads to elephants being euthanized or Happy is engaging in 18 stereotypical behavior, which is what happens. 19 You have zoo animals, any nonhuman animal who is 20 confined then they start just -- it's like sometimes we see 21 mentally ill human beings who just repeat the same thing 22 over and over again and they'll move, they'll go like this. 23 They'll move their head. Happy is just going like this, 24 which Dr. Poole says either means her feet are hurting and 25 she doesn't have healthy feet or it's the kind of

stereotypical behavior that happens, which means that she's bored, which means that she's not -- she's not mentally healthy, at that point.

And that's actually is in the Leider case. She had the same problem, that the elephant -- Billy the elephant was constantly -- the other two -- there were four of them I think but Billy was by himself. They were engaged in unhealthy stereotypical behavior which Dr. Poole's opinion as you'll see in there is that they are both socially and emotionally unhealthy.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

11

MR. WISE: Now, Dr. Poole also says -- and my co-counsel also reminds me that the one acre that Happy is in, she's not in there right now. Right now it's cold outside, so right now they put her into a barn that is way smaller than one acre. And I think they can let her out sometimes in the winter into an area that's far smaller than one acre.

Have you ever seen -- if you've ever seen wild elephants, it's nothing, nothing like what you would see, you know, with Happy.

Now, Dr. Poole also said that and I quote this is
Paragraph 7 of her second -- I'm sorry, Paragraph 27 of
Dr. Poole's second supplemental affidavit, Paragraph 27, and
she says she has studied on more than four decade long

studies, so she's now going back to her study of 40 years, of free living elephants shows that the AZA specifications are woefully inadequate for meeting the needs of elephants. Which if you're not a trade group for keeping elephants in jail and -- you would know that.

6

7

8

9

10

And that, by the way, again kinda -- you know, kinda comes back to the fact that the Wildlife Conservation Society has a lot of elephant experts on its staff and nobody, not a single elephant expert will come in and say this is an okay thing for Happy.

But on the other hand, Dr. Poole has -- and your Honor we also filed affidavit is from one, two, three, four other experts as well, most of them are similar because they work on the team but we have five other -- I'm sorry, six affidavits. We have six of the greatest, you know, world's greatest elephant experts in the world.

17 Now, they could have had -- I mean they've had 18 these affidavits since 2000 -- as late as 2018 or early 19 2019, they've had them for over a year. If they thought 20 Dr. Poole was wrong, they've had on any single word or 21 sentence she's said they have had the opportunity to file an 22 affidavit from one of their many elephant experts to say 23 Dr. Poole is wrong, Dr. Poole is wrong with this, Dr. Poole 24 is wrong with that. It's just a fine thing for Happy to be 25 kept all by herself in one acre of land for year after year

1 and they haven't done that. 2 It's kinda like -- I was thinking of homes, it's 3 an -- who's the detective? 4 MR. LO: Sherlock Holmes? 5 MR. WISE: Sherlock Holmes. But of Holmes when he 6 wrote the story of the dog that didn't bark, that's what is 7 significant about this is that the Wildlife Conservation 8 Society is filled with dogs who didn't bark. They could have 9 barked, they could've said Dr. Poole is wrong. No one ever 10 has. Instead they have these administrators who have no 11 interaction with the animals, certainly no interaction with 12 elephants, no interaction with Happy to come in and say 13 things of which they have no personal knowledge. 14 They needed to have the dogs bark. But the reason 15 the dogs didn't bark is because they wouldn't bark because 16 no self respecting elephant scientist would contradict what 17 Dr. Poole said. Now, Dr. Poole also in her affidavit, her original 18 19 affidavit and I'll just refer you to these at Paragraph 22 20 of her affidavit, 24 to 28, 29 to 54 and then also in her 21 supplemental affidavit at Paragraph 3, at Paragraph 4, at 22 Paragraph 6, what she says is that -- she educates the court 23 on what an elephant is, what kind of brains they have, what 24 kind of neurons they have, what kind -- you know, what their 25 behavioral and intellectual capacities are, what their

intelligence is, what their needs are as social animals. 1 2 And, you know, for me one of the things every time 3 I read it that has an influence on me about who elephants 4 are is that she talks about the fact that they plan, that 5 they negotiate with each other and this is through noises 6 and movements, she says. And that they engage in collective 7 decision making. This is not my dog, he doesn't care what 8 other dogs want. These are elephants who are like you and I, 9 who engage in collective decision making. A herd will make 10 decisions together. They have the cognitive ability to plan, 11 to negotiate with each other and to make joint and 12 collective decisions. 13 THE COURT: When they have to . 14 MR. WISE: I'm assuming when -- because they're a matriarchal society, your Honor. They have the good sense 15 16 to put a female in charge. 17 THE COURT: Yeah, but I'm just that saying if they don't need to they don't have to, they don't --18 19 MR. WISE: They do not make -- they don't negotiate 20 if they're not in agreement, I do agree with that. 21 THE COURT: If they are in a nice space, they don't 22 necessarily have to do all of those things. 23 MR. WISE: That's true but I can guarantee you that 24 an acre of land in the Bronx Zoo is not that space. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

I'm going to recess for lunch at this time. Are we 1 2 going to finish up with this today? 3 MR. WISE: We are going finish up. I don't have 4 that much longer. THE COURT: How much longer do you have because I 5 do have to give defense a chance to respond. 6 7 MR. WISE: It depends how many questions the court 8 has me. 9 THE COURT: Well, if you want me to be quiet I will. 10 11 MR. WISE: No, I do not. 12 THE COURT: That's not a problem. I don't have to 13 ask any more questions. 14 MR. WISE: No, actually I'm actually grateful that 15 the court peppers me with these questions. I would have an 16 hour tops, tops. 17 THE COURT: Tops? 18 MR. WISE: Tops. 19 THE COURT: An hour more? 20 MR. WISE: Tops. THE COURT: An hour? 21 22 MR. WISE: I'm assuming that you're going to ask me 23 more questions. 24 THE COURT: No, I'm not. 25 MR. WISE: Okay.

In that case, I guess I don't have an hour. 1 2 THE COURT: Counsel, how much time you have? 3 MR. MANNING: Well, if we have an equivalent amount 4 of time, your Honor, it would take several days. THE COURT: No, no, you can do it in an hour also? 5 MR. MANNING: I promise I will do it in an hour 6 7 along with any questions that the court may have. 8 THE COURT: Okay, let's come back at two o'clock 9 and try to finish this up, at least. 10 Have a great lunch. I'm going to ask you to exit 11 the courtroom as quickly as possible so the staff can may go 12 to lunch. 13 (Whereupon, the luncheon recess was taken.) 14 AFTERNOON SESSION 15 COURT OFFICER: Come to order. 16 THE COURT: Madam Reporter, counsel, members of the 17 audience please be seated. 18 Okay, Mr. Wise. 19 MR. WISE: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: You have not yet finished your 21 argument. I'm going to give you one hour, okay? 22 MR. WISE: Yes. 23 THE COURT: As I promised, one hour and we are 24 going to wrap it up. 25 MR. WISE: Your Honor, I am going to go back to the

1	issue between welfare and rights.
2	THE COURT: Between what? I'm sorry.
3	MR. WISE: Welfare
4	THE COURT: Welfare, okay.
5	MR. WISE: And rights.
6	What I was trying to say before was that the
7	petitioner is bringing a habeas corpus case.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. WISE: Happy has to be a rights barer and
10	that's really the issue. And my and the defenses that my
11	brother puts up are such that it appears like it's a welfare
12	case. And they're trying to say oh, but we're taking care
13	of him very well. And we are showing that they're not taking
14	care of him very well but in a larger sense it's irrelevant.
15	And perhaps the best analogy I can give you would be if
16	there was someone named Happy but I didn't tell you that she
17	was an elephant and we thought she was a human being, saying
18	she's being held against her will in someplace, the sole
19	issue, which is supposed to be so in the habeas corpus case
20	is whether there is an illegal defense, improper detention.
21	And that we would not talk about are their regulations, talk
22	to how she's being cared for while she's being illegally
23	detained. We wouldn't talk about that. There's no human
24	equivalent of the AZA and you wouldn't have the person who
25	is detaining the human being say but I'm keeping her you

know, I'm keeping her according to anything that shows how 1 2 well you keep humans. Say, for example, I'm feeding her 3 everyday, I'm giving her medical care everyday and I'm 4 keeping her very well and we'll say that's not the issue. 5 And, you know, I don't believe in all the 6 thousands of habeas corpus cases, you know, I've read across 7 numerous jurisdictions that there's ever been a question of 8 well, are you caring for the prisoner all right. That's not 9 the issue in a habeas corpus case. It might be an issue in 10 another case but not in a habeas corpus case. 11 So that's why when I said the AZA and the Animal 12 Welfare Act, while we're rebutting what they're saying you 13 know in a larger sense it's simply not relevant. The issue 14 is whether or not Happy is a person, which means Happy has 15 the capacity for any rights and we've argued at length why 16 she does. And then because she is self-determined, because 17 she's autonomous, because she's self-conscious, we argue that those values both as a matter of liberty and equality 18 19 then, are sufficient for this court to rule that she ought 20 to have the right or the liberty because that -- because 21 those are the things that -- because that is what a habeas 22 corpus case is for and what habeas corpus is meant to 23 protect.

24 So I just wanted to clear up in -- you know, we're 25 arguing a rights case, they want to argue a welfare case.

But -- and we'll gladly rebut their welfare case but in a 1 2 larger sense it doesn't matter. We're arguing a rights case. 3 Now, one -- and one of the issues has been -- and 4 this might be somewhat relevant but I'm not sure -- which is 5 that you see there are -- when you look at the affidavits, 6 you'll see that Mr. Breheny talks about Happy being familiar 7 with her surroundings and others are saying oh, she knows 8 her caregiver, she's familiar with her surroundings, it 9 would be -- you know, you shouldn't remove her. And Dr. Poole, in her affidavit -- in her 10 11 supplemental affidavit which is -- which is -- I have no 12 idea where it is. 13 THE COURT: It is Number 6. 14 MR. WISE: I have lost my list. 15 THE COURT: Second supplemental is Number 6. 16 MR. WISE: Appreciate that. 17 In her supplemental affidavit, your Honor, in Paragraph 4, Dr. Poole specifically says you're held in 18 19 isolation like Happy is, they become bored, depressed, 20 aggressive, catatonic and they fail to thrive. Human care 21 givers are no substitute for the numerous complex social 22 relationships and the rich gesturing and vocal communication 23 exchanges that occur between free living elephants. 24 They also say, Mr. Breheny says in one of his 25 affidavits that Happy has a history of not interacting well.

snn, – Proceedings –

And by his affidavit is -- that was his supplemental 1 2 affidavit which is Number 2, Paragraph 13, Mr. Breheny says 3 that Happy has a history of not interacting well with other 4 elephants at the Bronx Zoo, which is why she has been housed 5 separately since her companion died. 6 And Dr. Poole says that -- and that's in her 7 second supplemental affidavit which is Number 6, 8 Paragraph 7, 9 and 10, she addresses this. So she says --9 THE COURT: I'm listening. 10 MR. WISE: She says that Breheny seems to be 11 suggesting that Happy has a problem getting along with other 12 elephants. The historical information indicates that Happy 13 is not anti-social per se but that Maxine and Patty, two of 14 the elephants, once attacked her and that there's a risk 15 that they'll do so again. This situation would likely be 16 resolved by offering Happy the chance to form relationships 17 with other elephants in the sanctuary. 18 And it's hardly fair to say that Happy has a 19 history of not getting on with other elephants in 40 years 20 at the Bronx Zoo. She's only been given a choice of four 21 companions with whom she's been forced to share a space that 22 for an elephant is the equivalent of the size of a house. 23 Two of those companions she liked and lost with one of them 24 being killed by Maxine and Patty and the other two attacked 25 her. This is hardly a basis for drawing a conclusion that

Happy has a history of not getting along with other elephants, it's rather a confirmation of the zoo's inability to meet Happy's basic needs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

In her 40 year long history at the Bronx Zoo, Happy has had the opportunity to socialize only four elephants and has spent a quarter of that time in solitary confinement.

8 Now, the folks from the zoo also claim that --9 Mr. Breheny in his affidavit at Paragraph 18, he says that 10 the trip from Happy's current location to Paws which is in 11 California but then there's also a Tennessee elephant 12 sanctuary she can go to as I represented they've agreed to 13 take her as well, in Tennessee, that it could be more 14 harmful to Happy as experience has shown us that she doesn't transport well. Although, they've only been transported --15 16 she's been there for 40 years.

17 And then, Mr. Calle, Dr. Calle at his, affidavit 18 Paragraph 14, also says that she becomes distressed during 19 short moves from one area of the Bronx Zoo to another. 20 Dr. Poole said this, that any distress that Happy exhibits 21 from being moved from one area of the Bronx Zoo to another, 22 is likely evidence of how traumatic it has been for Happy to 23 be shuffled about in the zoo from confined space to confined 24 space. And that's her second supplemental affidavit, 25 Number 6 at Paragraph 23.

And then at Paragraph 12 of the same affidavit, 1 2 she says the claims and relation to Happy that she does not 3 do well with change, that she will not survive the 4 transport, that a transport to a sanctuary would be too 5 stressful, that she don't know how to socialize, that her 6 unique personality is problematic, have been disproven. In 7 fact, elephants with serious physical or psychological 8 problems in zoos have usually become more normally 9 functioning elephants when given more appropriate space in a 10 sanctuary such as Paws. 11 And in her supplemental affidavits Paragraphs 13 12 through 18, she actually gives six examples of elephants who 13 have been moved long distances, who were miserable at the 14 places that they were, including at least one who actually 15 killed a human being where she was and that when they were 16 brought to a sanctuary and taken out of this -- of a zoo 17 environment where they were confined, that they really --

they blossomed into a normal elephant existence.

18

So that is on your Exhibit 6 and that's
Joyce Poole's second supplemental affidavit and that's
Paragraphs 13 through 18.

And she also says at Paragraph 2 of the same affidavit that Paws has been involved in moving more than a dozen elephants over the years without incident. These moves included older elephants and from places as far away as Alaska and Toronto. Some of these elephants had lived in their prior facilities for over 40 years and there is no evidence that the inevitable stress of these moves had a long term effect on any of the elephants.

5

6

7

8

And they said the elephant in Brazil, the sanctuary in Brazil is about to move Ronna, a confiscated ex-circus elephant who is in her 50s, 1675 miles from where she is to their sanctuary.

9 And finally, Paragraph 11 of that second 10 supplemental affidavit says specifically addressing 11 Mr. Breheny, Breheny appears to be unaware of the extremely 12 positive transformations that have taken place when captive 13 elephants are given freedom that larger spaces or 14 sanctuaries or released back into the wild offer.

Now, the zoo has also said that just moving, just the transport is -- actually, I just talked about that. Then I -- now, all I want to do is on this part is just to look at the -- compare the conclusions that the folks draw.

Well, Mr. Breheny says that based on my expertise of which he has none involving elephants, his decades long experience with Happy of which he does not set out even meeting Happy a single time or -- and the foregoing which is all the other stuff that he says, it's my professional opinion Happy's interest would not best be served at this time by moving her to an elephant sanctuary.

1 Same thing Dr. Calle says, based on my 2 responsibilities in providing veterinary care to the 3 animals, to of the Bronx Zoo including Happy, in other words 4 the thousands of animals that he provides veterinary care to 5 and to the best of my knowledge, Happy is currently healthy 6 and well adapted to her present surroundings. Except 7 Dr. Calle, he might be able to say what her blood level is, 8 he might be able to say whether she is sick but he has no 9 qualifications for saying that she is well adapted to her 10 present surroundings. And he doesn't say that he's examined 11 Happy, it's just to the best of his knowledge and I have no 12 idea who he's talking to that says she is currently healthy 13 or whether they would be qualified to know whether she's 14 healthy.

Dr. Poole says almost certainly she's not healthy and several times she talks is about the facts that Happy is engaging in stereotypical movements which again, either shows she has a -- she's mental or she's physical -- she's mentally not healthy or she's physically not healthy because she's having problems with her feet.

(Whereupon, a brief pause was held in theproceedings.)

23 MR. WISE: Here's where -- the part that she says 24 that in her second supplemental affidavit, she says that --25 which is at Paragraph 22, she says that looking at Happy's 1 feet indicates her feet are not healthy. She says my own 2 observation from watching a number of videos is that Happy 3 lifts her feet repeatedly, which indicates she's trying to 4 take the weight off of them or is engaged in stereotypical 5 behavior.

Also, she says that the quarterly TB test that Happy gets are more frequent than normally warranted and suggests that Happy is being monitored closely because she's housed in the same barn as Patty who has been diagnosed with TB.

Now, Mr. Calle also says that in his professional opinion and he's not -- that Happy is health and well-being would not be best served by moving to an animal sanctuary. Again, he has shown that he has no experience other than veterinarian experience with Happy.

Now, Dr. Poole, if you look at the basis for her opinion -- well, we understand, you know, how qualified she actually is but Dr. Poole kinda wraps up and gives her opinion. She says at Paragraph 21, she says -- and this is the basis of her opinions --

21THE COURT: Wait one second. Paragraph 21 of?22MR. WISE: Paragraph 21 of her original affidavit23which is Number 4.

THE COURT: Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

24

25 MR. WISE: She says the opinions I state in this

affidavit are based on my professional knowledge, which is 1 2 profound. Her education, which is a Ph.D. at Cambridge and 3 post doctorate work at Princeton, her training which again 4 is at these places and her years of experience observing and 5 studying elephants which as you know is moving into it's 6 50th year now, as well as her knowledge of peer review 7 literature of which is a substantial part of that is her own 8 about elephant behavior and intelligence, published in the 9 world's most respected journal -- I didn't read to the Court 10 all the journals that she's been publish in but she's been 11 published in places like you'll see Nature. Nature is one of 12 the world's two most prestigious scientific journals along 13 with science, periodical and books that are generally 14 accepted as authoritative in the field and many of which 15 were written by myself or my colleagues with whom I have 16 known for several years and with whom research in field work 17 I'm personally familiar with. And she says a full reference of the peer review literature that she cited, which is about 18 19 70 or 80 -- I think it's 70 or 80 different scientific peer 20 reviewed articles is annexed as Exhibit B to her affidavit. 21 That's only in the original, I did not give that to you. I 22 figured if the Court wished to look at that, you could look 23 at the original and not this.

24THE COURT: What paragraph are you reading from?25MR. WISE: I'm reading from Paragraph 21 --

- Proceedings -

SNN

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 21? MR. WISE: -- of number -- let me see of number. 2 3 THE COURT: Four you said? 4 MR. WISE: I'm sorry your Honor? 5 THE COURT: You said four. MR. WISE: I said 40? 6 7 THE COURT: Four, the Number 4. 8 MR. WISE: I'm sorry, it's your number index 9 Number 4. 10 THE COURT: Right. And Paragraph 21. 11 MR. WISE: Paragraph 21. 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. WISE: That's where I was reading from. 14 THE COURT: Yes, I have it. 15 MR. WISE: And she says in Exhibit B, which is in 16 the original, that she listed all 70 peer reviewed articles. 17 Now, again, none of the -- none of the folks who 18 filed affidavits are experts. None of them -- and I mean 19 one of the ways that you can see it is none of them cited to 20 any research, none of them engaged in any research, none of 21 them have done any research. They simply don't know 22 anything about elephants. 23 Now, I saw my brother handed up a -- he handed up 24 something that I haven't had a chance to read it yet but it 25 looks like he basically cherry picked some of the arguments

that we made and then cherry picks some of the cases, some 1 2 of the arguments in the cases which he has every right to 3 do, I will not respond to those because we have spent hours and hours, you know, taking -- you know, deconstructing each 4 5 case, showing why what my brother is saying is wrong and I'm 6 not going to trouble the Court to do that. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. WISE: I just want to take 45 seconds just to 9 set out -- just to remind the Court what the major issues 10 are. 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: So Number 1, the issue is that this 12 13 Court is not bound by any discussion of the merits in what 14 we call the labor re two, which is the First Department case 15 because Lavery II dismissed the case on the procedural ground of filing an improper successive petition under 16 17 CPLR 7003(b). 18 And so once it does that, once it says we're doing 19 that and they say we don't even need to get to the merits in 20 order to do that, then any opinion on the merits were just 21 dictal, which this Court does not have to follow and should 22 not follow. 23 The second one is that this Court is not bound by 24 the statements in Lavery I, on personhood as they were based 25 on -- as we argued on demonstrable misunderstanding the law

1 and so are exceptions to which we set out in great detail 2 how they were wrong by, you know, including the fact that 3 they were relying on two law review articles by Professor 4 Coupe who as she showed has no idea what he's talking about 5 or he intentionally misrepresents, you know, what a social contract is. 6 7 And -- actually, I just want to add for 30 seconds 8 I will find the case for you, there is a New York Court of 9 Appeals case that I've actually found since I last spoke with you. 10 11 THE COURT: Oh, really. 12 MR. WISE: That a New York Court of Appeals case 13 that is somewhere, if I don't -- if I don't have it with me 14 I will send it to the Court. 15 THE COURT: It's a recent case? 16 MR. WISE: I can't remember the year but what it 17 specifically did and it talked about what social contract 18 actually was -- I don't know how I missed it the last six 19 years but I managed to do that. And what it does is, see it 20 makes clear that social contract does not create personhood. 21 Social contract creates citizens out of already existing 22 persons. 23 And the reason -- and this case, this case 24 specifically talks about that under social contract theory 25 individuals are born with certain natural writes. And then

they might trade them for certain protections but the key is 1 2 is that they're born with rights. In other words, they're 3 born as persons. 4 THE COURT: Right, as opposed to Happy who is an 5 animal and you want to declare a person. 6 MR. WISE: Say that again. 7 THE COURT: As opposed to Happy who is an animal 8 that you want to have be declared as a person to -- in order 9 to get rights. 10 MR. WISE: I'm not -- we definitely are doing that 11 but they're talking about the fact that -- okay, I'll have 12 to -- I'll agree with you on that. And but what they're 13 saying is that individuals -- and up until we start 14 litigating I don't think they say humans, I think they said 15 individuals but why would they say anything else? Because 16 until now no one ever made the argument that a nonhuman, 17 although there were cases for example where you had slaves, 18 where you had women, where it was not -- where they were not 19 seen as persons. 20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MR. WISE: And then they became persons. But anyone 22 who is a person, you're -- the idea of social compact is 23 that you're born with rights. In other words, you're born 24 as a person so that puts the absolute lie to the idea that 25 somehow social contracts creates person.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 MR. WISE: So the third thing is that Judge Fahey 3 of the Court of Appeals has made it clear, that both 4 Lavery I in the Third Department and Lavery II with respect 5 to anything they said about personhood were wrongly decided. 6 And when they're dealing with the chimpanzee and 7 Judge Fahey noted they were autonomous that they're not 8 things and they are likely persons with the right to bodily 9 liberty protected by habeas corpus. Now, it's not a decision of a full Court of 10 11 Appeals but it's the decision of the only member of the 12 Court of Appeals that's ever given an opinion. In fact, it's 13 the decision of the only high court judge in the 14 United States who has ever given an opinion on the question 15 as to whether autonomous being can be a person. 16 And not only did Judge Fahey say that a chimpanzee 17 was but he also said when he had ruled against us three years before he had struggled with that and had changed his 18 19 mind. 20 Now, the next one is what this Court is bound by 21 is the Burn case, the Burn versus New York Hospital, and 22 that case Burn did say that being a person is not a matter 23 of biology. And so being human -- in fact, being human is 24 not even sufficient to being a person because that involved 25 a fetus who they said was human but they said that fetus was snn, – Proceedings –

1 not a person.

2 So there are persons who are not humans, there are 3 humans who are not persons. It's personhood is not a matter 4 of a biology and they're clear on that. What it is is it's a 5 matter of public policy. The Court has to make the decision 6 using public policy not taxonomy as to whether an entity, 7 whether that entity is human which is years ago when they 8 were slaves, there were women and children, fetuses even or 9 whether they're nonhumans now.

10 Now, following that that's why the Nonhuman Rights 11 Project focused on public policy issues. That's why we 12 argued to the Court and we showed how the idea of liberty, 13 of autonomy, of equality, that these are powerful values, 14 common law values that the courts of New York and the Court 15 of Appeals has said again and again and again, 16 Rivers versus Katz for example might be the leading example 17 of liberty, common law liberty that these are common law 18 fundamental values. And what we are saying is that in order 19 to -- in order to harmonize and follow consistently the 20 common law values of the importance of autonomy, of liberty, 21 of equality, that you have to -- you have to follow those 22 wherever they go. That when the courts say we really -- we 23 value autonomy, there's something about autonomy about being 24 able to choose how to live your lives that the courts have 25 always found to be important. And there's no non-arbitrary

reason for the courts to say by the way, what species is it as opposed to 200 years ago they would have said, well, what race is this autonomous person or what gender is this autonomous person? They don't do that any more and they shouldn't do it now. If autonomy is autonomy wherever it is found it needs to be protected.

7 The way that Happy's autonomy would be protected 8 would be to find that she's being held illegally under -- at 9 the Bronx Zoo, to order as a matter of habeas corpus that 10 she be released and then to order that she be sent to the 11 appropriate place which we argue is either the Tennessee 12 Elephant Sanctuary or the Performing Animals in -- in 13 Tennessee or the Performing Animal Welfare Society, in 14 California.

15 Now, let me make just one more comment about the 16 folks who filed the affidavits. If you look at Leider versus 17 Lewis at page 30, now Leider versus Lewis when the court 18 reads Leider versus Lewis, what you'll see is not only that 19 the judge says Joyce Poole is head and shoulders the most 20 competent person but there's a parade of witnesses that the 21 L.A. Zoo brings including the chief veterinarian, including 22 the senior elephant keeper of Billy, the elephant keeper 23 who's being kept alone for the last 16 years. That's in the 24 L.A., the Los Angeles Zoo.

25 And he, the judge points out that they have no

idea what they're talking about. That the veterinarian knows nothing, does not understand elephant behavior. And to his chagrin he says even the person who's been the chief elephant keeper for 16 years does not understand, for example, what is stereotypic behavior is, that it means that they're having emotional problems. He finds that they just simply don't understand what that is.

8 And so one of the -- and so I want to point just 9 to the two sentences which I think is appropriate here. And 10 on page -- page 30 then of the Leider case the judge says 11 that quote captivity is a terrible existence for any 12 intelligent, self-aware species which the undisputed 13 evidence shows elephants are. To believe otherwise, as some 14 high ranking employee appear to believe is delusional, 15 unquote.

16 Now, today and for the last 40 years Happy has 17 been imprisoned by similarly delusional people at the higher 18 ranks of the Bronx Zoo who know nothing about the psychology 19 or the mental or the emotional states of elephants or social 20 needs. They know how to take the blood, they know how to do 21 surgery on her and they have no idea that what they're doing 22 has no affect whatsoever on her emotional, her social needs. 23 And when they go in and whatever reasons they give simply 24 shows that if they give them, those reasons are delusional. 25 Now, this is -- this is a common law habeas corpus

1 case and as it is with all common law habeas corpus cases, 2 the only issue is whether or not the detention is legal and 3 not how the captors are treating them. So it's not about 4 whether the prisoner or anyone who is detained, it's not 5 about whether that person is receiving adequate care, it's 6 not whether they are getting meds, whether they're getting 7 It's not about that. Habeas corpus, as the courts food. 8 have said for hundreds of years and the Court of Appeals 9 said many times, it's about one thing. It's about liberty, it's about freedom. 10

11 And as the third-party plaintiff in Happy's case, 12 that's exactly what the Nonhuman Rights care about. We care 13 about the liberty of Happy, we care about the freedom of 14 Happy. That's why -- and I think we cited them -- that's the 15 reason why like more than -- at the time we filed the suit a 16 year and a half ago more than a hundred law review articles 17 and books have been written about the work of the Nonhuman Rights Project because of the fact that they understand that 18 19 what we're doing is we're talking about these big ideas of 20 liberty and freedom.

You might be familiar -- there's a company called A Teaching Company that puts out so-called great courses. And they have hundreds of college level courses where they give like 24 half an hour lectures about art, you know, or painting or nature or history or anything like that. So

1 last Friday there was a new one went online comprised of 24 2 lectures entitled Liberty on Trial in America, Cases That 3 Define Freedom by the law professors. And these are 4 lectures, for example, about the trials of Anne Hutchinson 5 in the 17th century, of John Peter Zinger just down the 6 street who was jailed, you know, for telling the truth about 7 how New York was being run. About Anthony Burns, the slave 8 Anthony Burns under the fugitive slave law in Boston, in 9 1850. John Brown, Susan B. Anthony who also was down the 10 road in Rochester, about the scopes monkey trial, the 11 Korematsu Japanese internment case, the segregation cases in 12 the 20th century, Roe v Wade, gay marriage. The final 13 lecture, Number 24, is called Liberty For NonHumans. And it 14 tells the story of the work of the Nonhuman Rights Project 15 in New York in their fight to gain liberty and freedom for 16 nonhuman animals.

17 This professor says -- and we agree, we think that 18 your decision in this case is every bit as historic as those 19 cases. Every bit as important in those cases and the lawyer 20 for John Peter Zinger when he was thrown in jail for telling 21 the truth about the New York governor, Alexander --22 Andrew Hamilton, who was the leading civil rights attorney 23 you may say at the time in the early 18th Century, he argued 24 this once in his closing. He said this one thing, he said 25 it's not just the cause of one poor printer but it's the

1 cause of liberty.

2	It's the same thing in Happy's case, your Honor.
3	This case is not just about the cause of one poor elephant,
4	this is about the cause of liberty. And to further that
5	cause, we ask that you order that Happy be released from her
6	40 years of detention, that she as through habeas corpus
7	and that she be sent to the place that you believe is the
8	most appropriate place to further her autonomy and her
9	self-determination, which we would urge is either the
10	Tennessee Elephant Sanctuary of Tennessee or the
11	Performing Animal Welfare Society of California.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. WISE: Thank you, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you so much, counsel.
15	I promise not to ask questions but I do have some
16	perhaps maybe at the end.
17	MR. WISE: I'm sorry did you ask me
18	THE COURT: No, I said I promised I wouldn't ask
19	any.
20	MR. WISE: No, no, please. I beg of you ask any
21	questions that you want.
22	THE COURT: No, I'm going to give the respondent an
23	opportunity to speak, to state his argument.
24	MR. MANNING: Thank you, your Honor.
25	Your Honor, may I approach?

1 (Whereupon, the referred to exhibit was handed to 2 the Court.) 3 THE COURT: Thank you so much. 4 Okay, this is A, B, and C; am I correct? 5 MR. MANNING: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. MANNING: If I might, your Honor, what I'd like 8 to do is turn our attention to this case rather than cases 9 in California, cases in Argentina, cases in Great Britain. 10 First let me note though so that we're not dealing with 11 inaccurate information, the case that was relied upon and 12 submitted to the Court in the California case involving 13 Los Angeles was the 19 -- excuse me, the 2012 trial court 14 proceedings. If the court is interested in reading further 15 about the case, we would invite your attention to 16 394 Pacific 3d. 17 THE COURT: Wait one second, I'm sorry. 18 MR. MANNING: I'll sorry, 394 Pacific 3d 1055, the 19 2017 decision that reversed the trial court decision in that 20 case. I think that's where the reading should start, 21 your Honor, not on the trial court level. 22 In any event --23 THE COURT: And that was what year, 2012? 24 MR. MANNING: 2012, 2017 reversal by California 25 supreme court.

1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. MANNING: So I think the analysis should start 3 there, taking a look at abuse of animals in California none 4 of which it seems to be suggested here. 5 If I may your Honor and I'll go back to it, the 6 petitioner in much of this morning and early this afternoon 7 has been devoted to matters outside the petition. But the 8 petition itself says -- and I mentioned it earlier, this 9 petition does not allege that Happy is illegally confined 10 because she is kept in unsuitable conditions nor does it 11 seek improved welfare for Happy. 12 We just heard hours of argument dealing with 13 improved welfare for Happy. We suggest it's not improved 14 welfare but that's the target and goal of the petitioner in raising all these issues. It's well outside the scope of 15 16 the habeas corpus proceedings for openers. 17 But let me turn my attention to the court 18 exhibits, your Honor. When this case was started, the 19 petitioner had been involved in four series of cases in this 20 state. And I'm looking at Respondent's Exhibit A for the 21 The first case was in the Second Department 2014 moment. 22 before Judge Asher and that application for a writ of habeas 23 corpus was unsuccessful. It was the -- the petition was 24 denied and there was no appeal as of rights and the case 25 ended there and there was no appeals to the state's Court of snn. – Proceedings –

1 Appeals.

2 The same year in the Third Department decided 3 Lavery I from the Third Department holding that a 4 chimpanzees lack capacity for social responsibility and 5 legal accountability and thus cannot invoke the fundamental 6 right to liberty protected by the writ of habeas corpus 7 afforded human beings, a rather clear holding. There was --8 came up from a denial of a petition from writ of habeas 9 corpus by Judge Size and the Court of Appeals simply denied 10 Lavery to appeal the case. 11 A year later, undaunted, petitioner brought a case 12 in the Fourth Department and the holding in the 13 Fourth Department was that habeas corpus does not lie where 14 a petitioner seeks only to change conditions of confinement 15 rather than the confinement itself. 16 In that case, they were trying to move a 17 chimpanzee to a sanctuary much like they're trying to do 18 here with an elephant. And as I recall, the Court took an 19 interest in the argument two sessions ago, when the Court 20 had asked a question -- see if I can find it, your Honor. 21 In response to the issue dealing with the sanctuary the 22 Court asked and I quote from the transcript, would that not 23 be a sort of imprisonment also just a bigger prison to use 24 the -- to borrow the phrase used by the Court, at that time. 25 And the point of the matter is moving an animal to a

1

2

3

4

sanctuary is simply a change in confinement or conditions and under the fourth department decision in the Nonhuman Rights Project versus Presti, P-R-E-S-T-I, the habeas corpus ruling simply doesn't lie as a matter of law.

5 Lastly, and most recently in 2017 is the 6 Lavery II, L-A-V-E-R-Y, roman numeral II is decided in this 7 department, the First Department and the holding of that 8 case in our view, your Honor, is that no applicable 9 precedent supports habeas corpus release for chimpanzees or 10 quoting the court now, any animal end quote even the most 11 complex of which lack capacity for social or legal duties or 12 for legal accountability. Again, a denial of writ of habeas 13 corpus. That case went up again, the Court of Appeals denied 14 leave.

15 So the Court of Appeals has not taken on any of 16 these cases. The Appellate Division's 1, 2, 3 and 4 have 17 spoken and the most clear guidance comes to us from the 18 First Department, at least at this point.

Now, in response to these decisions, 23 state
supreme court justices have now heard habeas corpus cases
brought by petitioner in this case for animals whether it be
a chimpanzee or an elephant. This court is now the 24th
state supreme court justice who's the 24th judge to hear
these cases. At some point, we should guess the petitioner
ought be bound by the prior precedent.

Now, what's their response to these holdings? 1 2 Well, you've had several days of argument at this point as 3 to the Appellate Division Second Department case, my brother 4 at bar has suggested that they made a mistake. As to 5 Lavery I in the Third Department, there were three things 6 that were said. The habeas corpus is limited to humans as 7 obviously and demonstratively false, that the Third 8 Department simply misunderstood what John Chapman Gray had 9 been saying and that the Third Department ruling was a 10 demonstrably misunderstanding of the law. 11 We move to the Fourth Department. Counsel 12 suggested the decision was just as wrong as the 13 Second Department, and they simply misunderstood what the 14 case law was. 15 Now, finally we move to the Appellate Division 16 First Department which provides guidance to this Court, the 17 suggestion was made that the decision derives in part from a 18 gross misunderstanding of social contract theory. The First 19 Department decision derives from a misunderstanding of 20 habeas corpus and the rest of what the court talks about and 21 it talks about a lot of things and it's all dictum. 22 So there's an explanation for why 23 judges got it 23 wrong and that they're not bound by those precedents. And 24 that's a procedural context we walk into at the beginning of 25 this case.

1 Now, how do they handle these arguments that have 2 been brought before this court? Well, we've picked the top 3 six, in our judgement and we've lined them up against the 4 decision from the First Department in Lavery II, that's 5 Exhibit C, your Honor, for the Court's review. And we argue 6 as they have today that Happy is an entity who is 7 extraordinarily cognitive, complex and in a way that's 8 human, very human like. That's the argument before this 9 Court. What the First Department had to say in rejecting 10 that argument was that the gravamen of petitioner's argument 11 that chimpanzees are entitled to habeas release is that the 12 human like characteristics of chimpanzees renders them 13 persons for purposes of CPLR Article 70, the courts 14 specifically rejected that argument in dismissing the habeas 15 corpus petition.

16 The second major argument here deals with the red 17 dot mirror test, self recognition shows elephants can self-determine, in other words they're extraordinarily 18 19 cognitively complex, that's the argument here, your Honor. 20 In Lavery II what the court has to say is the assertive 21 cognitive and linguistic capabilities of chimpanzees do not 22 translate to a chimpanzee's capacity or ability like humans 23 to bear legal duties or to be held legally accountable for 24 their actions. Again, rejecting the contention raised here 25 by the petitioner.

1 Third item, all New Yorkers have a very large 2 number of writes even though they have no capacity 3 whatsoever for duties. And the Appellate Division said 4 squarely this argument ignores the fact that these are still 5 human beings, members of the human community which is a 6 point this Court raised on a prior day of argument. 7 Fourth item, the petitioner, we are asking that 8 Happy be moved from the Bronx Zoo to an entirely different 9 kind of facility, to a sanctuary that's just for elephants, 10 the Tennessee Elephants Sanctuary. The Court will recall 11 the first choice is California, the second choice is 12 Tennessee. It really doesn't make any difference. There 13 are obviously accredited places to take elephants, 14 your Honor. That's not the point, the point is there has to 15 be a demonstrable showing of an illegal confinement in order 16 for the court to grant a writ of habeas corpus. And the 17 Appellate Division has already ruled against these on each and every time on these issues. The court went on to say 18 19 seeking a release to an appropriate primary sanctuary more 20 suited to chimpanzees as opposed to challenging the illegal 21 detainment of Kinko, that was the chimpanzee autonomy does 22 not state a habeas claim. They squarely address this point, 23 your Honor.

24Next comes the Pet Trust Law and the Court will25recall you had asked some questions of counsel on this

1 your Honor dealing with the Pet Trust Law. That the argument --2 3 THE COURT: Pet? 4 MR. MANNING: The Pet Trust Law, I'm sorry my 5 diction is poor your Honor, this afternoon. 6 THE COURT: That's fine. 7 MR. MANNING: Thank you. 8 But the Court you may recall your Honor asking a 9 question, wasn't petitioner's argument somewhat circular on 10 this issue and we contend that it is circular. 11 The argument here is made that the basis for 12 creating the right to be a beneficiary to the corpus of a 13 trust was the legislature. So in giving G that they make 14 Happy a person under New York law, the beneficiary of a 15 trust is a person. And I guess the argument goes, 16 your Honor, if the legislature confers beneficiary status to 17 a pet, there must be some form of right if they have a right that equals a person and if it's a person they can get 18 19 otherwise. Again, it goes completely in a circle as the 20 Court had noted. 21 In any event, the Appellate Division, First 22 Department said clearly petitioner does not cite any sources 23 indicating that the United States or New York Constitution 24 were intended to protect nonhuman animals, right to liberty 25 or that the legislature intended the term person in

1 CPLR Article 70, to expand the availability of habeas 2 protection beyond humans. In fact, habeas relief has never 3 been found applicable to any animal. That comes directly 4 from the First Department, your Honor.

5 The last argument that we selected, is the 6 question as to whether or not Happy should have the right to 7 liberty protected by a writ of habeas corpus, that is the 8 question before you and should depend on the Court's 9 assessment, the intrinsic nature of elephants as a species. 10 And the Appellate Division had to say to that argument the 11 according of any fundamental legal writes to animals 12 including entitlement to habeas relief is an issue better 13 suited to the legislative process.

14 So, your Honor, it seems clear to us that the 15 decisions from the various Appellate Divisions that we've 16 briefed the Court on fully, require dismissal of petition on 17 the face of the petition. But if the Court were to consider 18 the merits of the petition, those decisions provide an 19 equally strong basis for dismissing the petition on the 20 merits.

If I could turn, in fact if I may your Honor some of the statements made today, and I'll try to deal with some of the more egregious statements or we suggest misstatements.

25

We have affidavits from Jim Breheny, we have one

1 from is Patrick Thomas, Dr. Thomas who is here today. We've 2 pulled him away from his duties at the Bronx Zoo, the 3 Wild Life Conservation Society and appearances on 4 Animal Planet they had to do. He has a six page affidavit 5 that was presented and also we have the affidavit from 6 the -- from Paul Calle, the veterinarian at the Bronx Zoo, 7 as well. 8 Let's just take for a moment the veterinarian's 9 affidavit. Portions is of this affidavit were read to the 10 Court suggesting clearly that either these folks didn't know 11 anything about elephants or didn't know anything about 12 Happy, in particular. I know the Court will read the 13 affidavits in their entirety before making a decision but if 14 I could suggest there's a few paragraphs that were 15 overlooked in the --16 THE COURT: On which one? 17 MR. MANNING: Okay, take a look, your Honor, if I 18 would on affidavit of Paul Kelly. 19 THE COURT: And that one is --20 MR. MANNING: That would be Number 3, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. MANNING: And it's suggested that he doesn't 23 know something about elephants or that he's not given any 24 care in particular to Happy. But if all you have to do is 25 just take a look at Paragraph 13 where it says based upon my

responsibilities in providing veterinarian care for almost 30 years to the around animals at the Bronx Zoo including Happy and to the best of my knowledge Happy is currently healthy and well adapted to her present surroundings.

1

2

3

4

5 Well, obviously, Dr. Calle has been attending to 6 Happy and it says so under oath in his affidavit. Similarly, 7 if you look at Paragraph 14 it says during my experience 8 with Happy, she has become very distressed during short 9 moves from one area of the Bronx Zoo to the other. Well of 10 course he's got experience with Happy, it says so in his 11 affidavit in clear terms if it isn't apparent from the rest 12 of the affidavit.

13 Secondly, let me turn to Dr. Thomas's affidavit 14 and the Court has some questions about the standards 15 relating to the AZA standards or the Animal Welfare Act, the 16 Federal Animal Welfare Statute. In Dr. Thomas's affidavit, 17 he goes on to describe with great specificity the AZA 18 standards including Standard 1.4 related facilities. He 19 attached those standards to his affidavit and they are there 20 for the Court's review. He goes in great detail to outline 21 how the Bronx Zoo and the Wild Life Conservation Society 22 meet those standards. And in oral argument today, counsel 23 really acknowledged that there's been no finding that any of 24 those standards have been not met by the zoo and there's 25 absolutely no illegality whatsoever in the zoo having Happy

1 within the zoo. There's been no violation of the standards, 2 none has been suggested. 3 And the affidavit from Professor Poole, Dr. Poole 4 was quoted at great length when you get all done with it 5 she's not seen Happy. These are people who work with Happy 6 on a regular basis and it's apparent in the affidavit that 7 they were work with Happy on a regular basis. For example, 8 Paragraph 30 on Patrick Thomas's affidavit. Patrick 9 Thomas's affidavit is Exhibit Number 9, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. MANNING: Courtesy copies have been provided by 12 counsel. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. MANNING: Paragraph 30, based upon my 40 years of experience and responsibilities in supervising the care 15 16 of animals at the Bronx Zoo including Happy and to the best 17 of my knowledge Happy is currently healthy and well adapted 18 to her surroundings at the Bronx Zoo. 19 So it's obvious from Dr. Thomas's affidavit that 20 he's had personal experience with Happy, the elephant. 21 Similar, if you take a look at the supplemental 22 affidavit of Mr. Breheny, which is --23 THE COURT: Two. 24 MR. MANNING: Item 2, thank you. 25 If you take a look at Paragraph 18 on page four,

he outlines his observations relating to Happy, the elephant, based upon his past experiences with Happy. And I'll finish the sentence, the Bronx Zoo knows that she becomes particularly distressed by even short moves within the zoo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

And Paragraph 29 of Jim Breheny's affidavit, he goes on to say based upon my expertise, decades long experience with Happy and the foregoing, it is my professional opinion that Happy's interest would not be best served at this time by moving her to an animal sanctuary.

11 Well, of course he's got experience. He's got 12 decades long experience and it's outlined under oath in his 13 affidavit. So to suggest that the people of the Bronx Zoo 14 know anything about elephants or they don't have any 15 experience with Happy means that someone hasn't read 16 completely the affidavits. And I suggest the affidavits are 17 very clear on their face, that he they both understand how to care for the elephants and that they're caring very well 18 19 for the elephant and there's been no criticism of the care 20 of the elephant by anybody, at this point, save observations 21 based on a video someone watched and never took a look at 22 the animal itself.

23 So that's as far as I want to spend time reading 24 the Court affidavits because the Court has copies of them. 25 There's been no evidence that Happy is brutally

unhappy. And in fact, I suggest based on the record developed, Happy is happy where she is and the only competent proof this Court has is that Happy is in fact happy where she is.

1

2

3

4

5 If I could turn to a couple other points, 6 your Honor and I'm inclined to stick to the major points. 7 You know, one of the things that we watched here is kind of 8 a bait and switch when it comes to the legal analysis. 9 Initially, this Court was presented by a petitioner with the 10 argument that this case is only about Happy the elephant. In 11 fact, I'm quoting now from the transcript that this specific 12 elephant, Happy, is entitled to a writ of habeas corpus, 13 that's on page 35 of the transcript. And the repeated 14 phrase was that the case in front of the Court is indeed 15 solely on behalf of an imprisoned, illegally detained 16 elephant named Happy and that's all this case is about.

17 Well, to emphasize that point they've talked about 18 Happy being the first elephant in the world to past the 19 mirror self-recognition test and that Happy was the only one 20 that passed that test. In response to your Honor's question 21 at that time -- and this happened some time ago so I'll lay 22 it out, your Honor asked if Happy is the rare elephant in 23 the whole population of elephants to recognize its 24 reflection in a mirror and counsel admitted that other 25 elephants have actually done the same thing. That caused

1 NRP, the petitioner, to reverse course completely and assert 2 that the answer to the question of whether Happy has the 3 right to liberty protected by habeas corpus will depend on 4 our assessment of the intrinsic nature of nonhuman animals 5 as a species. So they've gone from Happy the elephant in a 6 habeas proceedings now to all of a sudden a species wide 7 determination, which doesn't belong in any kind of habeas 8 corpus proceedings.

9 The expert affidavit and counsel was kind to quote 10 at length from one of them but there are a series of them, 11 your Honor, those affidavits, the same affidavits were 12 offered in Connecticut, same affidavits except for the 13 supplemental affidavit of Professor Poole. And in 14 Connecticut, the affidavits also didn't mention Happy, they 15 were basically the same affidavit submitted for three 16 different elephants. So the same attack was made species 17 wide in the Connecticut court.

The trial court in Connecticut dismissed the 18 19 petition for habeas corpus as frivolous on its face. On 20 appeal, the Connecticut Court of Appeals highlighted the 21 same issue as your Honor highlighted in one of the earlier 22 proceedings here. And here's what the Connecticut Appellate 23 Court said and this is from the Connecticut Court of Appeals 24 and I'm quoting now it's a lengthy quote but it goes right 25 to the heart of the matter, your Honor.

cs
s
3
as
al
t
t
LS
r r' us

highly intelligent if not all nonhuman animals the right to 1 2 bring suit in a court of law. At this juncture, we decline 3 to make such sweeping pronouncements when there exists so 4 little authority for doing so. 5 And in Connecticut, your Honor, Connecticut didn't have the benefit of four Appellate Division decisions as 6 7 this court has laying it out more clearly. 8 THE COURT: And a copy of that decision is in your 9 papers or no? 10 MR. MANNING: If we did not submit it previously, 11 your Honor, we'll submit it if I may to the Court. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 (Whereupon, a brief pause was held in the 14 proceedings.) 15 (Whereupon, the referred to exhibit was handed to 16 the Court.) 17 MR. MANNING: You know, given these 18 inconsistencies in position, claiming the matter is about 19 Happy but failing to submit any affidavits actually about 20 Happy in asserting Happy has a right to liberty and equality 21 but then indicating that Happy be put in a sanctuary of 22 petitioner's choosing, you know one must really ask whose 23 interests our petitioner is pursuing and are those interests 24 worth upending settled New York law. 25 You know, the petitioner published this 2019

annual report online a few days ago, listing its first 1 2 objective as to change the common law status of great apes, 3 elephants, dolphins and whales from mere things which lack 4 the capacity to possess any legal right to legal persons who 5 possess such fundamental rights as bodily liberty and bodily 6 integrity. And I suggest to you, based on the Lavery 7 decision, your Honor, that's an appropriate legislative 8 It's not an appropriate judicial agenda. agenda.

9 Petitioner has previously argued to this Court
10 that he believes that it can continue to litigate in courts
11 of New York so long as there are animals that petitioner may
12 select and draft as their so called clients. They've now
13 been up and down the appellate ladder in four departments at
14 this point, your Honor, and at some point they need to be
15 bound by the ruling as any other citizen would be.

16 In contrast with the petitioner, the Wildlife 17 Conservation Society stated mission is to save wildlife in wild places worldwide through science, conservation, action 18 19 education and inspiring people to value each. The Wildlife 20 Conservation Society and the Bronx Zoo have cared for Happy 21 and looked after her best interest for 40 years. At the 22 Bronx Zoo, Happy has caregivers familiar with her needs and 23 received expert attention and medical care.

Now, even though the welfare for Happy should not
even be an issue in this case, Happy has been provided for

at the Bronx Zoo in an excellent fashion and her welfare is 1 2 not in doubt in this case. We went through the affidavits, 3 you have them your Honor, they've been challenged by counsel 4 admittedly but the Court certainly is free to take a look at 5 them but at this point we think it is pretty clear that we 6 have demonstrated that there's no illegality in the 7 so-called detention of Happy the elephant at the Bronx Zoo, 8 that she is well cared for there and that the four 9 department decisions that we have presented to the Court, in 10 particular the First Department decision favor a dismissal 11 of the petition on its face your Honor. And if the Court 12 reaches the merits of the petition, it requires a straight 13 denial of the petition as a matter of law. 14 Thank you, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. 16 Does anyone else want to speak on behalf of the 17 respondent or no, you will rest on the argument? 18 You rest on the argument of counsel? 19 MR. MANNING: Thank you, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Wise, you have a brief response or 21 not? You rest on --22 MR. WISE: Very brief. We are not going to 23 reargue, obviously we have a response that's much greater 24 length to everything my brother said. We've taken good 25 notes, we have wonderful notes, minimum most I just wanted

to pick up a few things that we didn't talk about. 1 2 First thing is when I talked about the Leider case 3 last time --4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. WISE: -- I noted it had been overturned by the 6 California supreme court on another ground. It was the 7 judge in the superior court in L.A. issued an order against 8 the city, an injunction against the city and the supreme 9 court overturned it on a procedural ground saying in 10 California that you could not issue such an order against a 11 city. It did not overturn it on the merits but on that 12 procedural ground. I just wanted to say that. 13 The Commerford case that my brother was reading 14 about the case that came down in August, in Connecticut. 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. WISE: That is interesting. When we argued that 17 in the lower court, the lower court dismissed the case because unlike New York they said we lack standing because 18 19 we had not claimed that we had a -- we had a relationship, a 20 preexisting relationship with the elephant. So we appealed 21 that on that ground. And then what the Connecticut 22 Appellate Court did was say we're going to reach the merits 23 without you having gotten a hearing on the merits below and 24 without you briefing it with us. And so they ruled against 25 us.

1 And it just so happens that on Wednesday, I'm 2 going back in front of the Connecticut Appellate Court 3 saying you should not be paying attention to that case 4 because in Connecticut, at least, the Supreme Court of 5 Connecticut is very clear that when you're looking at 6 standing you may not go to the merits which is what they 7 did. So we're hoping to persuade the second appellate court 8 that the first appellate court was wrong in not -- in 9 reaching the merits without giving us the chance to actually 10 brief and argue that. So we shall see what happens there. 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: And the -- that's all I have to say. 12 13 And I am grateful for your attention these last 14 three days and I'm very grateful for your questions, thank 15 you. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. The decision will 17 be reserved, at this time. You will get a copy of the 18 decision. Thank you so much, counsel. 19 MR. WISE: Your Honor, if I could just mention one 20 thing. 21 Can we keep the orders that they not transfer 22 Happy out of the state until the court makes a decision? 23 THE COURT: Oh, I believe it's still in effect. 24 MR. MANNING: We understand it's in place, 25 your Honor, pending your decision.

- Proceedings -THE COURT: Right. Thank you so much everyone. This is certified to be a true and accurate transcript from my stenographic notes. SHONELL N. MABRY Senior Court Reporter

SNN

\$	2019 [3] - 52:19, 93:8,	50th [1] - 66:6	accurate [1] - 99:3	19:10, 19:15, 22:20,
Ψ	94:25	5195 [1] - 1:21	acknowledged [2] -	22:22, 26:6, 26:12,
\$30 [1] - 47:8	2020 [1] - 1:12	54 [1] - 53:20	46:24, 88:23	26:20, 29:5, 30:15,
· · ·	20th [1] - 76:12	_	acknowledgment [1] -	30:17, 31:9, 31:18,
1	21 [7] - 65:19, 65:21,	6	36:8	32:11, 32:24, 33:17,
1 [8] - 4:22, 5:19, 8:22,	65:22, 66:25, 67:1,	6 [11] - 7:5, 25:5,	acoustic [1] - 23:25	34:14, 34:23, 35:17, 35:21, 35:23, 37:13,
10:20, 23:18, 68:12,	67:10, 67:11	30:17, 36:2, 36:3,	acre [15] - 18:8, 18:10,	43:1, 46:7, 46:10,
81:16	22 [2] - 53:19, 64:25	53:22, 59:13, 59:15,	31:12, 31:13, 46:17, 47:6, 48:12, 48:16,	51:24, 52:12, 52:22,
1.4 [1] - 88:18	23 [3] - 61:25, 81:19, 82:22	60:7, 61:25, 62:19	48:18, 48:20, 51:13,	53:18, 53:19, 53:20,
10 [2] - 25:21, 60:8	2300 [1] - 2:10	600 [1] - 46:23	51:16, 51:18, 52:25,	53:21, 59:10, 59:11,
10451 [1] - 1:12	24 [4] - 53:20, 75:24,		54:24	59:17, 60:1, 60:2,
10460 [1] - 2:10	76:1, 76:13	7	act [2] - 41:9, 44:23	60:7, 61:9, 61:17,
1055 [1] - 78:18	24th [2] - 81:22, 81:23		Act [20] - 38:12, 39:12,	61:24, 62:1, 62:20,
11 [4] - 25:22, 35:19,	2600 [1] - 31:13	7 [10] - 32:12, 33:1,	39:13, 39:22, 39:23,	62:23, 63:10, 64:24,
36:4, 63:9	27 [2] - 51:23, 51:24	35:16, 35:18, 35:21,	40:4, 40:7, 40:8,	65:22, 66:1, 66:20,
11040 [2] - 2:2, 3:11	27th [1] - 1:18	35:25, 36:4, 46:9, 51:23, 60:8	40:24, 41:16, 41:18,	87:4, 87:5, 87:9,
11214 [1] - 1:18	28 [4] - 25:14, 46:9,	70 [6] - 1:2, 66:19,	45:4, 45:5, 45:25,	87:18, 88:6, 88:11,
112th [1] - 1:21	53:20	67:16, 83:13, 86:1	46:1, 46:3, 46:14,	88:12, 88:13, 88:16, 88:19, 89:3, 89:6,
12 [2] - 25:24, 62:1	29 [3] - 46:9, 53:20,	7003(b) [1] - 68:17	48:7, 58:12, 88:15	89:8, 89:9, 89:19,
125 [1] - 2:6 13 [4] - 60:2, 62:11,	90:6		acting [1] - 37:5 action [1] - 95:18	89:22, 90:6, 90:13,
13 [4] - 60:2, 62:11, 62:21, 87:25		8	action [1] - 95:18 actions [1] - 83:24	92:9, 92:13, 92:15
14 [3] - 26:10, 61:18,	3		active [1] - 19:20	affidavits [43] - 4:23,
88:7	3 [8] - 8:22, 12:19,	8 [3] - 7:7, 8:15, 25:9	activities [1] - 34:20	5:14, 5:15, 6:24, 7:1,
14203-2887 [1] - 2:6	13:24, 16:11, 23:19,	80 [2] - 66:19	adapted [4] - 64:6,	9:9, 9:16, 9:17, 9:19,
16 [2] - 73:23, 74:4	53:21, 81:16, 87:20	851 [1] - 1:11	64:9, 88:4, 89:17	9:20, 9:25, 10:9,
1675 [1] - 63:7	30 [7] - 21:15, 69:7,	•	add [1] - 69:7	10:10, 10:11, 21:1,
17 [3] - 11:13, 26:19,	73:17, 74:10, 88:2,	9	addition [2] - 13:9,	29:12, 30:24, 33:7,
27:14	89:8, 89:14	9 [6] - 6:9, 15:2, 25:14,	24:10	34:16, 35:3, 35:5,
17th [1] - 76:5	3050 [1] - 2:14	37:20, 60:8, 89:9	address [2] - 40:5,	35:6, 42:25, 43:4, 49:25, 52:15, 52:18,
18 [4] - 61:9, 62:12,	31 [1] - 1:12	99 [1] - 1:18	84:22	59:5, 59:25, 62:11,
62:21, 89:25	33076 [1] - 1:22		addresses [3] - 22:14,	67:18, 73:16, 86:25,
1850 [1] - 76:9	35 [1] - 91:13	Α	40:7, 60:8	87:13, 90:16, 90:24,
18th [1] - 76:23	36 [1] - 93:8 37 [1] - 11:8	1.11/2 00.47	addressing [2] - 5:8,	92:11, 92:12, 92:14,
19 [1] - 78:13 192 [1] - 93:8	394 [2] - 78:16, 78:18	ability [7] - 36:17,	63:10 adds [1] - 12:3	94:19, 96:2
1975 [1] - 27:20	3d [2] - 78:16, 78:18	45:18, 47:19, 49:9,	adequate [1] - 75:5	afforded [1] - 80:7
1982 [1] - 23:14		49:10, 54:10, 83:22 able [7] - 20:8, 45:16,	adjunct [3] - 11:12,	Africa [3] - 23:20,
1985 [1] - 40:8	4	45:17, 48:23, 64:7,	11:15, 14:9	30:21, 45:20
1989 [1] - 12:21		64:8, 72:24	administrative [1] -	African [7] - 13:15,
	4 [14] - 7:2, 8:22,	absolute [1] - 70:24	13:10	26:1, 26:3, 26:7,
2	19:11, 19:16, 23:2,	absolutely [3] - 16:18,	administrator [1] -	27:21, 28:7, 28:15 afternoon [2] - 79:6,
	24:10, 30:15, 30:16,	44:11, 88:25	15:21	85:5
2 [8] - 5:22, 8:22, 12:1,	53:21, 59:18, 65:23,	abuse [1] - 79:3	administrators [1] -	agenda [2] - 95:8
32:12, 60:2, 62:22,	67:7, 67:9, 81:16 40 [17] - 18:7, 21:16,	accepted [1] - 66:14	53:10	aggression [2] -
81:16, 89:24	40 [17] - 18.7, 21.16, 23:21, 23:23, 33:11,	according [2] - 58:1,	admitted [1] - 91:24	49:14, 49:20
20 [2] - 19:20, 93:8 200 [1] - 73:2	48:10, 48:22, 52:1,	86:11	admittedly [1] - 96:4	aggressive [4] -
200 [1] - 73.2 2000 [1] - 52:18	60:19, 61:4, 61:16,	account [1] - 45:2	advanced [2] - 24:5, 27:17	20:18, 50:1, 50:4,
2000 [1] - 32:10 20007 [1] - 2:14	63:2, 67:6, 74:16,	accountability [2] -	Advisory[1] - 16:5	59:20
2002 [1] - 24:14	77:6, 89:14, 95:21	80:5, 81:12 accountable [1] -	affect [1] - 74:22	ago [7] - 21:9, 72:7,
2007 [1] - 24:14	400 [1] - 2:14	83:23	affiant [2] - 6:12, 8:24	73:2, 75:16, 80:19,
2008 [1] - 28:10	45 [1] - 68:8	accounting [1] - 34:1	affiants [1] - 10:16	91:21, 95:1
2012 [3] - 78:13,		accreditation [1] -	affidavit [89] - 5:19,	agree [3] - 54:20,
78:23, 78:24	5	17:23	5:21, 5:24, 7:2, 7:5,	70:12, 76:17
2014 [1] - 79:21	5 [4] - 2:2, 3:11, 7:4,	Accreditation [1] -	7:6, 9:20, 9:21, 9:24,	agreed [1] - 61:12 agreeing [1] - 35:1
2017 [3] - 78:19,	3 [4] - 2.2, 3.11, 7.4, 8:22	12:5	10:19, 11:25, 12:17,	agreement [1] - 54:20
78:24, 81:5	50s [1] - 63:7	accredited [3] - 17:22,	12:18, 15:1, 15:2,	ahead [1] - 11:1
2018 [1] - 52:18		38:15, 84:13	15:25, 16:19, 16:20,	

	80:16 02:4 04:1	20.10 26.24 42.12		25.21 29.12 20.5
aims [1] - 24:4	89:16, 92:4, 94:1,	29:10, 36:24, 43:13,	attorney [1] - 76:22	25:21, 28:12, 29:5,
Alaska [1] - 63:1	95:11	44:9, 45:7, 45:9,	Attorney [1] - 2:1	43:18, 63:19, 64:1,
Alexander [1] - 76:21	Anne [1] - 76:4	45:22, 45:25, 46:1,	Attorneys [5] - 1:17,	66:1, 68:24, 87:25,
ALISON [1] - 1:14	annexed [1] - 66:20	58:25, 59:2	1:21, 2:5, 2:9, 2:13	89:14, 90:2, 90:7,
Alison [1] - 3:6	annual [1] - 95:1	argument [34] - 4:5,	attraction [1] - 49:12	90:21, 91:1, 95:6
allege [2] - 42:19, 79:9	answer [1] - 92:2	4:13, 4:24, 7:18,	attributed [1] - 32:18	basic [1] - 61:3
		42:13, 43:6, 43:9,	audience [1] - 56:17	basis [8] - 36:24,
allow [2] - 19:5, 93:25	Anthony [3] - 76:7,			•••
allowed [1] - 47:9	76:8, 76:9	45:10, 45:11, 46:21,	August [2] - 93:8,	60:25, 65:16, 65:20,
almost [5] - 5:9, 23:7,	anti [1] - 60:13	56:21, 70:16, 77:23,	97:14	85:11, 86:19, 89:6,
37:21, 64:15, 88:1	anti-social [1] - 60:13	79:12, 80:19, 82:2,	aunts [2] - 21:22,	89:7
alone [1] - 73:23	anticipate [1] - 42:4	83:8, 83:10, 83:14,	47:21	bear [2] - 4:1, 83:23
ALSO [1] - 2:17	apes [1] - 95:2	83:16, 83:19, 84:4,	authoritative [1] -	became [2] - 46:24,
Amboseli [1] - 24:13	App [1] - 93:8	84:6, 85:2, 85:9,	66:14	70:21
• •		85:11, 85:15, 86:5,		become [5] - 20:17,
amended [2] - 40:9,	apparent [2] - 88:11,	86:10, 88:22, 91:10,	authority [1] - 94:4	
42:17	89:6		autonomous [10] -	27:18, 59:19, 62:8,
amendment [1] -	appeal [3] - 79:24,	96:17, 96:18	19:6, 20:1, 36:10,	88:8
40:14	80:10, 92:20	arguments [7] - 4:2,	44:20, 45:12, 58:17,	becomes [3] - 11:22,
America [1] - 76:2	appealed [1] - 97:20	5:8, 5:9, 5:11, 67:25,	71:7, 71:15, 73:3,	61:18, 90:4
amount [1] - 56:3	Appeals [14] - 69:9,	68:2, 83:1	73:4	beg [1] - 77:20
	69:12, 71:3, 71:11,	art [1] - 75:24	autonomy [19] -	began [1] - 8:5
analogy [1] - 57:15		Art [1] - 16:13	14:23, 17:16, 36:22,	begin [2] - 4:21, 10:13
analysis [3] - 43:7,	71:12, 72:15, 75:8,	artfully [1] - 33:18		-
79:2, 91:8	80:1, 80:9, 81:13,	arthritis [1] - 20:2	45:18, 46:19, 46:22,	beginning [3] - 45:8,
analyze [1] - 17:20	81:15, 92:20, 92:23,		47:3, 47:25, 49:8,	45:23, 82:24
analyzing [1] - 28:6	93:7	article [1] - 32:20	72:13, 72:20, 72:23,	begs [1] - 42:14
Andrew [1] - 76:22	appeals [1] - 79:25	Article [3] - 1:2, 83:13,	73:5, 73:7, 77:8,	behalf [4] - 1:4, 44:15,
Angeles [5] - 8:16,	appear [1] - 74:14	86:1	84:21, 93:19	91:15, 96:16
•	appearances [2] - 3:8,	articles [6] - 17:10,	availability [1] - 86:1	Behavior [2] - 16:13,
26:17, 27:1, 73:24,	87:3	25:15, 66:20, 67:16,	Avenue [1] - 1:18	23:15
78:13		69:3, 75:16		
animal [30] - 14:3,	appellate [3] - 95:13,		awards [1] - 25:6	behavior [22] - 7:4,
14:5, 15:15, 17:20,	98:7, 98:8	Arts [3] - 13:25, 14:1,	aware [1] - 74:12	17:20, 20:1, 20:3,
18:9, 18:10, 23:23,	Appellate [12] - 81:16,	23:11	awful [1] - 22:15	20:4, 23:17, 23:25,
38:5, 39:20, 39:25,	82:3, 82:15, 84:3,	Asher [1] - 79:22	AZA [33] - 12:4, 12:5,	24:7, 24:25, 27:17,
40:2, 40:6, 40:11,	84:17, 85:21, 86:10,	Asia [1] - 30:22	16:1, 16:2, 16:4,	27:19, 27:25, 28:6,
40:12, 40:23, 41:8,	86:15, 92:22, 94:6,	Asian [5] - 13:16,	16:25, 17:9, 17:12,	28:10, 28:14, 50:18,
	97:22, 98:2	24:23, 26:8, 28:8,	17:16, 18:3, 18:6,	51:1, 51:8, 65:5,
44:1, 44:15, 44:22,		28:9	37:11, 37:16, 37:21,	66:8, 74:2, 74:5
46:11, 47:8, 50:19,	applicable [2] - 81:8,			
65:13, 70:5, 70:7,	86:3	aspect [2] - 11:7,	38:4, 38:9, 38:15,	behavioral [1] - 53:25
80:25, 81:10, 86:3,	application [1] - 79:22	17:15	39:5, 41:15, 41:19,	behaviors [1] - 50:8
90:10, 90:22	apply [1] - 14:24	aspects [1] - 17:15	45:6, 45:24, 46:2,	beings [6] - 16:16,
Animal [25] - 13:4,	appreciate [1] - 59:16	aspire [1] - 24:4	46:4, 46:6, 46:13,	21:20, 46:25, 50:21,
16:13, 23:15, 38:12,	approach [1] - 77:25	assert [1] - 92:1	48:6, 48:9, 52:2,	80:7, 84:5
39:12, 39:13, 40:4,	appropriate [7] - 62:9,	asserting [1] - 94:20	57:24, 58:11, 88:15,	believes [1] - 95:10
		assertive [1] - 83:20	88:17	belong [1] - 92:7
40:7, 40:8, 40:24,	73:11, 74:9, 77:8,	asserts [1] - 93:11	00.11	below [1] - 97:23
41:15, 41:17, 45:4,	84:19, 95:7, 95:8		В	
45:5, 45:25, 46:1,	Aquarium [1] - 13:1	assessed [2] - 18:14	В	beneficiary [3] -
46:3, 46:13, 48:7,	Aquariums [1] - 1:8	assessment [2] - 86:9,	B.S [3] - 10:23, 11:2,	85:12, 85:14, 85:16
58:11, 73:13, 77:11,	aquariums [1] - 15:12	92:4		benefit [1] - 94:6
87:4, 88:15, 88:16	Aquatic [1] - 12:24	Associate [2] - 6:21,	11:5	best [9] - 57:15, 63:24,
animal's [1] - 40:5	aquatic [1] - 30:6	15:6	Bachelor [3] - 13:25,	64:5, 64:11, 65:13,
Animals [1] - 73:12	• • • •	assume [1] - 15:9	16:12, 23:11	88:3, 89:16, 90:9,
	arbitrary [1] - 72:25	assuming [2] - 54:14,	bait [1] - 91:8	95:21
animals [33] - 13:8,	area [4] - 51:17, 61:19,	••••	ballroom [1] - 11:14	
13:20, 15:8, 15:17,	61:21, 88:9	55:22	Bannister [1] - 8:1	better [2] - 31:11,
15:19, 17:12, 18:13,	Argentina [1] - 78:9	attached [1] - 88:19		86:12
18:15, 19:18, 30:3,	argue [7] - 9:17,	attack [1] - 92:16	bar [1] - 82:4	between [5] - 20:21,
30:6, 34:21, 36:10,	10:11, 58:17, 58:25,	attacked [2] - 60:14,	barer [1] - 57:9	36:12, 57:1, 57:2,
39:19, 39:21, 40:18,	73:11, 83:5, 98:10	60:24	bark [5] - 53:6, 53:8,	59:23
44:17, 50:19, 53:11,	argued [6] - 58:15,	attending [1] - 88:5	53:14, 53:15	beyond [2] - 41:15,
54:1, 64:3, 64:4,	-	attention [7] - 43:11,	barked [1] - 53:9	86:2
76:16, 79:3, 81:21,	68:25, 72:12, 76:23,	78:8, 78:15, 79:17,	barn [2] - 51:15, 65:9	big [1] - 75:19
	95:9, 97:16		based [16] - 12:23,	
85:24, 86:11, 88:2,	arguing [13] - 29:9,	95:23, 98:3, 98:13	NUSCU [10] - 12.20,	bigger [1] - 80:23
	Je Je Ji i i i			

2

Billy [3] - 51:5, 51:7,	bring [3] - 43:10,	Cambridge [3] -	80:16, 81:8, 81:13,	15:11, 73:21, 74:3
73:22	44:14, 94:2	23:14, 27:18, 66:2	81:21, 82:3, 82:14,	children [3] - 21:22,
			82:25, 91:10, 91:14,	47:22, 72:8
Biological [1] - 23:12	bringing [3] - 43:22, 44:18, 57:7	Canalside [1] - 2:5 cannot [3] - 32:18,	91:16, 93:2, 93:3,	chimpanzee [5] -
biology [5] - 16:16, 16:17, 37:1, 71:23,	brings [1] - 73:21	36:10, 80:5	93:12, 93:21, 93:23,	71:6, 71:16, 80:17,
72:4	Britain [1] - 78:9		95:25, 96:2, 97:2,	81:22, 84:21
Biology [5] - 10:23,		capabilities [1] - 83:21	97:13, 97:14, 97:17,	chimpanzee's [1] -
10:24, 11:2, 11:3,	broad [2] - 39:15, 39:18		98:3	83:22
16:14	BRONX [1] - 1:1	capable [1] - 19:18 capacities [1] - 53:25	Cases [1] - 76:2	chimpanzees [9] -
bit [3] - 44:3, 76:18,	Bronx [51] - 1:9, 1:12,	capacity [9] - 1:8,	cases [17] - 44:18,	44:18, 44:23, 45:3,
76:19	2:10, 6:3, 6:6, 6:7,	11:9, 13:17, 58:15,	45:8, 58:6, 68:1,	80:4, 81:9, 83:11,
blood [5] - 18:20,	6:12, 6:14, 6:17,	80:4, 81:11, 83:22,	68:2, 70:17, 75:1,	83:12, 83:21, 84:20
48:1, 49:4, 64:7,	6:21, 10:21, 10:23,	84:2, 95:4	76:11, 76:19, 78:8,	choice [4] - 60:20,
74:20	12:23, 12:25, 13:9,	captive [5] - 19:25,	78:9, 79:19, 81:16,	84:11, 93:19
blossom [2] - 22:18	15:7, 15:11, 16:24,	31:1, 36:25, 46:8,	81:20, 81:24	choose [4] - 36:23,
blossomed [1] - 62:18	30:3, 30:25, 33:8,	63:12	catatonic [2] - 20:18,	49:9, 49:10, 72:24
Board [2] - 12:4	33:25, 34:3, 36:8,	captivity [3] - 36:16,	59:20	choosing [1] - 94:22
board [3] - 12:6, 12:7,	47:6, 47:7, 48:11,	37:2, 74:11	catch [1] - 4:1	christopher [1] - 3:17
16:7	49:19, 54:24, 60:4,	captors [1] - 75:3	caused [1] - 91:25	CHRISTOPHER [1] -
bodies [1] - 19:22	60:20, 61:4, 61:19,	care [33] - 13:10,	causes [1] - 45:24	2:11
bodily [3] - 71:8, 95:5	61:21, 64:3, 73:9,	13:20, 13:21, 13:23,	Central [1] - 12:25	circle [1] - 85:19
boiler [2] - 33:13,	74:18, 84:8, 87:2,	14:25, 17:17, 18:19,	century [2] - 76:5,	circular [2] - 85:9,
33:22	87:6, 88:2, 88:9,	20:19, 24:8, 34:11,	76:12	85:10
books [7] - 25:9,	88:21, 89:16, 89:18,	37:11, 38:15, 39:25,	Century [1] - 76:23	circus [1] - 63:7
25:11, 25:20, 37:19,	90:3, 90:13, 95:20,	40:2, 48:6, 48:7,	certain [6] - 34:20,	citation [1] - 93:8
66:13, 75:17	95:22, 96:1, 96:7	54:7, 57:12, 57:14,	39:14, 39:21, 44:17,	cite [2] - 32:19, 85:22
bore [1] - 26:16	Brooklyn [1] - 1:18	58:3, 59:20, 64:2,	69:25, 70:1	cited [3] - 66:18,
bored [3] - 20:17,	brother [11] - 10:17,	64:4, 75:5, 75:12,	certainly [5] - 16:19,	67:19, 75:14
51:2, 59:19	14:18, 18:5, 45:9,	75:13, 87:24, 88:1,	40:6, 53:11, 64:15,	citizen [1] - 95:15
boredom [1] - 20:2	45:22, 57:11, 67:23,	89:15, 90:18, 90:19,	96:4	citizens [1] - 69:21
born [5] - 69:25, 70:2,	68:5, 82:3, 96:24,	95:23	certified [1] - 99:3	city [3] - 97:8, 97:11
70:3, 70:23	97:13	Care [3] - 13:4, 13:14,	certify [1] - 42:7	CIVIL [1] - 1:1
borrow [1] - 80:24	brought [4] - 62:16,	38:10	chagrin [1] - 74:3	civil [1] - 76:22
Boston [1] - 76:8	80:11, 81:21, 83:2	cared [4] - 14:22,	chair [3] - 12:6, 13:3,	claim [3] - 26:25, 61:8,
bothered [1] - 25:12	Brown [1] - 76:9	57:22, 95:20, 96:8	24:3	84:22
Boulevard [1] - 2:10	brutally [2] - 14:20,	caregiver [1] - 59:8	Chairman [1] - 12:3	claimed [1] - 97:19
bound [6] - 68:13,	90:25	caregivers [1] - 95:22	challenged [1] - 96:3	claiming [1] - 94:18
68:23, 71:20, 81:25,	Buffalo [1] - 2:6	caring [3] - 33:10,	challenging [1] -	claims [1] - 62:2
82:23, 95:15	Burn [3] - 71:21, 71:22	58:8, 90:18	84:20	clarification [1] - 9:8
brains [1] - 53:23	Burns [2] - 76:7, 76:8	case [90] - 5:13, 7:7,	chance [4] - 55:6,	clarify [1] - 39:1
Brazil [2] - 63:5, 63:6	buttressed [1] - 13:24	7:24, 8:5, 8:16, 9:2,	60:16, 67:24, 98:9	clear [15] - 9:25, 10:2,
Breheny [32] - 5:19,	BY [6] - 1:19, 1:22,	13:23, 14:16, 15:16,	change [4] - 62:3,	10:9, 11:22, 58:24,
5:22, 6:7, 9:20,	2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 2:15	26:17, 26:22, 26:24,	80:14, 81:1, 95:2	69:20, 71:3, 72:4,
10:13, 10:14, 10:18,		27:4, 27:9, 27:10,	changed [1] - 71:18	80:7, 81:17, 86:14,
10:19, 11:19, 11:25,	C	41:12, 42:16, 42:17,	Chapman [1] - 82:8	88:11, 90:17, 96:5,
13:8, 24:18, 25:10,	calculus [1] - 11:14	43:14, 43:15, 43:17,	chapters [1] - 25:20	98:5
28:18, 28:23, 29:8,	calf [1] - 37:3	45:10, 45:21, 45:22,	characteristics [3] -	clearly [3] - 85:22,
29:11, 30:2, 32:11,	California [13] - 7:8,	48:14, 51:4, 56:1, 57:7, 57:12, 57:10	25:19, 32:17, 83:12	87:10, 94:7
33:9, 35:16, 37:4,	26:21, 27:10, 61:11,	57:7, 57:12, 57:19, 58:9, 58:10, 58:22,	charge [2] - 6:16,	clients [1] - 95:12
59:6, 59:24, 60:2,	73:14, 77:11, 78:9,	58:25, 59:1, 59:2,	54:16	Clinical [1] - 12:24
60:10, 61:9, 63:11,	78:12, 78:24, 79:3,	68:5, 68:14, 68:15,	checklist [1] - 41:23	close [1] - 9:16
63:19, 86:25, 89:22	84:11, 97:6, 97:10	69:8, 69:9, 69:12,	Chemistry [1] - 11:5	closely [1] - 65:8
BREHENY [1] - 1:8	Calle [20] - 5:25, 6:1,	69:15, 69:23, 71:21,	chemistry [1] - 11:7	closing [1] - 76:24
breheny [1] - 10:15	6:2, 9:21, 12:18,	71:22, 74:10, 75:1,	Chen [1] - 3:16	co [3] - 24:3, 24:11,
Breheny's [1] - 90:6	15:10, 25:10, 28:19,	75:11, 76:11, 76:18,	CHEN [2] - 2:7, 3:16	51:13
brief [6] - 23:8, 64:21,	28:23, 29:11, 30:5,	77:2, 77:3, 78:8,	cherished [1] - 93:18	co-chair [1] - 24:3
94:13, 96:20, 96:22, 98:10	34:5, 61:17, 64:1,	78:11, 78:12, 78:15,	cherry [2] - 67:25, 68:1	co-counsel [1] - 51:13
briefed [1] - 86:16	64:7, 65:11, 87:6,	78:20, 79:18, 79:21,	chief [8] - 6:5, 6:6,	co-directing [1] -
briefing [1] - 97:24	88:5	79:24, 80:10, 80:11,	12:22, 13:7, 15:10,	24:11
			12.22, 10.1, 10.10,	cognition [4] - 7:4,

SNM

24:6, 24:25, 25:17	13:18, 60:25	42:23	course [3] - 88:10,	40:20, 41:1, 41:4,
cognitive [4] - 24:1,	conclusions [1] -	context [1] - 82:24	90:11, 92:1	41:6, 41:10, 41:14,
54:10, 83:7, 83:21	63:18	continue [4] - 9:14,	courses [2] - 75:22,	41:20, 41:25, 42:3,
cognitively [1] - 83:19	Concourse [1] - 1:11	30:25, 32:7, 95:10	75:23	42:9, 42:21, 43:2,
cold [1] - 51:14	conditions [4] - 42:20,	contract [6] - 69:6,	court [44] - 7:7, 8:16,	43:12, 43:16, 43:18,
colleagues [1] - 66:15	79:10, 80:14, 81:1	69:17, 69:20, 69:21,	17:13, 26:16, 26:21,	43:21, 43:25, 44:4,
collective [4] - 19:19,	conducted [1] - 24:17	69:24, 82:18	27:12, 43:5, 53:22,	44:9, 44:12, 44:22,
54:6, 54:9, 54:12	conducting [1] - 24:11	contracts [1] - 70:25	55:7, 55:15, 56:7,	44:25, 48:16, 48:19,
College [6] - 10:24,	confers [1] - 85:16	contradict [1] - 53:16	58:19, 71:13, 73:17,	49:20, 49:22, 50:3,
11:13, 11:16, 16:12,	confined [8] - 19:25,	contrast [2] - 33:8,	78:13, 78:14, 78:19,	50:13, 51:11, 54:13,
16:14, 23:12	36:12, 42:19, 50:20,	95:16	78:21, 78:25, 79:17,	54:17, 54:21, 54:25,
college [1] - 75:23	61:23, 62:17, 79:9	contributed [1] -	81:10, 81:20, 81:22,	55:5, 55:9, 55:12,
coming [3] - 35:17,	confinement [8] -	30:24	81:23, 82:20, 83:2,	55:17, 55:19, 55:21,
36:7, 49:12	43:21, 47:24, 49:1,	copies [2] - 89:11,	83:20, 84:16, 84:18,	55:24, 56:2, 56:5,
comment [1] - 73:15	61:7, 80:14, 80:15,	90:24	92:17, 92:18, 93:11,	56:8, 56:15, 56:16,
Commerford [2] -	81:1, 84:15	copy [6] - 4:23, 5:15,	93:20, 93:21, 94:2,	56:20, 56:23, 57:2,
93:7, 97:13	confirmation [1] -		94:7, 97:6, 97:7,	57:4, 57:8, 59:13,
Commission [1] -	61:2	7:14, 7:16, 94:8, 98:17	97:9, 97:17, 98:7,	59:15, 60:9, 65:21,
12:5	confiscated [1] - 63:6	Coral [1] - 1:22	98:8, 98:22	65:24, 66:24, 67:1,
		Cornell [1] - 1.22	COURT [233] - 1:1,	67:3, 67:5, 67:7,
Committee [3] - 13:5, 16:2, 16:3	Conn [1] - 93:8 connect [1] - 19:22	Cornell [1] - 14:10 Corpus [1] - 1:3	3:5, 3:7, 3:22, 3:24,	67:10, 67:12, 67:14,
		• • • •	4:7, 4:10, 4:13, 4:17,	68:7, 68:11, 69:11,
common [11] - 72:14,	Connecticut [16] -	corpus [48] - 14:24,	4:19, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6,	69:15, 70:4, 70:7,
72:17, 72:20, 74:25,	92:12, 92:14, 92:17,	18:22, 19:4, 43:19,	5:12, 5:17, 5:20,	70:20, 71:1, 77:12,
75:1, 93:13, 93:14,	92:18, 92:20, 92:22,	44:6, 44:15, 44:21,	5:23, 6:1, 6:10, 6:14,	77:14, 77:18, 77:22,
93:17, 93:21, 95:2	92:23, 93:7, 93:20,	45:17, 46:21, 46:23,	6:22, 6:25, 7:9, 7:13,	78:3, 78:6, 78:17,
communication [6] -	94:5, 97:14, 97:21,	46:24, 49:8, 57:7,	7:19, 7:21, 7:24, 8:2,	78:23, 79:1, 85:3,
20:21, 24:1, 24:6,	98:2, 98:4, 98:5	57:19, 58:6, 58:9,	8:4, 8:7, 8:9, 8:14,	85:6, 87:16, 87:19,
24:25, 25:1, 59:22	connotation [1] - 44:5	58:10, 58:22, 71:9,	8:17, 8:20, 8:24, 9:1,	87:21, 89:10, 89:13,
community [1] - 84:5	conscious [3] - 44:16,	73:9, 74:25, 75:1,	9:3, 9:6, 9:12, 9:14,	89:23, 93:1, 93:5,
compact [1] - 70:22	45:13, 58:17	75:7, 77:6, 79:16,	10:14, 11:1, 12:2,	94:8, 94:12, 96:15,
companion [2] - 21:8,	consciousness [3] -	79:23, 80:6, 80:9,	16:23, 17:4, 17:18,	96:20, 97:4, 97:15,
60:5	45:19, 46:20, 48:1	80:13, 81:3, 81:9,	17:22, 18:1, 18:12,	98:11, 98:16, 98:23,
companions [3] -	conservation [9] -	81:13, 81:20, 82:6,	19:13, 20:12, 20:23,	99:1
31:3, 60:21, 60:23	23:17, 23:20, 24:2,	82:20, 83:15, 84:16,	21:3, 21:5, 21:10,	Court [68] - 2:24, 5:2,
companionship [1] -	24:9, 28:9, 29:22,	85:12, 86:7, 91:12,	21:15, 21:17, 21:25,	5:8, 5:14, 9:10,
36:19	30:20, 30:21, 95:18	92:3, 92:8, 92:19,	22:2, 22:5, 22:7,	14:18, 25:2, 31:15,
Company [1] - 75:22	Conservation [21] -	93:14, 93:16, 93:18,	22:11, 22:21, 22:24,	33:7, 66:9, 66:22,
company [2] - 20:24,	1:9, 3:18, 3:19, 11:8,	93:24	23:1, 23:4, 25:4,	68:6, 68:9, 68:13,
75:21	12:20, 13:2, 13:4,	correct [16] - 4:8,	26:1, 26:4, 26:9,	68:21, 68:23, 69:8,
comparative [1] -	14:9, 15:6, 16:3,	5:20, 5:23, 6:4, 23:7,	26:12, 26:18, 26:20,	69:12, 69:14, 71:3,
10:16	16:8, 16:9, 29:22,	27:6, 29:13, 29:24,	26:23, 27:2, 27:6,	71:10, 71:12, 71:20,
compare [3] - 28:18,	31:4, 52:7, 53:7,	30:18, 34:15, 35:4,	27:8, 27:10, 27:12,	72:5, 72:12, 72:14,
39:7, 63:18	87:3, 88:21, 95:17,	37:22, 41:2, 42:21,	28:1, 28:3, 28:11,	75:8, 78:2, 78:12,
competent [2] - 73:20,	95:20	43:23, 78:4	28:16, 29:2, 29:13,	79:25, 80:9, 80:18,
91:3	CONSERVATION [3] -	could've [1] - 53:9	29:19, 29:23, 30:4,	80:19, 80:22, 80:24,
completed [1] - 23:15	1:9, 2:9, 2:17	counsel [21] - 3:7, 5:3,	30:18, 31:18, 31:25,	81:13, 81:15, 82:16,
completely [8] -	consider [1] - 86:17	7:25, 28:1, 42:14,	32:4, 32:7, 32:25,	83:9, 84:6, 84:10,
17:11, 46:18, 46:19,	considering [1] -	43:8, 51:13, 56:2,	33:2, 33:4, 33:6,	84:24, 85:8, 85:20,
46:20, 47:24, 85:19,	45:20	56:16, 77:14, 82:11,	33:14, 34:4, 34:7,	86:16, 86:17, 87:10,
90:16, 92:1	consistently [1] -	84:25, 88:22, 89:12,	34:10, 34:15, 34:18,	87:12, 88:14, 90:24,
complex [5] - 20:20,	72:19	91:24, 92:9, 96:3,	35:4, 35:8, 35:10,	91:3, 91:9, 91:14,
59:21, 81:11, 83:7,	constantly [2] - 19:8,	96:15, 96:18, 98:16,	35:21, 35:24, 36:1,	92:20, 92:23, 93:7,
83:19	51:6	98:18	36:3, 36:5, 36:14,	94:11, 94:16, 95:9,
complexity [1] - 24:5	Constitution [1] -	Counsel [1] - 2:13	37:7, 37:12, 37:17,	96:4, 96:9, 96:11,
compliance [2] - 17:1,	85:23	countries [1] - 24:22	37:20, 37:22, 38:1,	97:22, 98:2, 98:4,
18:14	contact [1] - 33:14	County [4] - 8:2, 8:3,	38:8, 38:12, 38:14,	99:8
complied [3] - 16:24,	contend [1] - 85:10	8:9, 8:16	38:20, 38:22, 38:25,	Court's [4] - 43:11,
17:6, 17:7	contention [2] - 83:24,	COUNTY [1] - 1:1	39:4, 39:7, 39:11,	83:5, 86:8, 88:20
comprised [1] - 76:1	93:15	Coupe [1] - 69:4	39:18, 39:22, 40:2,	courtesy [5] - 4:23,
conclusion [2] -	contentions [1] -	couple [1] - 91:5	40:12, 40:14, 40:17,	5:15, 15:3, 42:14,
			,, . . , ,	,, . . ,

SNN

89:11	decline [1] - 94:2	75:4, 91:15	88:8, 90:4	during [3] - 61:18,
courtroom [1] - 56:11	deconstructing [1] -	detaining [1] - 57:25	Division [7] - 82:3,	88:7, 88:8
courts [7] - 72:14,	68:4	detainment [1] - 84:21	82:15, 84:3, 84:17,	duties [5] - 16:7,
72:22, 72:24, 73:1,	deeply [1] - 93:18	detective [1] - 53:3	85:21, 86:10, 94:6	81:11, 83:23, 84:3,
75:7, 83:13, 95:10	Defendant(s [1] - 1:10	detention [4] - 57:20,	Division's [1] - 81:16	87:2
CPLR [4] - 1:3, 68:17,	defending [1] - 45:10	75:2, 77:6, 96:7	Divisions [1] - 86:15	01.2
83:13, 86:1	defense [2] - 55:6,	determination [8] -	doctor [1] - 37:8	E
crazy [1] - 48:12	57:20	17:16, 46:19, 46:22,	doctoral [1] - 23:16	L
create [1] - 69:20	defenses [2] - 48:15,	47:3, 47:25, 49:8,	doctorate [1] - 66:3	early [3] - 52:18,
creates [2] - 69:21,	57:10	77:9, 92:7	dog [2] - 53:6, 54:7	76:23, 79:6
70:25	Define [1] - 76:3	determine [4] - 44:19,	dogs [5] - 39:19, 53:8,	earth [1] - 19:17
creating [1] - 85:12	definitely [1] - 70:10	45:18, 47:20, 83:18	53:14, 53:15, 54:8	eat [2] - 36:23, 50:8
credible [1] - 27:16	degree [3] - 14:2,	determined [2] - 19:7,	dolphins [1] - 95:3	Ecology [1] - 16:12
criticism [1] - 90:19	16:17, 44:8	58:16	done [10] - 13:21,	educated [1] - 32:20
crux [3] - 46:21, 48:5,	Degree [2] - 16:12,	determining [1] -	25:3, 34:8, 37:6,	educates [1] - 53:22
49:8	16:13	45:13	41:18, 53:1, 67:21,	education [2] - 66:2,
Curator [2] - 6:20,	degrees [1] - 27:17	detrimental [1] - 18:9	89:4, 91:25	95:19
15:5	delusional [3] - 74:14,	developed [1] - 91:2	dot [1] - 83:17	effect [2] - 63:4, 98:23
cures [1] - 45:9	74:17, 74:24	development [2] -	doubt [1] - 96:2	egregious [1] - 86:23
current [1] - 61:10	demonstrable [2] -	20:1, 37:3	down [8] - 4:16, 28:1,	eight [1] - 25:8
custody [3] - 29:19,	68:25, 84:15	devoted [1] - 79:7	28:3, 47:12, 76:5,	either [7] - 28:25,
29:20, 29:21	demonstrably [1] -	diagnosed [2] - 20:4,	76:9, 95:13, 97:14	41:12, 50:24, 64:17,
20.20, 20.21	82:10	65:9	dozen [1] - 62:24	73:11, 77:9, 87:10
D	demonstrated [1] -	dictal [1] - 68:21	Dr [88] - 10:17, 15:10,	element [1] - 42:16
D	96:6	diction [1] - 85:5	17:8, 17:19, 18:6,	Elephant [6] - 16:4,
daily [1] - 34:20	demonstratively [1] -	dictum [1] - 82:21	18:8, 19:9, 19:10,	24:11, 24:13, 38:9,
dancing [1] - 11:14	82:7	die [3] - 18:24, 49:5	19:15, 20:3, 21:23,	73:12, 77:10
dare [1] - 31:11	denial [3] - 80:8,	died [1] - 60:5	22:12, 22:14, 22:19,	elephant [84] - 7:3,
days [5] - 5:7, 56:4,	81:12, 96:13	Diego [1] - 14:7	22:20, 22:25, 23:3,	7:4, 12:10, 13:12,
82:2, 95:1, 98:14	denied [3] - 79:24,	difference [1] - 84:12	23:10, 23:11, 25:10,	14:5, 14:22, 18:7,
DC [1] - 2:14	80:9, 81:13	differences [1] - 36:12	25:11, 27:16, 27:20,	18:10, 18:24, 19:8,
deal [8] - 19:3, 19:6,	department [4] - 8:10,	different [7] - 23:22,	28:17, 28:18, 28:19,	20:7, 20:8, 20:9,
19:7, 23:22, 25:17,	81:2, 81:7, 96:9	32:16, 36:25, 45:8,	28:21, 28:23, 29:3,	20:10, 20:15, 21:2,
43:9, 43:21, 86:22	Department [25] -	66:19, 84:8, 92:16	29:7, 29:8, 29:11,	21:5, 21:19, 22:16,
dealing [6] - 43:25,	8:11, 8:12, 8:13,	directing [1] - 24:11	30:5, 30:14, 31:20,	23:17, 24:6, 24:19,
71:6, 78:10, 79:12,	68:14, 71:4, 79:21,	directly [1] - 86:3	31:22, 32:23, 33:9,	24:24, 25:1, 27:17,
80:21, 85:1	80:2, 80:3, 80:12,	Director [6] - 1:8, 1:9,	35:15, 35:21, 35:22,	27:19, 28:9, 28:14,
deals [4] - 41:1, 43:22,	80:13, 81:7, 81:18,	6:7, 6:21, 12:22,	37:8, 37:9, 37:10,	28:20, 29:18, 30:24,
44:23, 83:16	82:3, 82:5, 82:8,	15:6	37:15, 37:25, 41:22,	31:1, 31:5, 36:12,
dealt [1] - 40:14	82:9, 82:11, 82:13,	director [5] - 10:21,	46:5, 46:10, 47:17,	36:13, 36:21, 37:5,
decade [3] - 24:20,	82:16, 82:19, 83:4,	10:22, 24:13, 35:17,	48:7, 48:21, 49:11,	45:12, 46:16, 46:17,
51:25	83:9, 85:22, 86:4,	36:7	49:13, 50:3, 50:24,	47:12, 47:23, 48:11,
decades [3] - 63:20,	96:10	Directors [1] - 12:4	51:8, 51:12, 51:22,	48:20, 48:25, 51:5,
90:7, 90:12	departments [1] -	directors [1] - 12:6	51:24, 52:11, 52:20,	51:6, 52:8, 52:9,
decided [3] - 71:5,	95:13	discuss [1] - 5:10	52:23, 53:9, 53:17,	52:16, 52:22, 53:16,
80:2, 81:6	Departments [1] -	discussion [2] -	53:18, 59:10, 59:18,	53:23, 57:17, 60:22,
decision [26] - 19:19,	12:25	42:15, 68:13	60:6, 61:17, 61:20,	61:11, 62:18, 63:5,
24:1, 54:7, 54:9,	depressed [4] - 20:18,	disease [1] - 18:25	64:1, 64:7, 64:15,	63:7, 63:25, 66:8,
71:10, 71:11, 71:13,	49:23, 50:4, 59:19	dismissal [2] - 86:16,	65:16, 65:18, 87:1,	73:22, 74:2, 74:4, 77:3, 80:18, 81:22,
72:5, 76:18, 78:19,	derives [2] - 82:17,	96:10	88:5, 88:13, 88:16,	89:20, 90:2, 90:19,
81:2, 82:12, 82:17,	82:19	dismissed [3] - 68:15,	89:3, 89:19	90:20, 91:10, 91:12,
82:19, 83:4, 87:13,	describe [1] - 88:17	92:18, 97:17	draft [1] - 95:12	90.20, 91:10, 91:12, 91:16, 91:18, 91:22,
93:9, 94:8, 95:7,	desire [1] - 30:25	dismissing [2] -	drafted [2] - 33:17,	91:10, 91:18, 91:22, 92:5, 96:7, 97:20
96:10, 98:16, 98:18,	despite [1] - 32:9	83:14, 86:19	33:18	elephants [125] -
98:22, 98:25	destroyed [1] - 46:21	disproven [1] - 62:6	draw [2] - 13:19, 63:18	11:18, 11:20, 11:23,
decisions [7] - 54:10,	detail [4] - 22:19, 34:4,	disregard [1] - 29:4	drawing [1] - 60:25	12:13, 13:7, 13:16,
54:12, 81:19, 86:15,	69:1, 88:20	disregarded [1] - 9:18	Drye [1] - 3:21	13:21, 14:6, 14:8,
86:18, 94:6, 96:9	detailed [2] - 34:14,	distances [1] - 62:13	DRYE [1] - 2:13	14:13, 16:21, 18:19,
declare [1] - 70:5	35:3	distress [1] - 61:20	due [1] - 36:15	19:17, 19:20, 19:24,
declared [1] - 70:8	detained [3] - 57:23,	distressed [3] - 61:18,	Dunhill [2] - 2:2, 3:11	, 10.20, 10.27,
		,		

20:16, 20:17, 20:22,	engaged [3] - 51:7,	64:10	extraordinarily [2] -	file [3] - 27:12, 43:5,
20:25, 21:19, 21:21,	65:4, 67:20	example [11] - 18:18,	83:7, 83:18	52:21
21:23, 22:17, 22:19,	engaging [5] - 19:19,	42:1, 44:13, 49:13,	extremely [1] - 63:11	filed [5] - 9:9, 52:12,
23:19, 23:24, 24:5,	19:25, 50:11, 50:17,	58:2, 70:17, 72:16,	extremely [1] - 05.11	67:18, 73:16, 75:15
24:8, 24:15, 24:19,	64:17	74:5, 76:4, 89:7	F	filing [1] - 68:16
24:24, 25:7, 25:10,	England [1] - 23:14	examples [1] - 62:12	Г	filled [1] - 53:8
25:16, 25:17, 25:18,	enjoy [1] - 26:15	excellent [1] - 96:1	face [4] - 86:17, 90:17,	final [1] - 76:12
25:19, 25:21, 25:25,	entire [6] - 7:16, 7:17,	except [5] - 14:13,	92:19, 96:11	finally [2] - 63:9, 82:15
26:2, 26:6, 26:7,	12:10, 14:19, 16:20,	39:20, 39:21, 64:6,	facilities [2] - 63:2,	fine [3] - 48:10, 52:24,
26:8, 26:25, 27:20,	46:15	92:12	88:18	85:6
27:25, 28:6, 28:8,	entirely [3] - 36:15,	exception [2] - 44:25,	facility [1] - 84:9	finish [4] - 55:2, 55:3,
28:15, 28:24, 29:1,	45:8, 84:8	47:7	fact [31] - 10:4, 11:21,	56:9, 90:3
29:15, 30:1, 30:11,	entirety [1] - 87:13	exceptions [1] - 69:1	12:9, 15:18, 17:10,	finished [1] - 56:20
30:12, 30:21, 31:5,	entitled [4] - 76:2,	exchanges [2] -	19:6, 19:7, 19:9,	first [11] - 17:7, 31:19,
31:8, 31:10, 32:10,	83:11, 91:12, 93:16	20:21, 59:23	32:9, 37:15, 44:19,	33:6, 38:22, 78:10,
32:12, 32:15, 32:17,	entitlement [1] - 86:12	excuse [1] - 78:13	45:20, 46:11, 46:14,	79:21, 84:11, 91:18,
36:22, 36:25, 37:24,	entity [3] - 72:6, 72:7,	Executive [1] - 1:8	47:20, 48:9, 49:11,	95:1, 97:2, 98:8
38:3, 38:6, 38:15,	83:6	exercise [1] - 45:18	52:7, 54:4, 62:7,	First [10] - 68:14, 81:7,
38:18, 39:20, 40:11,	environment [2] -	exhibit [7] - 4:22, 5:1,	69:2, 70:11, 71:12,	81:18, 82:16, 82:18,
44:18, 47:13, 47:14,	22:11, 62:17	11:24, 12:19, 22:23,	71:23, 75:18, 84:4,	83:4, 83:9, 85:21,
47:15, 48:18, 50:17,	EQ [1] - 2:15	78:1, 94:15	86:2, 86:21, 91:1,	86:4, 96:10
51:20, 52:2, 52:3,	equality [5] - 4:5,	Exhibit [7] - 4:22,	91:3, 91:11	five [2] - 36:1, 52:14
52:4, 53:12, 54:3,	58:18, 72:13, 72:21,	62:19, 66:20, 67:15,	facts [5] - 5:11, 5:13,	Florida [1] - 1:22
54:8, 59:23, 60:4,	94:20	79:20, 83:5, 89:9	9:5, 50:10, 64:16	focused [3] - 23:23,
60:12, 60:14, 60:17,	equally [1] - 86:19	exhibits [2] - 61:20,	Fahey [3] - 71:2, 71:7,	23:24, 72:11
60:19, 61:2, 61:6,	equals [1] - 85:18	79:18	71:16	folks [5] - 61:8, 63:18,
62:7, 62:9, 62:12,	equivalent [3] - 56:3,	existence [2] - 62:18,	fail [2] - 20:18, 59:20	67:17, 73:16, 87:10
62:24, 62:25, 63:1,	57:24, 60:22	74:11	failing [1] - 94:19	follow [4] - 68:21,
63:4, 63:13, 63:20,	ESQ [7] - 1:19, 1:19,	existing [2] - 69:21,	failure [1] - 37:1	68:22, 72:19, 72:21
66:5, 67:22, 74:13,	1:22, 2:3, 2:7, 2:7,	93:21	fair [2] - 13:18, 60:18	following [2] - 27:23,
74:19, 83:17, 84:9,	2:11	exists [1] - 94:3	fairly [1] - 31:15	72:10
84:13, 86:9, 87:11,	essentially [5] - 9:18,	exit [1] - 56:10	false [1] - 82:7	food [1] - 75:7
87:23, 90:14, 90:18,	27:20, 48:25, 93:20,	expand [2] - 86:1,	familiar [5] - 59:6,	forced [1] - 60:21
91:23, 91:25, 92:16,	93:24	93:21	59:8, 66:17, 75:21,	Fordham [2] - 10:24,
93:12, 93:16, 93:23,	ethicacy [1] - 24:9	expect [2] - 49:15,	95:22	16:15
95:3	ethical [2] - 24:7	49:17	far [4] - 32:14, 51:17,	foregoing [2] - 63:22,
Elephants [4] - 13:14,	euthanized [1] - 50:17	experience [14] - 28:7,	62:25, 90:23	90:8
24:4, 27:22, 84:10	Evans [1] - 4:5	61:14, 63:21, 65:14,	farfetched [1] - 15:16	form [2] - 60:16, 85:17
elf [1] - 17:16	event [2] - 78:22,	65:15, 66:4, 88:7,	farm [2] - 39:20, 39:21	formal [1] - 9:23
ELIZABETH [2] - 2:1,	85:21	88:10, 89:15, 89:20,	fashion [1] - 96:1	forty [1] - 21:17
2:3	eventually [1] - 50:16	90:8, 90:11, 90:12,	fast [1] - 4:15	four [24] - 6:24, 22:5,
Elizabeth [2] - 3:10,	everyday[4] - 46:13,	90:15	favor [1] - 96:10	22:7, 22:8, 22:9,
3:11	49:3, 58:3	experiences [1] - 90:2	Federal [5] - 39:13,	22:24, 23:1, 30:6,
emotional [5] - 14:23,	evidence [11] - 11:19,	expert [11] - 7:3,	39:14, 41:1, 41:18,	36:1, 50:7, 50:8,
17:14, 74:6, 74:19,	12:12, 14:21, 16:18,	10:10, 10:11, 10:16,	88:16	51:6, 51:25, 52:13,
74:22	49:21, 49:22, 61:22,	11:6, 28:17, 28:22,	feeding [1] - 58:2	60:20, 61:5, 67:3,
emotionally [1] -	63:3, 74:13, 90:25	32:21, 52:9, 92:9,	feet [9] - 50:15, 50:16,	67:5, 67:7, 79:19,
51:10	evidentiary [1] - 43:7	95:23	50:24, 50:25, 64:20,	89:25, 94:6, 95:13,
empathy [1] - 25:17	evolved [5] - 19:24,	expertise [2] - 63:19,	65:1, 65:3	96:8
emphasize [1] - 91:17	46:12, 47:18, 47:20,	90:7	fellowship [1] - 23:16	fourteen [3] - 21:13,
employee [1] - 74:14	49:2	experts [10] - 10:12,	female [1] - 54:16	21:14, 21:15
employees [4] - 33:8,	ex [1] - 63:7	27:19, 28:14, 31:5,	fetus [2] - 71:25	Fourth [4] - 8:11,
33:25, 34:3, 34:19	ex-circus [1] - 63:7	31:6, 52:8, 52:13,	fetuses [1] - 72:8	80:12, 80:13, 82:11
enact [1] - 36:17	exact [2] - 18:5, 47:6	52:16, 52:22, 67:18	few [7] - 38:6, 38:21,	fourth [4] - 8:12, 8:13,
end [3] - 4:13, 77:16,	exactly [2] - 20:6,	explain [1] - 22:19	39:2, 39:3, 87:14,	81:2, 84:7
81:10 and d 41 70:25	75:12	explanation [1] -	95:1, 97:1	free [6] - 20:21, 45:16,
ended [1] - 79:25	examination [1] -	82:22	field [4] - 24:17, 24:18,	52:2, 59:23, 93:19,
ending [1] - 48:4 engage [3] - 50:7,	41:23	extent [6] - 10:8,	66:14, 66:16	96:4
	examine [1] - 31:20	10:10, 28:24, 34:20,	fight [1] - 76:15	Freedom [1] - 76:3
54:6, 54:9	examined [2] - 31:22,	43:25, 45:19	figured [1] - 66:22	freedom [5] - 63:13,
				4

SNN

Keywora Index

6

75:10, 75:13, 75:20,	75:22, 88:17, 88:20,	29:1, 29:17, 29:19,	51:3, 64:5, 64:12,	91:6, 91:22, 92:11,
76:15	89:4, 95:2	30:1, 30:8, 30:9,	64:14, 64:15, 64:19,	92:21, 92:25, 93:3,
freewill [1] - 36:18	greater [1] - 96:23	30:13, 30:25, 31:10,	65:1, 88:4, 89:17	93:11, 93:15, 94:5,
frequent [1] - 65:7	greatest [3] - 45:19,	31:12, 31:21, 31:22,	hear [2] - 20:7, 81:23	94:11, 95:7, 95:14,
Friday [1] - 76:1	52:15, 52:16	31:23, 32:1, 32:5,	heard [3] - 5:9, 79:12,	96:3, 96:11, 96:14,
friends [2] - 19:22,	gross [1] - 82:18	32:10, 33:15, 33:20,	81:20	96:19, 98:19, 98:25
49:10	ground [5] - 68:16,	34:11, 36:10, 36:18,	hearing [1] - 97:23	Honor's [1] - 91:20
frivolous [1] - 92:19	97:6, 97:9, 97:12,	37:4, 41:23, 42:19,	heart [1] - 92:25	HONORABLE [1] -
front [3] - 5:14, 91:14,	97:21	42:23, 42:24, 46:8,	held [12] - 11:11, 20:5,	1:14
98:2	group [2] - 41:21, 52:4	47:5, 48:16, 49:13,	20:6, 20:16, 23:8,	Honorable [1] - 3:6
fronts [1] - 12:9	Group [2] - 16:5, 16:9	49:15, 49:18, 49:25,	46:8, 57:18, 59:18,	honors [1] - 23:12
		50:1, 50:5, 50:10,		hope [1] - 19:11
fugitive [1] - 76:8	groups [1] - 36:17	50:11, 50:15, 50:17,	64:21, 73:8, 83:23, 94:13	•
full [3] - 48:11, 66:17,	grown [1] - 48:11	50:23, 51:13, 51:21,		hoping [1] - 98:7
71:10	guarantee [2] - 34:10,	52:10, 52:24, 53:12,	help [1] - 35:6	Hospital [1] - 71:21
fully [1] - 86:16	54:23	57:16, 58:14, 59:6,	herd [1] - 54:9	hospital [2] - 18:23,
functioning [1] - 62:9	guess [6] - 27:3,	59:19, 59:25, 60:3,	herds [1] - 21:21	48:4
fundamental [4] -	33:15, 38:8, 56:1,	60:11, 60:12, 60:16,	hereby [1] - 9:23	hour [9] - 55:16,
72:18, 80:5, 86:11,	81:24, 85:15	60:18, 61:1, 61:5,	herself [9] - 20:7,	55:19, 55:21, 56:1,
95:5	guidance [2] - 81:17,		20:24, 21:9, 21:11,	56:5, 56:6, 56:21,
fundamentally [1] -	82:16	61:14, 61:20, 61:22,	21:19, 45:19, 46:17,	56:23, 75:24
36:25	guidelines [2] - 41:16,	62:2, 63:21, 63:22,	48:12, 52:25	hours [6] - 19:20,
	41:18	64:3, 64:5, 64:11,	high [3] - 23:12,	27:24, 28:5, 68:3,
G		64:16, 65:2, 65:7,	71:13, 74:14	68:4, 79:12
_	н	65:8, 65:12, 65:15,	higher [1] - 74:17	house [3] - 48:21,
gain [1] - 76:15		70:4, 70:7, 74:16,	highlighted [2] -	48:23, 60:22
gawk [1] - 47:10	habeas [53] - 14:24,	75:13, 75:14, 77:5,	92:20, 92:21	housed [2] - 60:4,
gay [1] - 76:12	18:22, 19:4, 43:19,	79:9, 79:11, 79:13,	highly [2] - 31:6, 94:1	65:9
gender [1] - 73:3	44:6, 44:15, 44:21,	83:6, 84:8, 85:14,	himself [3] - 6:18,	Human [1] - 13:14
general [1] - 43:22	45:16, 46:21, 46:23,	86:6, 87:12, 87:24,	45:10, 51:7	human [27] - 15:15,
General [3] - 1:8, 6:20,	46:24, 49:8, 57:7,	88:3, 88:6, 88:8,	historic [1] - 76:18	17:12, 18:9, 20:19,
15:5	57:19, 58:6, 58:9,	88:10, 88:25, 89:5,	historical [1] - 60:12	21:20, 39:25, 40:6,
generally [2] - 26:7,	58:10, 58:21, 58:22,	89:7, 89:16, 89:17,	history [7] - 22:17,	40:23, 46:25, 47:7,
66:13	71:9, 73:9, 74:25,	89:20, 90:1, 90:2,	59:25, 60:3, 60:19,	48:21, 50:21, 57:17,
gestural [2] - 20:20,	75:1, 75:7, 77:6,	90:8, 90:15, 90:25,	61:1, 61:4, 75:25	57:23, 57:25, 59:20,
23:25	79:16, 79:22, 80:6,	91:2, 91:3, 91:10,	hold [2] - 26:8, 30:25	62:15, 71:23, 71:25,
gesturing [1] - 59:22	80:8, 80:13, 81:3,	91:12, 91:16, 91:18,	holding [6] - 11:6,	72:7, 80:7, 83:8,
given [7] - 60:20, 62:9,	81:9, 81:12, 81:20,	91:19, 91:22, 92:2,	19:24, 80:3, 80:7,	83:12, 84:5
63:13, 71:12, 71:14,	82:6, 82:20, 83:11,	92:5, 92:14, 94:19,	80:12, 81:7	humans [7] - 58:2,
87:23, 94:17	83:14, 84:16, 84:22,	94:20, 94:21, 95:20,	holdings [1] - 82:1	70:14, 72:2, 72:3,
givers [2] - 20:19,	86:1, 86:2, 86:7,	95:22, 95:24, 95:25,	Holmes [3] - 53:4,	82:6, 83:22, 86:2
59:21	86:12, 91:12, 92:3,	96:7, 98:22		hundred [3] - 27:24,
			00.0	
	92:6, 92:7, 92:19,	happy [6] - 14:19,	53:5 homes [1] - 53:2	28:5, 75:16
gladly [1] - 59:1 glance [1] - 31:19	92:6, 92:7, 92:19, 93:14, 93:16, 93:17,	20:6, 42:11, 57:9,	homes [1] - 53:2	28:5, 75:16
glance [1] - 31:19		20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2	
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7 goal [1] - 79:14	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7 goal [1] - 79:14 Goddard [1] - 16:14	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7 goal [1] - 79:14 Goddard [1] - 16:14 governor [1] - 76:21	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7 goal [1] - 79:14 Goddard [1] - 16:14 governor [1] - 76:21 graduated [1] - 23:11	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7 goal [1] - 79:14 Goddard [1] - 16:14 governor [1] - 76:21 graduated [1] - 23:11 Grand [1] - 1:11	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1,	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11
glance [1] - 31:19 Global [1] - 16:9 global [1] - 41:7 goal [1] - 79:14 Goddard [1] - 16:14 governor [1] - 76:21 graduated [1] - 23:11 Grand [1] - 1:11 grandmothers [1] -	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18, 60:25	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4
$\begin{array}{l} \text{glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \text{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \text{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \text{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \text{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \text{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \text{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \text{Grand [1] - 1:11} \\ \text{grandmothers [1] - 47:23} \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \mbox{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \mbox{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \mbox{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \mbox{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \mbox{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \mbox{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \mbox{Grand [1] - 1:11} \\ \mbox{grandmothers [1] - 47:23} \\ \mbox{grant [1] - 84:16} \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18, 60:25	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15,
$\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \mbox{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \mbox{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \mbox{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \mbox{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \mbox{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \mbox{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \mbox{Grand [1] - 1:11} \\ \mbox{grandmothers [1] - 47:23} \\ \mbox{grant [1] - 84:16} \\ \mbox{grass [1] - 50:8} \\ \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11,	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18, 60:25 harmful [1] - 61:14	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14,
$\begin{array}{l} \text{glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \text{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \text{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \text{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \text{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \text{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \text{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \text{Grand [1] - 1:11} \\ \text{grandmothers [1] - 47:23} \\ \text{grant [1] - 84:16} \\ \text{grass [1] - 50:8} \\ \text{grateful [3] - 55:14}, \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15,	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18, 60:25 harmful [1] - 61:14 harmonize [1] - 72:19	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21, 79:5, 79:18, 80:20,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14, 59:12, 64:12, 69:4,
$\begin{array}{l} \text{glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \text{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \text{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \text{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \text{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \text{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \text{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \text{Grand [1] - 1:11} \\ \text{grandmothers [1] - 47:23} \\ \text{grant [1] - 84:16} \\ \text{grass [1] - 50:8} \\ \text{grateful [3] - 55:14,} \\ - 98:13, 98:14 \\ \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 13:23, 14:19, 14:20,	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18, 60:25 harmful [1] - 61:14 harmonize [1] - 72:19 head [3] - 34:18,	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21, 79:5, 79:18, 80:20, 81:8, 83:5, 83:19,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14, 59:12, 64:12, 69:4, 70:22, 70:24, 72:12,
$\begin{array}{l} \text{glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \text{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \text{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \text{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \text{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \text{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \text{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \text{Grad [1] - 1:11} \\ \text{grandmothers [1] - 47:23} \\ \text{grant [1] - 84:16} \\ \text{grass [1] - 50:8} \\ \text{grateful [3] - 55:14} \\ - 98:13, 98:14 \\ \text{gravamen [1] - 83:10} \\ \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 13:23, 14:19, 14:20, 14:21, 14:22, 15:16,	20:6, 42:11, 57:9, 91:2, 91:4 Happy's [9] - 33:10, 61:3, 61:10, 63:24, 64:25, 73:7, 75:11, 77:2, 90:9 hard [3] - 46:2, 46:3, 46:4 hardly [2] - 60:18, 60:25 harmful [1] - 61:14 harmonize [1] - 72:19 head [3] - 34:18, 50:23, 73:19	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21, 79:5, 79:18, 80:20, 81:8, 83:5, 83:19, 84:14, 84:23, 85:1,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14, 59:12, 64:12, 69:4, 70:22, 70:24, 72:12, 74:1, 74:21
$\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:glance} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{31:19} \\ \textbf{Global} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{16:9} \\ \textbf{global} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{16:14} \\ \textbf{Goddard} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{79:14} \\ \textbf{Goddard} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{16:14} \\ \textbf{governor} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{76:21} \\ \textbf{graduated} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{23:11} \\ \textbf{Grad} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{23:11} \\ \textbf{Grand} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{111} \\ \textbf{grandmothers} \texttt{[1]} - \\ \texttt{47:23} \\ \textbf{grant} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{84:16} \\ \textbf{grass} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{50:8} \\ \textbf{grateful} \texttt{[3]} - \texttt{55:14}, \\ \texttt{98:13}, \texttt{98:14} \\ \textbf{gravamen} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{83:10} \\ \textbf{Gray} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{82:8} \\ \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 13:23, 14:19, 14:20, 14:21, 14:22, 15:16, 16:22, 16:25, 20:5,	$\begin{array}{c} 20:6,\ 42:11,\ 57:9,\\ 91:2,\ 91:4\\ \\ \textbf{Happy's} [9] - 33:10,\\ 61:3,\ 61:10,\ 63:24,\\ 64:25,\ 73:7,\ 75:11,\\ 77:2,\ 90:9\\ \\ \textbf{hard} [3] - 46:2,\ 46:3,\\ 46:4\\ \\ \textbf{hardly} [2] - 60:18,\\ 60:25\\ \\ \textbf{harmful} [1] - 61:14\\ \\ \textbf{harmonize} [1] - 72:19\\ \\ \textbf{head} [3] - 34:18,\\ 50:23,\ 73:19\\ \\ \textbf{health} [1] - 65:12\\ \end{array}$	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21, 79:5, 79:18, 80:20, 81:8, 83:5, 83:19, 84:14, 84:23, 85:1, 85:5, 85:8, 85:16,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14, 59:12, 64:12, 69:4, 70:22, 70:24, 72:12, 74:1, 74:21 ideas [2] - 75:19,
$\begin{array}{l} \text{glance [1] - 31:19} \\ \text{Global [1] - 16:9} \\ \text{global [1] - 41:7} \\ \text{goal [1] - 79:14} \\ \text{Goddard [1] - 16:14} \\ \text{governor [1] - 76:21} \\ \text{graduated [1] - 23:11} \\ \text{Grad [1] - 1:11} \\ \text{grandmothers [1] - } \\ 47:23 \\ \text{grant [1] - 84:16} \\ \text{grass [1] - 50:8} \\ \text{grateful [3] - 55:14,} \\ 98:13, 98:14 \\ \text{gravamen [1] - 83:10} \\ \text{Gray [1] - 82:8} \\ \text{Great [1] - 78:9} \\ \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 13:23, 14:19, 14:20, 14:21, 14:22, 15:16, 16:22, 16:25, 20:5, 20:6, 20:17, 21:1,	$\begin{array}{l} 20:6,\ 42:11,\ 57:9,\\ 91:2,\ 91:4\\ \textbf{Happy's}\ [9]\ -\ 33:10,\\ 61:3,\ 61:10,\ 63:24,\\ 64:25,\ 73:7,\ 75:11,\\ 77:2,\ 90:9\\ \textbf{hard}\ [3]\ -\ 46:2,\ 46:3,\\ 46:4\\ \textbf{hardly}\ [2]\ -\ 60:18,\\ 60:25\\ \textbf{harmful}\ [1]\ -\ 61:14\\ \textbf{harmonize}\ [1]\ -\ 72:19\\ \textbf{head}\ [3]\ -\ 34:18,\\ 50:23,\ 73:19\\ \textbf{health}\ [1]\ -\ 65:12\\ \textbf{Health}\ [4]\ -\ 12:21,\\ \end{array}$	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21, 79:5, 79:18, 80:20, 81:8, 83:5, 83:19, 84:14, 84:23, 85:1, 85:5, 85:8, 85:16, 86:4, 86:14, 86:21,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14, 59:12, 64:12, 69:4, 70:22, 70:24, 72:12, 74:1, 74:21 ideas [2] - 75:19, 93:18
$\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:glance} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{31:19} \\ \textbf{Global} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{16:9} \\ \textbf{global} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{16:14} \\ \textbf{Goddard} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{79:14} \\ \textbf{Goddard} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{16:14} \\ \textbf{governor} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{76:21} \\ \textbf{graduated} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{23:11} \\ \textbf{Grad} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{23:11} \\ \textbf{Grand} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{111} \\ \textbf{grandmothers} \texttt{[1]} - \\ \texttt{47:23} \\ \textbf{grant} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{84:16} \\ \textbf{grass} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{50:8} \\ \textbf{grateful} \texttt{[3]} - \texttt{55:14}, \\ \texttt{98:13}, \texttt{98:14} \\ \textbf{gravamen} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{83:10} \\ \textbf{Gray} \texttt{[1]} - \texttt{82:8} \\ \end{array}$	93:14, 93:16, 93:17, 93:24 Habeas [1] - 1:3 half [2] - 75:16, 75:24 Hamilton [1] - 76:22 hand [2] - 8:18, 52:11 handed [5] - 5:1, 67:23, 78:1, 94:15 handing [1] - 4:21 handle [1] - 83:1 HAPPY [1] - 1:4 Happy [151] - 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 13:23, 14:19, 14:20, 14:21, 14:22, 15:16, 16:22, 16:25, 20:5,	$\begin{array}{l} 20:6,\ 42:11,\ 57:9,\\ 91:2,\ 91:4\\ \textbf{Happy's}\ [9]\ -\ 33:10,\\ 61:3,\ 61:10,\ 63:24,\\ 64:25,\ 73:7,\ 75:11,\\ 77:2,\ 90:9\\ \textbf{hard}\ [3]\ -\ 46:2,\ 46:3,\\ 46:4\\ \textbf{hardly}\ [2]\ -\ 60:18,\\ 60:25\\ \textbf{harmful}\ [1]\ -\ 61:14\\ \textbf{harmonize}\ [1]\ -\ 72:19\\ \textbf{head}\ [3]\ -\ 34:18,\\ 50:23,\ 73:19\\ \textbf{health}\ [1]\ -\ 65:12\\ \textbf{Health}\ [4]\ -\ 12:21,\\ 12:22,\ 12:25,\ 13:3\\ \end{array}$	homes [1] - 53:2 honor [1] - 23:2 Honor [70] - 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:21, 5:5, 5:7, 5:16, 8:6, 9:4, 9:15, 11:5, 17:3, 27:7, 28:2, 32:8, 33:3, 37:18, 39:3, 42:5, 42:12, 52:12, 54:15, 56:4, 56:25, 59:17, 67:4, 77:2, 77:13, 77:24, 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, 78:21, 79:5, 79:18, 80:20, 81:8, 83:5, 83:19, 84:14, 84:23, 85:1, 85:5, 85:8, 85:16,	28:5, 75:16 hundreds [2] - 75:8, 75:23 hurting [1] - 50:24 Hutchinson [1] - 76:4 Hyde [2] - 2:2, 3:11 IA-5 [1] - 1:1 idea [17] - 10:7, 11:4, 11:11, 11:15, 12:15, 13:17, 14:8, 15:14, 59:12, 64:12, 69:4, 70:22, 70:24, 72:12, 74:1, 74:21 ideas [2] - 75:19,

SNM

ignores [1] - 84:4	injunction [3] - 7:11,	79:15, 84:18	47:23, 52:4, 57:25,	large [4] - 19:22,
II [6] - 68:15, 71:4,	7:22, 97:8	Item [1] - 89:24	58:1, 58:4	34:18, 36:9, 84:1
81:6, 83:4, 83:20	inspiring [1] - 95:19	item [2] - 84:1, 84:7	Kelley [1] - 3:21	larger [5] - 31:2,
ill [1] - 50:21	instead [2] - 9:24,	itself [3] - 79:8, 80:15,	KELLEY [1] - 2:13	57:14, 58:13, 59:2,
illegal [3] - 57:20,	53:10	90:22	Kelly [1] - 87:18	63:13
		90.22		
84:15, 84:20	institution [1] - 34:19		Kenneth [1] - 3:15	last [13] - 3:25, 4:3,
illegality [2] - 88:25,	institutional [1] - 16:4	J	KENNETH [1] - 2:7	4:4, 5:7, 46:23, 69:9,
96:6	Institutional [1] - 13:4	jail [4] - 47:1, 47:3,	Kenya [3] - 23:18,	69:18, 73:23, 74:16,
illegally [5] - 42:19,	institutions [1] - 38:16	-	24:23, 47:14	76:1, 86:5, 97:3,
57:22, 73:8, 79:9,	integrity [1] - 95:6	52:5, 76:20	kept [5] - 36:16, 42:20,	98:13
91:15	intellectual [1] - 53:25	jailed [1] - 76:6	52:25, 73:23, 79:10	lastly [1] - 81:5
imagine [1] - 42:3	intelligence [3] - 24:4,	JAMES [1] - 1:8	KEVIN [1] - 1:19	late [1] - 52:18
imply [1] - 31:15	54:1, 66:8	James [15] - 5:19,	Kevin [1] - 3:12	Lavery [11] - 68:15,
importance [1] - 72:20	intelligent [4] - 19:18,	5:22, 6:7, 9:20,	key [1] - 70:1	68:24, 71:4, 80:3,
important [4] - 11:17,	36:9, 74:12, 94:1	10:13, 10:18, 10:19,	kidnapped [1] - 22:3	80:10, 81:6, 82:5,
45:6, 72:25, 76:19	intended [3] - 10:11,	11:19, 11:25, 13:8,	killed [4] - 21:5, 21:8,	83:4, 83:20, 95:6
imprisoned [5] -	85:24, 85:25	24:18, 25:10, 28:18,	60:24, 62:15	LAVERY [1] - 81:6
31:12, 45:14, 45:15,	intentionally [1] - 69:5	29:11, 35:16	kind [14] - 32:19,	Law [4] - 3:10, 84:24,
74:17, 91:15	interacting [2] - 59:25,	January [1] - 1:12	39:20, 39:24, 45:9,	85:1, 85:4
imprisoning [1] -	60:3	Japanese [1] - 76:11	49:14, 50:25, 53:23,	law [23] - 68:25, 69:3,
18:10	interaction [3] - 53:11,	Jim [2] - 86:25, 90:6	53:24, 84:9, 91:7,	72:14, 72:17, 72:20,
imprisonment [1] -	53:12	JOANNA [1] - 2:7	92:7, 92:9	74:25, 75:1, 75:16,
80:23	interest [5] - 33:10,	JoAnna [1] - 3:16	kinda [4] - 52:6, 52:7,	76:3, 76:8, 81:4,
improper [2] - 57:20,	63:24, 80:19, 90:9,	John [4] - 76:5, 76:9,	53:2, 65:18	82:10, 82:14, 85:14,
68:16		76:20, 82:8		93:13, 93:14, 93:17,
improved [5] - 42:22,	95:21	joined [1] - 12:20	kinds [2] - 39:19, 39:21	93:21, 94:2, 94:24,
,	interested [2] - 42:10,	joint [1] - 54:11		95:2, 96:13
42:24, 79:11, 79:13	78:14	joking [1] - 21:20	Kinko [1] - 84:21	LAW [1] - 2:1
inability [1] - 61:2	interesting [1] - 97:16		knowing [2] - 33:11,	lawyer [1] - 76:19
inaccurate [1] - 78:11	interests [3] - 23:24,	JOSEPH [1] - 2:15	33:15	
inadequate [1] - 52:3	94:23	Joseph [1] - 3:21	knowledge [15] - 9:22,	lay [1] - 91:21
inartfully [1] - 33:17	International [1] -	journal [1] - 66:9	9:24, 10:1, 10:2,	laying [1] - 94:7
INC [1] - 1:4	16:8	journals [2] - 66:10,	24:9, 34:24, 34:25,	leadership [1] - 25:18
incident [1] - 62:24	internment [1] - 76:11	66:12	35:2, 53:13, 64:5,	leading [4] - 27:19,
inclined [1] - 91:6	internship [2] - 14:3,	Joyce [7] - 7:3, 7:5,	64:11, 66:1, 66:6,	28:14, 72:16, 76:22
include [2] - 12:23,	14:6	7:6, 27:16, 32:14,	88:3, 89:17	leads [1] - 50:17
15:7	intrinsic [2] - 86:9,	62:20, 73:19	known [1] - 66:16	least [9] - 25:12,
included [1] - 62:25	92:4	Judge [5] - 71:2, 71:7,	knows [14] - 11:19,	28:15, 30:8, 47:9,
including [11] - 24:22,	invite [1] - 78:15	71:16, 79:22, 80:9	12:12, 12:13, 12:15,	48:17, 56:9, 62:14,
33:8, 62:14, 64:3,	invited [1] - 4:14	judge [9] - 8:21,	12:16, 13:6, 14:13,	81:18, 98:4
69:2, 73:21, 86:12,	invites [1] - 93:20	27:15, 28:12, 71:13,	16:21, 16:22, 31:8,	leave [2] - 48:23,
88:2, 88:18, 89:16	invoke [1] - 80:5	73:19, 73:25, 74:10,	59:7, 74:1, 90:3	81:14
inconsistencies [1] -	involved [6] - 13:19,	81:23, 97:7	Korematsu [1] - 76:11	leaving [1] - 9:23
94:18	24:16, 24:24, 62:23,	judgement [1] - 83:3		lecture [1] - 76:13
indeed [1] - 91:14	71:24, 79:19	judges [1] - 82:22	L	lectures [3] - 75:24,
index [1] - 67:8	involving [2] - 63:20,	judicial [1] - 95:8	-	76:2, 76:4
indicates [3] - 60:12,	78:12	juncture [1] - 94:2	L.A [3] - 73:21, 73:24,	legal [14] - 5:8, 5:9,
65:1, 65:3	irrelevant [2] - 45:23,	jurisdictions [1] - 58:7	97:7	5:11, 43:6, 75:2,
	••	Justice [1] - 1:15	labor [1] - 68:14	80:5, 81:11, 81:12,
indicating [2] - 85:23,	57:14	justice [1] - 1:13	lack [4] - 80:4, 81:11,	83:23, 86:11, 91:8,
94:21	isolation [4] - 20:6,		95:3, 97:18	93:25, 95:4
individual [3] - 33:11,	20:16, 20:17, 59:19	justices [1] - 81:20	lacking [1] - 36:17	legally [1] - 83:23
34:20, 93:18	issue [20] - 5:10,	1/	ladder [1] - 95:13	legislative [2] - 86:13,
individually [1] -	17:24, 19:5, 30:14,	K	land [7] - 18:8, 31:13,	95:7
33:15	40:5, 57:1, 57:10,	Katz [1] - 72:16	46:17, 47:6, 48:12,	
individuals [3] -	57:19, 58:4, 58:9,			legislature [3] - 85:13,
69:25, 70:13, 70:15	58:13, 68:12, 75:2,	keep [7] - 6:8, 18:7,	52:25, 54:24	85:16, 85:25
inevitable [1] - 63:3	80:21, 85:10, 86:12,	31:12, 38:5, 48:11,	landscapes [1] -	Leider [9] - 8:15,
influence [1] - 54:3		E0.0 00.01		
	92:21, 95:25, 97:10	58:2, 98:21	19:21	26:21, 48:14, 51:4,
information [3] - 10:7,		keeper [3] - 73:22,	language [1] - 33:13	73:16, 73:17, 73:18,
information [3] - 10:7, 60:12, 78:11	92:21, 95:25, 97:10 issued [1] - 97:7 issues [7] - 10:3, 19:3,	keeper [3] - 73:22, 74:4	language [1] - 33:13 Lanka [4] - 24:23,	73:16, 73:17, 73:18, 74:10, 97:2
	92:21, 95:25, 97:10 issued [1] - 97:7	keeper [3] - 73:22,	language [1] - 33:13	73:16, 73:17, 73:18,

SNN

89:4, 92:10, 96:24
lengthy [1] - 92:24
less [3] - 16:21, 22:8,
28:20
level [5] - 39:24,
39:25, 64:7, 75:23,
78:21
Lewis [5] - 8:15,
26:21, 73:17, 73:18
liaison [2] - 12:5, 12:7
Liberty [2] - 76:2, 76:13
liberty [21] - 58:18,
58:20, 71:9, 72:12,
72:17, 72:20, 75:9,
75:13, 75:20, 76:15,
77:1, 77:4, 80:6,
85:24, 86:7, 92:3,
93:13, 93:17, 94:20,
95:5
lie [3] - 70:24, 80:13, 81:4
life [8] - 12:10, 21:25,
22:1, 22:16, 23:23,
46:16, 47:5, 47:6
Life [3] - 12:20, 87:3,
88:21
lifts [1] - 65:3
likely [6] - 36:11,
36:18, 44:14, 60:15,
61:22, 71:8
limited [1] - 82:6 lined [1] - 83:3
linguistic [1] - 83:21
list [2] - 15:3, 59:14
listed [2] - 27:3, 67:16
listening [2] - 9:6,
60:9
listing [1] - 95:1
lists [1] - 24:15
literature [2] - 66:7,
66:18
litigate [1] - 95:10 litigating [2] - 41:13,
70:14
live [12] - 19:5, 20:9,
20:11, 20:16, 21:21,
21:23, 47:9, 47:21,
47:22, 72:24
lived [8] - 21:2, 21:4,
21:9, 21:25, 22:1, 22:11, 32:15, 63:1
lives [5] - 19:6, 47:5,
47:7, 47:9, 72:24
living [10] - 16:16,
20:10, 20:14, 20:15,
20:21, 20:24, 21:18,
21:19, 52:2, 59:23
livingroom [1] - 46:16
LLP [2] - 2:4, 2:13

locate [1] - 19:21 location [1] - 61:10 logical [1] - 30:7 look [26] - 6:9, 9:16, 9:25, 10:15, 17:13, 22:20, 22:22, 23:3, 33:19, 45:24, 47:12, 50:6, 59:5, 63:17, 65:16, 66:22, 73:16, 79:3, 87:17, 87:25, 88:7, 89:21, 89:25, 90:21, 96:4 looked [3] - 35:10, 35:11, 95:21 looking [5] - 28:13
looking [5] - 28:13, 31:18, 64:25, 79:20, 98:5 looks [1] - 67:25 Los [5] - 8:16, 26:17, 27:1, 73:24, 78:13 lost [2] - 59:14, 60:23 lower [2] - 97:17 lunch [3] - 55:1, 56:10, 56:12 luncheon [1] - 56:13 Lytle [2] - 3:15, 3:16 LYTLE [1] - 2:4
Μ
M.S [2] - 10:24, 11:3 MABRY [1] - 99:7 mABRY [1] - 2:23 madam [1] - 56:16

94:10, 94:17, 96:19, 98:24 Manning [1] - 3:15 marriage [1] - 76:12 Masters [1] - 16:13 mates [1] - 19:23 matriarchal [1] - 54:15 matter [15] - 13:22, 17:7, 22:15, 58:18, 59:2, 71:22, 72:3, 72:5, 73:9, 80:25, 81:4, 92:25, 93:17, 94:18, 96:13 Matter [1] - 1:2 matters [1] - 79:7 Maxine [3] - 21:7, 60:13, 60:24 MCKENZIE [1] - 2:11 McKenzie [3] - 3:17, 6:18 meals [1] - 18:21 mean [10] - 29:25, 33:13, 33:16, 38:24, 42:14, 44:4, 44:13, 52:17, 67:18 meaning [1] - 22:14 means [9] - 11:4, 12:6, 50:15, 50:24, 51:1, 51:2, 58:14, 74:5, 90:15 meant [1] - 58:22 measures [1] - 40:9 medical [10] - 13:10, 13:20, 13:21, 13:23, 14:1, 14:25, 18:19, 19:1, 58:3, 95:23 medically [1] - 14:14 Medicine [2] - 14:2, 14:9 medicine [3] - 14:3, 14:7, 48:2 meds [1] - 75:6 meet [4] - 36:10, 37:2, 61:3, 88:22 meeting [2] - 52:3, 63·22 meets [1] - 16:25 member [6] - 11:8, 11:9, 16:1, 16:2, 16:7, 71:11 members [2] - 56:16, 84:5 mental [4] - 14:23, 17:15, 64:18, 74:19 mentally [3] - 50:21, 51:2, 64:19 mention [5] - 12:14. 13:6, 46:7, 92:14, 98:19 mentioned [3] - 13:12,

28:19, 79:8 mentions [3] - 27:23, 27:24, 46:14 mere [1] - 95:3 merits [11] - 68:13, 68:19, 68:20, 86:18, 86:20, 96:12, 97:11, 97:22, 97:23, 98:6, 98:9 met [8] - 16:22, 29:17, 30:8, 30:9, 33:20, 88:24 mice [1] - 16:18 might [12] - 18:3, 20:8, 20:13, 48:1, 58:9, 59:4, 64:7, 64:8, 70:1, 72:16, 75:21, 78:7 might've [1] - 30:9 miles [6] - 19:21, 46:12, 46:13, 49:2, 49:3, 63:7 millions [1] - 19:17 mind [4] - 40:5, 40:7, 44:2, 71:19 minimal [1] - 39:25 minimum [2] - 39:24, 96:25 mirror [3] - 83:17, 91:19, 91:24 miserable [1] - 62:13 misrepresents [1] -69:5 missed [1] - 69:18 mission [1] - 95:17 misstatements [1] -86:24 mistake [2] - 9:2, 82:4 misunderstanding [4] - 68:25, 82:10, 82:18, 82:19 misunderstood [2] -82:8, 82:13 moment [2] - 79:21, 87:8 monitored [1] - 65:8 monitoring [1] - 24:14 monkey [1] - 76:10 monkeys [1] - 39:19 morning [1] - 79:6 most [18] - 11:17, 13:18, 21:2, 26:1, 26:3, 27:15, 28:7, 37:23, 38:19, 52:13, 66:9, 66:12, 73:19, 77:8, 81:5, 81:10, 81:17, 96:25 mothers [1] - 21:22 motion [6] - 7:12, 7:14, 7:16, 7:17,

27:6, 27:8 move [16] - 12:17, 19:8, 19:20, 19:24, 46:12, 47:17, 47:18, 49:2, 50:22, 50:23, 63:6, 80:16, 82:11, 82:15 moved [4] - 22:17, 61:21, 62:13, 84:8 movements [2] - 54:6, 64:17 moves [7] - 50:12, 50:14, 61:19, 62:24, 63:3, 88:9, 90:4 moving [8] - 49:16, 62:23, 63:15, 63:25, 65:13, 66:5, 80:25, 90:10 Mozambique [2] -23:18, 24:22 MR [248] - 3:9, 3:12, 3:14, 3:15, 3:17, 3:19, 3:21, 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12, 4:14, 4:18, 4:20, 5:5, 5:7, 5:13, 5:18, 5:21, 5:24, 6:2, 6:5. 6:6. 6:11. 6:16. 6:18, 6:19, 6:23, 7:1, 7:11, 7:15, 7:16, 7:17, 7:20, 7:22, 8:1, 8:3, 8:5, 8:8, 8:11, 8:12, 8:13, 8:15, 8:18, 8:21, 8:25, 9:2, 9:4, 9:8, 9:11, 9:13, 9:15, 10:15, 11:2, 12:3, 17:2, 17:5, 17:21, 17:23, 18:2, 18:16, 19:14, 20:15, 21:1, 21:4, 21:7, 21:12, 21:13, 21:14, 21:16, 21:18, 22:1, 22:3, 22:6, 22:8, 22:13, 22:22, 22:25, 23:2, 23:5, 23:10, 25:5, 26:3, 26:5, 26:10, 26:14, 26:19, 26:24, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11, 27:13, 28:2, 28:4, 28:12, 28:17, 29:9, 29:14, 29:21, 29:25, 30:5, 30:19, 31:22, 32:2, 32:6, 32:8, 33:1, 33:3, 33:5, 33:12, 33:18, 33:25, 34:6, 34:8, 34:12, 34:16, 34:22, 35:5, 35:9, 35:14, 35:22, 35:25, 36:2, 36:4, 36:6, 36:15, 37:8, 37:15, 37:18,

37:21, 37:23, 38:4,	54:18, 68:19, 95:14	76:16, 85:24, 92:4,	observation [1] - 65:2	online [2] - 76:1, 95:1
38:11, 38:13, 38:19,	needed [1] - 53:14	94:1	observations [2] -	openers [1] - 79:16
38:21, 38:23, 39:3,	needs [12] - 5:9, 20:9,	Nonhuman [9] - 3:9,	90:1, 90:20	operate [2] - 14:14,
39:5, 39:9, 39:13,	36:11, 37:1, 37:2,	3:13, 3:14, 72:10,	observed [1] - 50:3	38:5
39:19, 39:23, 40:4,	52:3, 54:1, 61:3,	75:12, 75:17, 76:14,		
40:13, 40:16, 40:18,			observing [3] - 27:25,	opinion [16] - 10:4,
	73:6, 74:20, 74:22,	81:2, 93:4	28:6, 66:4	11:22, 28:21, 28:25,
40:22, 41:3, 41:5,	95:22	NONHUMAN [3] - 1:4,	obvious [1] - 89:19	31:11, 32:22, 34:13,
41:8, 41:11, 41:17,	negotiate [3] - 54:5,	1:17, 1:20	obviously [5] - 32:13,	51:9, 63:24, 65:12,
41:24, 42:1, 42:5,	54:11, 54:19	NonHumans [1] -	82:7, 84:13, 88:5,	65:17, 65:19, 68:20,
42:10, 42:12, 42:22,	negotiating [1] - 19:19	76:13	96:23	71:12, 71:14, 90:9
43:3, 43:13, 43:17,	Network [1] - 16:10	nonhumans [1] - 72:9	occasion [1] - 33:21	opinions [4] - 28:22,
43:20, 43:24, 44:3,	neurons [1] - 53:24	normal [5] - 19:25,	occasions [2] - 33:21	43:8, 65:20, 65:25
44:7, 44:11, 44:13,	never [23] - 11:6, 11:9,	22:18, 36:16, 36:17,	occur [2] - 20:21,	opportunity [4] -
44:24, 45:1, 48:18,	12:9, 12:10, 15:19,	62:18	59:23	31:20, 52:21, 61:5,
48:20, 49:21, 49:24,	20:14, 21:25, 22:1,	normally [2] - 62:8,	OF [4] - 1:1, 1:1, 2:1	77:23
50:5, 50:14, 51:12,	22:2, 22:11, 22:13,	65:7	offer [2] - 36:19, 63:14	opposed [5] - 14:25,
53:4, 53:5, 54:14,	28:19, 31:22, 32:3,	note [2] - 13:6, 78:10	offered [1] - 92:12	70:4, 70:7, 73:2,
54:19, 54:23, 55:3,	32:9, 33:14, 33:21,	noted [3] - 71:7,	offering [1] - 60:16	84:20
55:7, 55:11, 55:14,	42:17, 48:4, 48:23,	85:20, 97:5	offers [2] - 11:22,	oral [1] - 88:22
55:18, 55:20, 55:22,	50:3, 86:2, 90:21	notes [9] - 26:6,	36:22	order [13] - 3:5, 6:9,
55:25, 56:3, 56:6,	never-ending [1] -	27:15, 30:2, 30:15,		56:15, 68:20, 70:8,
56:19, 56:22, 56:25,	48:4	30:19, 46:5, 96:25,	Office [1] - 3:10	72:18, 72:19, 73:9,
57:3, 57:5, 57:9,	new [3] - 4:11, 42:23,	99:4	OFFICE [1] - 2:1	73:10, 77:5, 84:15,
59:14, 59:16, 60:10,			office [2] - 24:18, 34:1	
64:23, 65:22, 65:25,	76:1 NEW (1) - 1:1	nothing [15] - 11:18,	OFFICER [2] - 3:5,	97:7, 97:10
66:25, 67:2, 67:4,	NEW [1] - 1:1	12:12, 12:13, 18:6,	56:15	orders [1] - 98:21
67:6, 67:8, 67:11,	New [25] - 1:12, 1:18,	30:7, 37:23, 38:2,	official [1] - 1:8	organization [6] -
67:13, 67:15, 68:8,	2:2, 2:6, 2:10, 3:11,	38:18, 39:5, 39:7,	old [2] - 22:9	24:3, 28:15, 39:6,
68:12, 69:12, 69:16,	8:2, 8:7, 13:1, 15:13,	50:2, 51:20, 74:2,	older [1] - 62:25	41:19, 47:21, 48:13
70:6, 70:10, 70:21,	31:13, 69:8, 69:12,	74:18	olfactory [1] - 25:1	Organization [1] -
70:0, 70:10, 70:21, 71:2, 77:13, 77:17,	71:21, 72:14, 76:7,	noting [1] - 30:23	once [4] - 60:14,	27:21
	76:15, 76:21, 84:1,	NRP [1] - 92:1	68:18, 76:24	organizations [2] -
77:20, 77:24, 78:5,	85:14, 85:23, 94:24,	number [9] - 22:23,	one [82] - 5:18, 6:8,	24:12, 42:6
78:7, 78:18, 78:24,	95:11, 97:18	24:12, 26:20, 32:11,	6:23, 7:9, 9:8, 9:19,	original [5] - 53:18,
79:2, 85:4, 85:7,	newborn [1] - 14:5	65:2, 67:2, 67:8,	10:3, 11:17, 14:17,	65:22, 66:21, 66:23,
87:17, 87:20, 87:22,	next [3] - 15:1, 71:20,	84:2	15:15, 18:8, 18:10,	67:16
89:11, 89:14, 89:24,	84:24	Number [33] - 5:19,	19:9, 20:10, 20:15,	Orleans [2] - 8:3, 8:9
93:3, 93:6, 94:10,	nice [2] - 18:4, 54:21	5:22, 6:9, 7:2, 7:4,	21:2, 21:19, 26:4,	orleans [1] - 8:4
94:17, 96:19, 96:22,	nieces [1] - 47:22	7:5, 7:7, 8:15, 10:20,	27:19, 28:13, 31:7,	osteoarthritis [1] -
97:5, 97:16, 98:12,	nightmare [1] - 20:11	12:1, 12:19, 15:2,		20:2
98:19, 98:24	nobody [2] - 46:14,	23:2, 23:18, 23:19,	31:12, 32:2, 32:17,	osteomyelitis [1] -
MS [2] - 3:10, 3:16	52:9	25:21, 25:22, 30:17,	33:2, 33:21, 34:22,	20:2
multiple [2] - 24:21,	noises [1] - 54:5	32:12, 36:2, 59:13,	37:12, 37:18, 41:11,	otherwise [2] - 74:13,
24:22	non [7] - 15:15, 17:12,	59:15, 60:2, 60:7,	42:5, 46:17, 46:25,	85:19
must [2] - 85:17,		61:25, 65:23, 67:7,	47:1, 47:5, 49:16,	
94:22	18:9, 39:25, 40:6,	67:9, 68:12, 76:13,	49:17, 50:6, 50:11,	ought [2] - 58:19,
	40:23, 72:25	87:20, 89:9	50:15, 51:13, 51:16,	81:25
Ν	non-arbitrary [1] -		51:18, 52:12, 52:22,	outline [1] - 88:20
	72:25	numbering [1] - 23:5	52:25, 53:9, 54:2,	outlined [1] - 90:12
name [2] - 93:2, 93:3	non-human [6] -	numeral [1] - 81:6	56:21, 56:23, 59:3,	outlines [1] - 90:1
named [2] - 57:16,	15:15, 17:12, 18:9,	numerous [3] - 20:19,	59:24, 60:23, 61:19,	outside [3] - 51:15,
91:16	39:25, 40:6, 40:23	58:7, 59:21	61:21, 62:14, 65:21,	79:7, 79:15
natural [1] - 69:25	none [25] - 9:19, 9:21,	NW [2] - 1:21, 2:14	66:11, 67:19, 68:23,	outstanding [1] -
Nature [1] - 66:11	10:11, 12:12, 18:1,		70:16, 71:20, 73:15,	30:20
nature [5] - 36:16,	25:11, 25:12, 29:14,	0	74:8, 75:9, 76:1,	overlooked [1] - 87:15
66:11, 75:25, 86:9,	29:15, 30:23, 31:16,		76:24, 76:25, 77:3,	oversaw [1] - 24:14
92:4	39:1, 41:10, 49:3,	o'clock [1] - 56:8	78:17, 86:25, 87:16,	overseeing [1] - 15:7
	49:24, 63:20, 67:17,	oath [2] - 88:6, 90:12	87:19, 88:9, 91:7,	oversight [1] - 13:2
Nature's [1] - 16:8	67:18, 67:19, 67:20,	obey [1] - 39:16	91:19, 92:10, 92:21,	overturn [1] - 97:11
near [1] - 20:9	79:3, 89:2	objecting [1] - 42:13	94:22, 98:19	overturned [2] - 97:5,
necessarily [1] - 54:22	nonhuman [8] - 44:15,	objection [1] - 43:5	One [1] - 2:5	97:9
need [5] - 19:1, 29:4,	44:17, 50:19, 70:16,	objective [1] - 95:2	ones [1] - 25:16	own [3] - 34:13, 65:1,
				wii [J] ² J 4 . 1J, UJ. 1,

-				
66:7	Patrick [12] - 6:11,	71:8, 72:2, 72:3,	Plan [1] - 16:5	powerful [1] - 72:13
	9:21, 15:1, 15:2,	83:13, 93:12, 93:23,	plan [2] - 54:4, 54:10	precedent [2] - 81:9,
Р	15:4, 15:25, 16:19,	95:4	Planet [1] - 87:4	81:25
•	34:5, 87:1, 89:8	persuade [1] - 98:7	Planning [1] - 16:9	precedents [1] - 82:23
P-O-L-L-E [1] - 7:3	patrick [1] - 3:19	Pet [3] - 84:24, 85:1,	planning [1] - 19:18	predicate [2] - 10:5,
Pacific [2] - 78:16,	Patty [4] - 21:7, 60:13,	85:4	plants [1] - 16:17	10:6
78:18	60:24, 65:9	pet [2] - 85:3, 85:17	plate [2] - 33:13, 33:22	preexisting [1] - 97:20
page [9] - 26:19,	Paul [9] - 5:25, 6:1,	Peter [2] - 76:5, 76:20	play [1] - 44:5	preliminary [2] - 7:11,
27:14, 27:23, 73:17,	6:2, 9:21, 12:18,	petition [21] - 42:17,	plus [1] - 20:10	7:22
74:10, 87:4, 89:25,	34:5, 87:6, 87:18	42:18, 42:19, 43:6,	point [24] - 4:8, 4:11,	present [3] - 64:6,
91:13	pause [9] - 9:7, 10:25,	43:19, 43:23, 68:16,	12:14, 18:5, 18:6,	64:10, 88:4
pages [4] - 38:6,	23:8, 27:5, 33:24,	79:7, 79:8, 79:9,	26:17, 26:19, 27:14,	PRESENT [1] - 2:17
38:21, 39:2, 39:3	35:20, 37:14, 64:21,	79:23, 80:8, 83:15,	50:6, 51:3, 74:8,	presentation [1] -
painting [1] - 75:25 papers [4] - 7:14,	94:13	86:16, 86:17, 86:18,	80:25, 81:18, 81:24,	32:1
25:24, 43:4, 94:9	Paws [3] - 61:10,	86:19, 92:19, 96:11,	82:2, 84:6, 84:14,	presented [3] - 87:5,
parade [1] - 73:20	62:10, 62:23	96:12, 96:13	84:22, 90:20, 91:17,	91:9, 96:9
paragraph [9] - 11:24,	pay [1] - 47:8	Petitioner [4] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:1, 7:2	95:14, 96:5	President [4] - 1:8,
17:2, 33:16, 35:18,	paying [2] - 47:10, 98:3	petitioner [24] - 57:7,	points [3] - 73:25,	6:19, 12:21, 15:5
35:21, 37:25, 65:22,	90.3 PC375234 [1] - 27:3	79:6, 79:14, 79:19,	91:5, 91:6	president [1] - 6:16
66:24, 67:1	peer [8] - 25:15,	80:11, 80:14, 81:21,	policy [3] - 72:5, 72:6, 72:11	presiding [1] - 3:6 Presiding [1] - 1:15
Paragraph [50] -	25:20, 25:23, 66:6,	81:24, 83:25, 84:7,	poole [3] - 18:8,	Presti [1] - 1.15
10:20, 13:24, 16:11,	66:18, 66:19, 67:16	85:22, 91:9, 92:1,	22:25, 23:11	Prest [1] - 61.3 PRESTI [1] - 81:3
19:11, 19:16, 24:10,	pending [1] - 98:25	93:6, 93:9, 93:10,	Poole [56] - 7:3, 7:5,	prestigious [1] - 66:12
25:5, 25:9, 25:14,	Pennsylvania [2] -	93:11, 93:22, 94:23,	7:6, 10:17, 17:8,	pretty [1] - 96:5
25:24, 26:10, 30:15,	14:1, 14:2	94:25, 95:9, 95:11,	17:19, 18:6, 19:9,	prevents [1] - 19:25
30:16, 32:12, 33:1,	people [7] - 47:1,	95:16	20:3, 21:23, 22:12,	previously [3] - 9:9,
35:16, 35:18, 35:19,	47:10, 49:4, 74:17,	petitioner's [4] -	22:14, 22:19, 22:25,	94:10, 95:9
35:25, 36:4, 46:9,	89:5, 90:13, 95:19	83:10, 85:9, 93:15,	23:3, 23:10, 27:16,	primary [2] - 38:14,
51:23, 51:24, 53:19,	peppers [1] - 55:15	94:22	27:20, 28:17, 30:14,	84:19
53:21, 53:22, 59:18, 60:2, 60:8, 61:9,	per [1] - 60:13	Petitioner's [2] - 4:22,	31:20, 31:22, 32:14,	primate [1] - 44:25
61:18, 61:25, 62:1,	Performing [3] -	42:23	32:23, 35:15, 37:25,	primates [6] - 40:7,
62:22, 63:9, 64:25,	73:12, 73:13, 77:11	Petitioner(s [1] - 1:6	41:22, 46:5, 47:17,	40:10, 40:13, 40:15,
65:19, 65:21, 66:25,	perhaps [6] - 14:13,	Ph.D [3] - 16:14, 23:13, 66:2	48:7, 48:21, 49:11,	40:22, 40:25
67:10, 67:11, 87:25,	14:14, 20:13, 31:19, 57:15, 77:16	PhD [1] - 2:18	49:13, 50:3, 50:24, 51:12, 51:22, 52:11,	Princeton [3] - 23:16,
88:7, 89:8, 89:14,	periodical [1] - 66:13	phenomenon [1] -	52:20, 52:23, 53:9,	27:18, 66:3
89:25, 90:6	periods [1] - 32:16	20:23	53:17, 53:18, 59:10,	printer [1] - 76:25
paragraphs [2] -	person [28] - 31:7,	PHILLIPS [1] - 2:4	59:18, 60:6, 61:20,	Priorities [1] - 13:13
19:11, 87:14	34:1, 34:2, 43:22,	Phillips [2] - 3:15,	64:15, 65:16, 65:18,	prison [3] - 18:23, 48:4, 80:23
Paragraphs [2] -	44:1, 44:5, 57:24,	3:16	73:19, 89:3, 92:13	prisoner [5] - 18:24,
62:11, 62:21	58:14, 70:5, 70:8,	phrase [2] - 80:24,	Poole's [13] - 19:10,	47:7, 48:3, 58:8,
pardon [1] - 41:5	70:22, 70:24, 70:25,	91:14	19:15, 22:20, 28:18,	75:4
Park [4] - 2:2, 3:11, 12:25 13:1	71:15, 71:22, 71:24,	physical [4] - 36:11,	28:21, 29:3, 29:7,	prisoners [1] - 47:9
12:25, 13:1 parks [1] - 6:20	72:1, 73:3, 73:4,	37:2, 62:7, 64:18	35:21, 35:22, 46:10,	private [2] - 41:19,
parks [1] - 6.20 part [11] - 7:18, 9:23,	73:20, 74:3, 75:5,	physically [1] - 64:19	51:8, 51:24, 62:20	42:6
13:23, 24:21, 37:18,	85:14, 85:15, 85:18,	pick [1] - 97:1	poor [3] - 76:25, 77:3,	problem [6] - 19:1,
47:19, 49:16, 63:17,	85:25	picked [2] - 67:25,	85:5 population [1] - 91:23	46:7, 49:12, 51:5,
64:23, 66:7, 82:17	personal [9] - 9:22, 0:24, 10:1, 12:11	83:2 nicks (1) - 68:1	population [1] - 91.23 portions [1] - 87:9	55:12, 60:11
participated [2] -	9:24, 10:1, 12:11, 34:24, 34:25, 35:2,	picks [1] - 68:1 piece [1] - 31:12	position [2] - 11:10,	problematic [1] - 62:6
13:11, 13:12	53:13, 89:20	pioneer [1] - 28:14	94:18	problems [7] - 34:22,
particular [4] - 15:15,	personality [1] - 62:6	pioneered [1] - 27:21	positive [1] - 63:12	36:9, 37:3, 49:15, 62:8, 64:20, 74:6
87:12, 87:24, 96:10	personally [3] - 31:21,	place [6] - 45:17,	possess [2] - 95:4,	62:8, 64:20, 74:6 procedural [4] -
particularly [1] - 90:4	33:20, 66:17	63:12, 73:11, 77:7,	95:5	68:15, 82:24, 97:9,
party [1] - 75:11	personhood [4] -	77:8, 98:24	possession [1] -	97:12
passed [1] - 91:20	68:24, 69:20, 71:5,	places [6] - 62:14,	35:12	proceed [2] - 3:22, 5:6
past [2] - 90:2, 91:18	72:3	62:25, 66:4, 66:11,	possibility [1] - 36:22	Proceeding [1] - 1:2
Pathology [1] - 12:24 PATRICK [1] - 2:18	persons [11] - 69:22,	84:13, 95:18	possible [1] - 56:11	proceedings [8] -
- A HNOR [1] - 2.10	70:3, 70:19, 70:21,	plaintiff [1] - 75:11	post [2] - 23:15, 66:3	23:9, 64:22, 78:14,

Keywora Index

SNN

79:16, 92:6, 92:8, 92:22, 94:14 process [1] - 86:13 professional [4] - 63:23, 65:11, 66:1, 90:9 professor [4] - 11:12, 11:16, 14:9, 76:17 Professor [3] - 69:3, 89:3, 92:13 professors [1] - 76:3 profound [2] - 36:8, 66:2 Program [2] - 12:22, 13:3 Programs [1] - 12:21 PROJECT [3] - 1:4, 1:17, 1:20 project [1] - 28:9 Project [9] - 3:9, 3:13, 3:14, 24:13, 72:11, 75:18, 76:14, 81:3, 93:4 projects [3] - 13:11, 23:17, 24:16 promise [2] - 56:6, 77:15 promised [3] - 4:18, 56:23, 77:18 promote [1] - 24:7 promulgated [1] - 41:21 pronouncements [1] - 94:3 proof [1] - 91:3 properly [1] - 27:1 Prospect [1] - 13:1 protect [8] - 17:12, 17:15, 40:10, 40:19, 40:24, 45:2, 58:23, 85:24 protected [7] - 71:9, 73:6, 73:7, 80:6, 20:2, 00:2, 00:15	psychology [2] - 40:6, 74:18 public [4] - 34:1, 72:5, 72:6, 72:11 publish [2] - 25:25, 66:10 published [5] - 25:14, 25:20, 66:8, 66:11, 94:25 publishing [1] - 25:23 pulled [1] - 87:2 purports [1] - 93:22 purpose [5] - 39:22, 39:23, 44:20, 46:23, 93:13 purposes [2] - 83:13, 93:24 pursuant [1] - 45:16 pursuing [1] - 94:23 put [6] - 3:7, 46:14, 47:1, 51:15, 54:16, 94:21 puts [4] - 32:2, 57:11, 70:24, 75:22 putting [3] - 46:11, 47:3, 48:21 Q qualifications [10] - 10:16, 10:21, 14:12, 16:20, 28:18, 29:3, 29:6, 29:8, 35:9, 64:9 qualified [4] - 28:25, 32:14, 64:13, 65:17 quarter [1] - 61:6 quarter [1] - 61:6 quarter [1] - 65:6 Queens [1] - 12:25 questions [10] - 55:7, 55:13, 55:15, 55:23, 56:7, 77:15, 77:21, 84:25, 88:14, 98:14 auxiebu (a) = 56:11	raises [1] - 30:14 raising [1] - 79:15 Ramapo [1] - 16:12 ranking [1] - 74:14 ranks [1] - 74:18 rare [1] - 91:22 rather [8] - 15:16, 28:8, 31:1, 31:13, 61:2, 78:8, 80:7, 80:15 re [1] - 68:14 reach [1] - 97:22 reaches [1] - 96:12 reaching [1] - 98:9 read [12] - 24:15, 25:3, 26:12, 43:14, 48:14, 54:3, 58:6, 66:9, 67:24, 87:9, 87:12, 90:15 reading [8] - 33:4, 66:24, 66:25, 67:13, 78:14, 78:20, 90:23, 97:13 reads [1] - 73:18 ready [2] - 3:22, 5:4 real [1] - 46:7 really [11] - 35:6, 42:14, 46:3, 46:4, 57:10, 62:17, 69:11, 72:22, 84:12, 88:23, 94:22 reargue [1] - 96:23 reason [11] - 18:17, 27:14, 29:14, 29:16, 31:15, 44:20, 45:5, 53:14, 69:23, 73:1, 75:15 reasons [4] - 9:18, 14:17, 74:23, 74:24 rebut [3] - 18:18, 32:13, 59:1 rebutting [1] - 58:12 received [3] - 16:11,	27:3, 53:19 reference [1] - 66:17 referring [6] - 4:23, 36:6, 38:9, 38:11, 38:13, 38:17 reflection [1] - 91:24 regs [3] - 39:14, 39:15 regular [2] - 89:6, 89:7 regulations [3] - 39:15, 39:18, 57:21 rejected [1] - 83:14 rejecting [2] - 83:9, 83:24 related [2] - 25:20, 88:18 relates [1] - 42:16 relating [2] - 88:15, 90:1 relations [1] - 62:2 relations [1] - 62:2 relations [1] - 34:11 relationship [2] - 97:19, 97:20 relationships [3] - 20:20, 59:22, 60:16 release [4] - 31:1, 81:9, 83:11, 84:19 released [3] - 63:14, 73:10, 77:5 releasing [1] - 36:18 relevant [3] - 43:10, 58:13, 59:4 relied [2] - 41:22, 78:11 relief [2] - 86:2, 86:12 rely [1] - 34:19 relying [1] - 69:3 remedied [1] - 36:18 remember [3] - 4:3, 50:8, 69:16 remind [1] - 68:9 reminds [1] - 51:13
75:18, 76:14, 81:3, 93:4	94:21 puts [4] - 32:2, 57:11,	78:14, 78:20, 90:23, 97:13	relations [1] - 34:1 relationship [2] -
23:17, 24:16 promise [2] - 56:6, 77:15	putting [3] - 46:11, 47:3, 48:21	ready [2] - 3:22, 5:4 real [1] - 46:7 really [11] - 35:6,	relationships [3] - 20:20, 59:22, 60:16 release [4] - 31:1,
56:23, 77:18		57:10, 62:17, 69:11,	released [3] - 63:14,
promulgated [1] - 41:21 pronouncements [1] - 94:3 proof [1] - 91:3 properly [1] - 27:1 Prospect [1] - 13:1 protect [8] - 17:12, 17:15, 40:10, 40:19, 40:24, 45:2, 58:23, 85:24 protected [7] - 71:9,	10:16, 10:21, 14:12, 16:20, 28:18, 29:3, 29:6, 29:8, 35:9, 64:9 qualified [4] - 28:25, 32:14, 64:13, 65:17 quarter [1] - 61:6 quarterly [1] - 65:6 Queens [1] - 12:25 questions [10] - 55:7, 55:13, 55:15, 55:23, 56:7, 77:15, 77:21, 84:25, 88:14, 98:14 quickly [1] - 56:11 quiet [1] - 55:9 quote [8] - 30:23, 32:23, 51:22, 74:11, 80:22, 81:10, 92:9, 92:24 quoted [1] - 89:4 quoting [5] - 42:18, 81:10, 91:11, 92:24, 93:9	94:22 reargue [1] - 96:23 reason [11] - 18:17, 27:14, 29:14, 29:16, 31:15, 44:20, 45:5, 53:14, 69:23, 73:1, 75:15 reasons [4] - 9:18, 14:17, 74:23, 74:24 rebut [3] - 18:18, 32:13, 59:1 rebutting [1] - 58:12	releasing [1] - $36:18$ relevant [3] - $43:10$, 58:13, $59:4relied [2] - 41:22,78:11relief [2] - 86:2, 86:12rely [1] - 34:19relying [1] - 69:3remedied [1] - 36:18remedied [1] - 36:18remember [3] - 4:3,50:8$, $69:16remind [1] - 68:9reminds [1] - 51:13remove [1] - 59:9renders [1] - 83:12repeat [1] - 50:21repeat [1] - 91:13repeated [1] - 91:13repeated [1] - 91:13report [2] - 50:2, 95:11Report [4] - 2:24,28:3$, $56:16$, $99:8reports [1] - 3:25reports [1] - 25:24$
82:16 providing [2] - 64:2, 88:1 province [1] - 17:17 psychological [6] - 37:3, 40:10, 40:19, 40:24, 45:3, 62:7	R R.W [1] - 93:7 race [1] - 73:3 raised [3] - 10:3, 83:24, 84:6	91:23, 93:23 recognized [1] - 30:20 recognizes [1] - 20:4 record [4] - 3:8, 35:11, 37:20, 91:1 red [1] - 83:16 refer [4] - 8:22, 8:23,	representative [2] - 16:4, 29:24 represented [1] - 61:12 reproductive [1] - 23:25

reputable [1] - 31:6 require [3] - 86:16, 93:23, 93:25 required [1] - 40:23 requirements [1] -17:9 requires [2] - 39:14, 96:12 Research [2] - 13:13, 24:13 research [16] - 23:16, 23:24, 24:8, 24:12, 24:13, 24:15, 24:16, 24:21, 25:3, 25:7, 25:22, 30:21, 66:16, 67:20, 67:21 reserved [1] - 98:17 resolved [1] - 60:16 resources [1] - 19:21 respect [6] - 4:5, 14:22, 17:24, 18:15, 44:7, 71:4 respected [2] - 31:6, 66:9 respecting [1] - 53:16 respond [3] - 35:16, 55:6, 68:3 respondent [2] -77:22, 96:17 Respondent [1] - 2:13 Respondent's [2] -9:17, 79:20 Respondents [2] -2:5, 2:9 response [6] - 80:21, 81:19, 82:1, 91:20, 96:20, 96:23 responsibilities [6] -12:23, 13:10, 15:7, 64:2, 88:1, 89:15 responsibility [1] -80:4 rest [6] - 25:3, 82:20, 88:11, 96:17, 96:18, 96:21 result [2] - 20:1, 37:2 reversal [1] - 78:24 reverse [1] - 92:1 reversed [1] - 78:19 review [8] - 25:23, 35:12, 66:6, 66:18, 69:3, 75:16, 83:5, 88:20 reviewed [4] - 25:15, 66:20, 67:16 rich [2] - 20:20, 59:22 **RIGHTS** [3] - 1:4, 1:17, 1:20 Rights [9] - 3:9, 3:13, 3:14, 72:10, 75:12,

S	se
	6
runs [1] - 23:17	5
running [1] - 18:23	5
run [1] - 76:7	2
rulings [1] - 27:12	2
95:15	1
ruling [3] - 81:4, 82:9,	se
84:17, 97:24	se
ruled [3] - 71:17,	6
rule [1] - 58:19	se
rubber [1] - 48:13	se
rooted [1] - 93:18	8
Ronna [1] - 63:6	e
roman [1] - 81:6	e
Roma [1] - 4:5	5
Roe [1] - 76:12	2
rocks [1] - 50:13	3
Rochester [1] - 76:10	
roamed [1] - 19:17	7
48:16, 48:18	se
roam [4] - 31:2, 36:20,	36
road [1] - 76:10	Se
Road [2] - 2:2, 3:11	se
Rivers [1] - 72:16	se
risk [1] - 60:14	sc se
rise [1] - 3:5	SC
95:5	
70:23, 76:22, 79:24,	sc sc
59:2, 70:2, 70:9,	
43.17, 57.1, 57.5, 57:9, 58:15, 58:25,	2
43:17, 57:1, 57:5,	so
93.4 rights [14] - 43:15,	2
93:4	
75:18, 76:14, 81:3,	Sc

Safety [1] - 23:17 Safety [1] - 16:1 San [1] - 14:7 sanction [1] - 39:17 sanctuaries [3] - 42:7, 42:8, 63:14 sanctuary [21] - 22:18, 31:1, 31:14, 36:19, 36:21, 60:17, 61:12, 62:4, 62:10, 62:16,

63:6, 63:8, 63:25,

81:1, 84:9, 84:19,

Sanctuary [3] - 73:12,

save [2] - 90:20, 95:17

saw [6] - 10:6, 14:8,

49:25, 50:1, 67:23

Schneider [1] - 3:12

School [2] - 14:1, 14:2

SCHNEIDER [2] -

science [3] - 24:1,

66:13, 95:18

1:19, 3:12

90:10, 94:21

77:10, 84:10

65:13, 80:17, 80:21,

cience [2] - 16:12, 23:12 cientific [6] - 24:21, 25:15, 25:22, 36:24, 66:12, 66:19 cientist [1] - 53:16 cientists [1] - 30:24 cope [1] - 79:15 copes [1] - 76:10 e [1] - 60:13 earch [1] - 19:22 eated [1] - 56:17 econd [3] - 79:21, 82:3, 82:13 econd [26] - 5:21, 7:6, 7:9, 10:8, 11:24, 12:17, 30:16, 32:23, 33:2, 35:22, 37:12, 46:10, 51:23, 51:24, 59:15, 60:7, 61:24, 62:20, 63:9, 64:24, 65:21, 68:23, 78:17, 83:16, 84:11, 98:7 econdly [1] - 88:13 econds [2] - 68:8, 69:7 ecret [1] - 44:15 ee [23] - 12:19, 16:20, 19:14, 21:1, 22:15, 25:18, 26:24, 34:16, 47:11, 47:12, 48:14, 50:20, 51:9, 51:20, 59:5. 59:6. 66:11. 67:2, 67:19, 69:19, 73:18, 80:20, 98:10 seeing [1] - 28:20 seek [2] - 42:22, 79:11 seeking [1] - 84:19 seeks [1] - 80:14 seem [1] - 30:7 sees [1] - 31:23 segregation [1] -76:11 select [1] - 95:12 selected [1] - 86:5 self [22] - 19:7, 44:19, 45:13, 45:18, 46:19, 46:20, 46:22, 47:3, 47:20, 47:25, 48:1, 49:8, 53:16, 58:16, 58:17, 74:12, 77:9, 83:17, 83:18, 91:19 self-aware [1] - 74:12 self-conscious [2] -45:13, 58:17 self-consciousness [2] - 46:20, 48:1 self-determination [6] - 46:19, 46:22, 47:3, 47:25, 49:8, 77:9

self-determine [3] -45:18, 47:20, 83:18 self-determined [1] -58:16 self-determining [1] -45.13 self-recognition [1] -91.19 send [4] - 31:13, 45:20, 45:21, 69:14 senior [1] - 73:22 Senior [2] - 2:24, 99:8 sense [4] - 54:15, 57:14, 58:13, 59:2 sent [3] - 45:17, 73:10, 77:7 sentence [2] - 52:21, 90:3 sentences [1] - 74:9 separately [1] - 60:5 series [2] - 79:19, 92:10 serious [1] - 62:7 served [4] - 15:4, 63:24, 65:13, 90:10 serves [3] - 12:4, 12:21, 16:1 sessions [1] - 80:19 set [5] - 39:23, 39:24, 63:21, 68:9, 69:1 setforth [2] - 10:4 sets [3] - 10:20, 22:16, 38:14 settled [1] - 94:24 seven [3] - 5:15, 7:10, 7.11 several [8] - 9:18, 14:18, 25:20, 25:23, 56:4. 64:16. 66:16. 82:2 shall [1] - 98:10 share [1] - 60:21 shared [1] - 13:2 sharing [1] - 24:9 sherlock [2] - 53:4, 53:5 shocking [1] - 36:8 SHONELL [2] - 2:23, 99.7 short [3] - 61:19, 88:8, 90:4 shoulders [1] - 73:19 show [6] - 14:20, 17:8, 17:11, 18:8, 25:17, 29.6 showed [2] - 69:4, 72:12 showing [3] - 57:13, 68:5, 84:15 shown [4] - 49:14,

49:20, 61:14, 65:14 shows [7] - 14:13, 52:2, 58:1, 64:18, 74:13, 74:24, 83:17 shuffled [1] - 61:23 sick [1] - 64:8 significant [1] - 53:7 significantly [1] -32:16 silent [1] - 42:13 similar [3] - 48:15, 52:13, 89:21 similarly [2] - 74:17, 88:6 simply [14] - 8:21, 18:10, 28:25, 33:13, 41:19, 58:13, 67:21, 74:7, 74:23, 80:9, 81:1, 81:4, 82:8, 82:13 single [6] - 31:7, 38:5, 52:9, 52:20, 63:22 sites [1] - 24:22 sits [1] - 49:4 sitting [2] - 24:17, 46:16 situation [1] - 60:15 six [7] - 17:4, 52:15, 62:12, 69:18, 83:3, 87:4 size [1] - 60:22 Size [1] - 80:9 slave [2] - 76:7, 76:8 slaves [2] - 70:17, 72:8 slow [3] - 4:16, 28:1, 28:3 small [5] - 14:3, 14:5, 22:4, 46:8, 49:1 smaller [2] - 51:16, 51:17 smell [1] - 20:7 Smith [1] - 23:12 so-called [2] - 75:22, 96:7 Social [1] - 27:21 social [28] - 14:23, 17:15, 19:18, 20:20, 23:24, 24:6, 24:25, 28:15, 36:9, 36:11, 36:17, 44:5, 47:21, 54:1, 59:21, 60:13, 69:5, 69:17, 69:20, 69:21, 69:24, 70:22, 70:25, 74:19, 74:22, 80:4, 81:11, 82:18 socialize [4] - 36:24, 49:3, 61:5, 62:5 socially [1] - 51:10 Society [18] - 1:9,

3:18, 3:20, 11:8, 12:20, 13:2, 13:4, 15:6, 29:22, 31:4, 52:8, 53:8, 73:13, 77:11, 87:3, 88:21, 95:17, 95:20 society [2] - 30:20, 54:15 SOCIETY [3] - 1:9, 2:9, 2:17 sole [1] - 57:18 **solely** [3] - 36:15, 91:15, 93:12 solitary [3] - 47:24, 48:25, 61:6 someone [3] - 57:16, 90:15, 90:21 someplace [1] - 57:18 sometimes [6] -11:21, 12:14, 20:8, 44:3, 50:20, 51:17 somewhat [2] - 59:4, 85:9 somewhere [1] -69:13 Sons [1] - 93:7 sorry [13] - 11:1, 28:4, 30:16, 46:9, 51:23, 52:14, 57:2, 67:4, 67:8, 77:17, 78:17, 78:18, 85:4 sort [1] - 80:23 sound [1] - 24:7 source [1] - 32:20 sources [1] - 85:22 Southern [1] - 2:10 space [12] - 31:2, 36:10, 36:19, 46:8, 48:8, 49:1, 54:21, 54:24, 60:21, 61:23, 61:24, 62:9 spaces [1] - 63:13 speaker [1] - 26:11 speaking [1] - 4:15 special [1] - 39:5 Special [1] - 2:13 Specialist [1] - 16:9 species [7] - 23:23, 73:1, 74:12, 86:9, 92:5, 92:6, 92:16 Species [1] - 16:5 specific [3] - 14:11, 14:12, 91:11 specifically [10] -24:23, 25:6, 40:8, 42:7, 42:18, 59:18, 63:10, 69:17, 69:24, 83:14 specifications [1] -52:2

switch [1] - 91:8

symposiums [1] -

specificity [1] - 88:17	statu
spencer [1] - 3:14	41:1
SPENCER [1] - 1:19	Statu
spend [2] - 46:15,	STEI
90:23	3:10
spent [5] - 17:9,	Stein
27:24, 28:5, 61:6,	stem
68:3	stend
spoken [1] - 81:17	4:15
Springs [1] - 1:22	stend
sprinkled [1] - 43:8	99:4
square [1] - 18:21	stere
squarely [2] - 84:4,	stere
84:22	20:3
Sri [4] - 24:23, 26:4,	51:1
26:5, 28:10	65:4
staff [6] - 11:7, 11:9,	Steve
31:5, 31:9, 52:8,	STEV
56:11	stick
stamps [1] - 48:13	still [2
stand [2] - 47:15,	story
47:16	straig
Standard [1] - 88:18	stree
standard [11] - 17:19,	Stree
17:22, 17:24, 18:2,	stres
18:3, 18:7, 18:13,	stres
37:11, 41:15, 44:6,	strip
46:13	stron
standards [18] -	strug
16:24, 17:1, 17:12,	studi
37:16, 37:21, 38:4,	23:1
38:14, 41:22, 42:8,	51:2
43:3, 48:6, 88:14, 88:15, 88:18, 88:19,	studi
88:22, 88:24, 89:1	52:1
Standards [1] - 38:9	study
standing [4] - 47:12,	16:1
47:13, 97:18, 98:6	24:2 52:1
stands [1] - 50:9	study
start [6] - 4:11, 4:15,	66:5
50:20, 70:13, 78:20,	stuff
79:2	Subd
started [1] - 79:18	23:1
STATE[1] - 1:1	subje
state [10] - 8:23, 8:24,	19:4
16:23, 65:25, 77:23,	subm
79:20, 81:19, 81:23,	94:1
84:22, 98:22	subm
state's [2] - 79:25,	7:1,
93:25	31:9
statement [2] - 14:12,	92:1
38:2	subm
statements [3] -	subs
68:24, 86:22, 86:23	subs
states [1] - 74:19	17:1
States [7] - 38:16,	subs
40:21, 41:2, 41:3,	subs
41:12, 71:14, 85:23	59:2
status [2] - 85:16,	succ
95:2	sudd

ite [2] - 39:14, ite [1] - 88:16 N [3] - 2:1, 2:3, [2] - 3:10, 3:11 [1] - 36:9 ographer [1] ographic [1] otypic [1] - 74:5 otypical [7] -3, 20:4, 50:18, I, 51:8, 64:17, en [1] - 3:9 VEN [1] - 1:22 [2] - 20:8, 91:6 2] - 84:4, 98:23 [2] - 53:6, 76:14 ght [1] - 96:12 **t** [1] - 76:6 et [2] - 2:6, 2:14 **s** [1] - 63:3 sful [1] - 62:5 [1] - 47:2 **g** [1] - 86:19 **igled** [1] - 71:18 ed [5] - 11:3, 19, 24:23, 27:20, 25 ies [2] - 24:25, **y** [8] - 16:16, 17, 16:18, 24:6, 24, 27:21, 28:10, ying [2] - 17:9, [2] - 45:24, 63:23 lepartment [1] -15 ect [2] - 15:16, nit [3] - 94:10, 1, 94:19 nitted [8] - 6:12, 10:17, 27:12, 9, 33:7, 78:12, 15 nitting [1] - 4:4 tance [1] - 11:21 tandard [1] tantial [1] - 66:7 titute [2] - 20:19, 21 essive [1] - 68:16 len [2] - 10:5,

92:6 suffer [1] - 47:8 sufficient [2] - 58:19, 71:24 sufficiently [1] - 35:11 suggest [7] - 79:13, 86:23, 87:14, 90:13, 90:16, 91:1, 95:6 suggested [5] - 79:4, 82:4, 82:12, 87:22, 89:2 suggesting [2] -60:11, 87:10 suggestion [1] - 82:17 suggests [1] - 65:8 suit [3] - 15:21, 75:15, 94:2 Suite [1] - 2:14 suited [2] - 84:20, 86:13 superior [4] - 7:7, 8:16, 26:21, 97:7 supervises [2] -15:18, 15:22 supervising [1] -89:15 supplemental [26] -5:21, 7:5, 7:6, 11:25, 19:10, 19:15, 30:17, 32:11, 32:24, 35:17, 35:22, 46:10, 51:24, 53:21, 59:11, 59:15, 59:17.60:1.60:7. 61:24, 62:11, 62:20, 63:10, 64:24, 89:21, 92:13 support [1] - 30:25 supports [1] - 81:9 suppose [1] - 45:1 supposed [6] - 10:9, 17:14, 18:4, 34:23, 45:2, 57:19 supreme [5] - 78:25, 81:20, 81:23, 97:6, 97:8 Supreme [1] - 98:4 SUPREME [1] - 1:1 surgery [6] - 14:3, 14:7, 19:2, 48:2, 49:6, 74:21 surgical [5] - 13:10, 13:20, 13:21, 13:23, 14:25 surroundings [6] -59:7, 59:8, 64:6, 64:10, 88:4, 89:18 Survival [1] - 16:5 survive [1] - 62:3 Susan [1] - 76:9 sweeping [1] - 94:3

25:23 system [1] - 93:25 systems [1] - 23:5 т talks [13] - 25:5, 25:24, 26:10, 31:23, 49:11, 49:17, 50:10, 54:4, 59:6, 64:16, 69:24, 82:20, 82:21 target [1] - 79:14 taught [2] - 11:12, 11:15 Taxon [1] - 16:5 taxonomy [1] - 72:6 TB [2] - 65:6, 65:10 teach [3] - 11:14, 11:15 Teaching [1] - 75:22 team [1] - 52:14 technical [1] - 25:24 Tennessee [8] - 61:11, 61:13, 73:11, 73:13, 77:10, 84:10, 84:12 term [2] - 63:4, 85:25 TERM [1] - 1:1 terminology [1] - 4:2 terms [2] - 43:16, 88:11 Terrace [1] - 1:21 terrible [3] - 18:11, 22:16, 74:11 terribly [1] - 18:9 test [4] - 65:6, 83:17, 91:19, 91:20 testified [1] - 27:15 testimony [2] - 27:16 Thailand [2] - 22:4, 45:21 **THE** [232] - 1:1, 1:4, 3:7, 3:22, 3:24, 4:7, 4:10, 4:13, 4:17, 4:19, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:12, 5:17, 5:20, 5:23, 6:1, 6:10, 6:14, 6:22, 6:25, 7:9, 7:13, 7:19, 7:21, 7:24, 8:2, 8:4, 8:7, 8:9, 8:14, 8:17, 8:20, 8:24, 9:1, 9:3, 9:6, 9:12, 9:14, 10:14, 11:1, 12:2, 16:23, 17:4, 17:18, 17:22, 18:1, 18:12, 19:13, 20:12, 20:23, 21:3, 21:5, 21:10, 21:15, 21:17, 21:25, 22:2, 22:5, 22:7,

22:11, 22:21, 22:24, 23:1, 23:4, 25:4, 26:1, 26:4, 26:9, 26:12, 26:18, 26:20, 26:23, 27:2, 27:6, 27:8, 27:10, 27:12, 28:1, 28:3, 28:11, 28:16, 29:2, 29:13, 29:19, 29:23, 30:4, 30:18, 31:18, 31:25, 32:4, 32:7, 32:25, 33:2, 33:4, 33:6, 33:14, 34:4, 34:7, 34:10, 34:15, 34:18, 35:4, 35:8, 35:10, 35:21, 35:24, 36:1, 36:3, 36:5, 36:14, 37:7, 37:12, 37:17, 37:20, 37:22, 38:1, 38:8, 38:12, 38:14, 38:20, 38:22, 38:25, 39:4, 39:7, 39:11, 39:18, 39:22, 40:2, 40:12, 40:14, 40:17, 40:20, 41:1, 41:4, 41:6, 41:10, 41:14, 41:20, 41:25, 42:3, 42:9, 42:21, 43:2, 43:12, 43:16, 43:18, 43:21, 43:25, 44:4, 44:9, 44:12, 44:22, 44:25, 48:16, 48:19, 49:20, 49:22, 50:3, 50:13, 51:11, 54:13, 54:17, 54:21, 54:25, 55:5, 55:9, 55:12, 55:17, 55:19, 55:21, 55:24, 56:2, 56:5, 56:8, 56:16, 56:20, 56:23, 57:2, 57:4, 57:8, 59:13, 59:15, 60:9, 65:21, 65:24, 66:24, 67:1, 67:3, 67:5, 67:7, 67:10, 67:12, 67:14, 68:7, 68:11, 69:11, 69:15, 70:4, 70:7, 70:20, 71:1, 77:12, 77:14, 77:18, 77:22, 78:3, 78:6, 78:17, 78:23, 79:1, 85:3, 85:6, 87:16, 87:19, 87:21, 89:10, 89:13, 89:23, 93:1, 93:5, 94:8, 94:12, 96:15, 96:20, 97:4, 97:15, 98:11, 98:16, 98:23, 99:1 themselves [3] - 9:25, 17:13, 47:16 theory [2] - 69:24, 82:18

Keyworg Index

91:7

they've [12] - 32:10,	transcript
47:18, 47:20, 52:17,	91:11, 91
52:19, 52:20, 61:12,	transfer [1]
61:15, 91:17, 92:5,	transform
95:12, 96:3	63:12
thick [1] - 37:19	translate [*
thinking [3] - 29:15, 29:16, 53:2	transport [62:4, 63:1
thinks [1] - 37:4	transporte
Third [6] - 71:4, 80:2,	61:15
80:3, 82:5, 82:7,	traumatic
82:9	treat [4] - 14
third [6] - 5:24, 5:25,	18:25, 19
43:6, 71:2, 75:11, 84:1	treated [1]
-	treating [1]
third-party [1] - 75:11	treatment
THOMAS [4] - 2:18,	15:22, 18
3:19, 6:5, 6:19	treats [3] -
Thomas [20] - 3:19, 6:11, 9:21, 15:1,	15:18, 15
	trial [7] - 27
15:2, 15:4, 15:25,	76:10, 78
25:11, 28:19, 28:23, 20:11, 34:5, 37:8	78:21, 92
29:11, 34:5, 37:8, 37:9, 37:10, 37:15,	Trial [1] - 76
37.9, 37.10, 37.15, 46:7, 87:1	trials [1] - 7
	tricky [1] - 4
Thomas's [6] - 16:19, 88:13, 88:16, 89:8,	trip [1] - 61
89:9, 89:19	trouble [2]
thousand [3] - 27:24,	68:6
28:5, 33:21	true [4] - 28 54:23, 99
thousands [7] - 13:8,	trump [1] -
15:17, 23:22, 30:2,	trunk [3] - 1
58:6, 64:4	49:5
three [12] - 6:8, 6:23,	Trust [3] - 8
10:12, 10:16, 18:21,	85:4
23:5, 33:21, 52:12,	trust [2] - 8
71:17, 82:5, 92:15,	truth [2] - 7
98:14	try [2] - 56:9
thrive [2] - 20:18,	trying [6] -
59:20	57:6, 57:
throughout [1] - 43:8	80:16, 80
thrown [1] - 76:20	Tuberculo
ticket [1] - 34:2	13:13
today [9] - 42:13,	TUITT [1] -
42:15, 55:2, 74:16,	Tuitt [1] - 3
83:6, 86:22, 87:1,	turn [6] - 42
88:22, 93:11	79:17, 86
together [1] - 54:10	91:5
took [2] - 80:18, 90:21	turned [1] -
top [1] - 83:2	turns [1] - 9
tops [5] - 55:16,	twice [1] - 2
55:17, 55:18, 55:20	two [22] - 5:
Toronto [1] - 63:1	6:23, 21:7
totally [1] - 32:21	25:9, 38:2
toward [1] - 23:20	50:11, 50
Tracy [1] - 8:1	52:12, 56
trade [4] - 39:5, 48:13,	60:23, 60
52:4, 70:1	68:14, 69
training [1] - 66:3	80:19, 89
tram [1] - 47:12	

t [4] - 80:22,	
	U
1:13, 99:4	
1] - 98:21	Uganda [1] - 25:2
nations [1] -	unaware [1] - 63:11
	uncles [1] - 21:22
[1] - 83:22	unconscious [1] -
t [4] - 61:15,	44:16
	-
:16	undaunted [1] - 80:11
ted [1] -	under [9] - 1:2, 68:16,
	69:24, 73:8, 76:8,
c [1] - 61:22	81:2, 85:14, 88:6,
14:14, 18:4,	90:12
9:2	undermined [3] -
	46:18, 46:19, 46:20
] - 26:25	
1] - 75:3	undermines [1] -
t [3] - 15:18,	47:24
8:15	undermining [1] -
- 15:17,	5:11
5:19	undisputed [1] - 74:12
	unhappy [2] - 14:20,
27:11, 27:15,	
8:13, 78:19,	91:1
2:18	unhealthy [4] - 50:15,
76:2	50:16, 51:8, 51:10
76:4	Union [1] - 16:8
44:3	unique [1] - 62:6
1:10	United [7] - 38:16,
] - 20:13,	40:21, 41:2, 41:3,
	41:12, 71:14, 85:23
28:17, 31:17,	university [1] - 23:13
9:3	University [6] - 13:25,
- 29:8	14:10, 16:15, 23:14,
18:19, 20:9,	23:16, 27:18
10.10, 20.0,	unless [1] - 14:4
04.04.05.4	
84:24, 85:1,	unlike [1] - 97:18
	unlikely [1] - 14:5
85:13, 85:15	unquote [2] - 37:3,
76:6, 76:21	74:15
3:9, 86:22	unsuccessful [1] -
- 32:13,	79:23
	unsuitable [2] - 42:20,
:12, 65:3,	
0:17	79:10
osis [1] -	untutored [1] - 32:21
	up [19] - 4:1, 4:21,
- 1:14	7:23, 32:2, 55:2,
3:6	55:3, 56:9, 56:24,
42:25, 78:8,	57:11, 58:24, 65:18,
	67:23, 70:13, 80:8,
6:21, 88:13,	, , ,
	81:13, 83:3, 95:13,
- 49:19	97:1
93:12	upend [1] - 93:25
21:4	upending [1] - 94:24
5:7, 6:8,	urge [1] - 77:9
:7, 25:8,	USDA [3] - 39:16,
:14, 45:8,	39:24, 41:18
0:15, 51:6,	utterly [1] - 49:7
6:8, 60:13,	
0:24, 66:12,	V
9:3, 74:9,	
9:23	vague [1] - 18:3
0.20	value [2] - 72:23,

95:19
values [5] - 58:18,
72:13, 72:14, 72:18,
72:20
variety [1] - 25:18
various [2] - 13:11,
86:15
venture [1] - 31:11
versus [9] - 8:15,
26:21, 71:21, 72:16,
73:16, 73:17, 73:18,
81:3, 93:6
veterinarian [14] - 6:3,
6:5, 6:6, 12:22, 13:7,
13:19, 14:1, 15:11,
65:15, 73:21, 74:1,
87:6, 88:1
veterinarian's [1] -
87:8
veterinarians [1] -
15:23
Veterinary [1] - 14:2
veterinary [2] - 64:2,
64:4
vets [1] - 34:7
vice [1] - 6:16 Vice [4] - 1:8, 6:19,
12:21, 15:5
vice-president [1] -
6:16
video [2] - 32:1, 90:21
videos [4] - 20:5,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 Wade [1] - 76:12
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23,
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24 warranted [1] - 65:7
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 4:5 Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24 warranted [1] - 65:7 WARREN [1] - 2:13
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 W Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24 warranted [1] - 65:7 WARREN [1] - 2:13 washed [1] - 18:19
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24 warranted [1] - 65:7 WARREN [1] - 2:13 washed [1] - 18:19 washing [1] - 49:4
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24 warranted [1] - 65:7 WARREN [1] - 2:13 washed [1] - 18:19 washing [1] - 49:4 Washington [1] - 2:14
31:23, 31:24, 65:2 view [3] - 81:8, 93:15, 93:16 violate [2] - 46:3, 46:4 violating [2] - 45:25, 46:2 violation [1] - 89:1 vocal [3] - 20:21, 25:1, 59:22 voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:5 Voices [1] - 24:11 vs [1] - 4:5 Wade [1] - 76:12 wait [12] - 33:2, 33:23, 37:12, 38:1, 42:25, 43:18, 65:21, 78:17 walk [2] - 46:12, 82:24 warranted [1] - 65:7 WARREN [1] - 2:13 washed [1] - 18:19 washing [1] - 49:4

watching [1] - 65:2 ways [1] - 67:19 WCS [1] - 12:25 Wednesday [1] - 98:1 weight [1] - 65:4 Welfare [21] - 38:12, 39:12, 39:13, 40:4, 40:7, 40:8, 40:24, 41:15, 41:18, 45:4, 45:5, 45:25, 46:1, 46:3, 46:14, 48:7, 58:12, 73:13, 77:11, 88:15, 88:16 welfare [21] - 23:20, 40:2, 42:22, 42:24, 43:14, 43:15, 43:22, 44:1, 44:2, 44:22, 57:1, 57:3, 57:4, 57:11, 58:25, 59:1, 79:11, 79:13, 79:14, 95:24, 96:1 well-being [8] - 33:10, 34:11, 40:10, 40:19, 40:25, 44:4, 45:3, 65:12 whales [1] - 95:3 whatsoever [8] -11:18, 14:6, 14:15, 14:21, 16:21, 74:22, 84:3, 88:25 who've [1] - 32:15 whole [6] - 26:24, 27:4, 29:4, 41:12, 42:15, 91:23 wide [3] - 25:18, 92:6, 92:17 wife [4] - 20:11, 20:14, 21:20, 48:24 wild [14] - 19:8, 23:19, 28:6, 30:21, 32:17, 36:13, 36:22, 36:25, 37:5, 47:14, 47:15, 51:19, 63:14, 95:18 Wild [3] - 12:20, 87:3, 88:21 Wildlife [15] - 1:9, 3:17, 3:19, 13:2, 13:3, 13:4, 14:9, 15:6, 16:2, 29:22, 31:4, 52:7, 53:7, 95:16, 95:19 wildlife [2] - 30:19, 95:17 WILDLIFE [3] - 1:9, 2:9, 2:17 WILSON [2] - 2:15, 3:21 Wilson [1] - 3:21 winter [1] - 51:17 Wise [1] - 3:9

WISE [206] - 1:22, 3:9,	68:8, 68:12, 69:12,	23:21, 23:23, 29:20,	
3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 4:12,	69:16, 70:6, 70:10,	33:11, 46:23, 48:10,	
4:14, 4:18, 4:20, 5:5,	70:21, 71:2, 77:13,	48:22, 52:1, 60:19,	
5:7, 5:13, 5:18, 5:21,	77:17, 77:20, 96:22,	61:16, 62:24, 63:2,	
5:24, 6:2, 6:6, 6:11,	97:5, 97:16, 98:12,	66:4, 66:16, 69:19,	
6:16, 6:23, 7:1, 7:11,	98:19	71:18, 72:7, 73:2,	
7:15, 7:17, 7:20,	wise [4] - 3:22, 6:15,	73:23, 74:4, 74:16,	
7:22, 8:5, 8:12, 8:15,	56:18, 96:20	75:8, 77:6, 88:2,	
8:18, 8:21, 8:25, 9:2,	wished [1] - 66:22	89:14, 95:21	
9:4, 9:11, 9:13, 9:15,	witnesses [1] - 73:20	YORK [1] - 1:1	
10:15, 11:2, 12:3,	woefully [1] - 52:3	York [22] - 1:12, 1:18,	
17:2, 17:5, 17:21,	women [2] - 70:18,	2:2, 2:6, 2:10, 8:2,	
17:23, 18:2, 18:16,	72:8	8:7, 13:1, 15:13,	
19:14, 20:15, 21:1,	wonder [1] - 24:4	31:13, 69:8, 69:12,	
21:4, 21:7, 21:12,	wonderful [1] - 96:25	71:21, 72:14, 76:7,	
21:14, 21:16, 21:18,	wondering [1] - 41:6	76:15, 76:21, 85:14,	
22:1, 22:3, 22:6,	word [2] - 26:15,	85:23, 94:24, 95:11,	
22:8, 22:13, 22:22,	52:20	97:18	
22:25, 23:2, 23:5,	words [6] - 18:5, 31:4,	Yorkers [1] - 84:1	
23:10, 25:5, 26:3,	64:3, 70:2, 70:23,	younger [1] - 22:9	
26:5, 26:10, 26:14,	83:18		1
26:19, 26:24, 27:7,	world [6] - 29:22,	Z	1
27:9, 27:11, 27:13,	40:18, 40:20, 40:22,		1
28:2, 28:4, 28:12,	52:16, 91:18	Zinger [2] - 76:5,	
28:17, 29:9, 29:14,	World [1] - 11:8	76:20	
29:21, 29:25, 30:5,	world's [5] - 27:19,	Zoo [52] - 1:9, 6:3, 6:6,	
30:19, 31:22, 32:2,	28:14, 52:16, 66:9,	6:7, 6:12, 6:14, 6:17,	
32:6, 32:8, 33:1,	66:12	6:21, 10:21, 10:23,	
33:3, 33:5, 33:12,	worldwide [2] - 41:8,	12:23, 13:1, 13:9,	
33:18, 33:25, 34:6,	95:18	14:7, 15:7, 15:11,	
34:8, 34:12, 34:16,	worse [2] - 46:25, 47:2	16:24, 27:1, 30:3,	
34:22, 35:5, 35:9,	worth [3] - 28:22,	33:8, 33:25, 34:3,	
35:14, 35:22, 35:25,	30:23, 94:24	36:8, 47:6, 47:7,	
36:2, 36:4, 36:6,	would've [1] - 30:12	48:11, 49:19, 54:24,	
36:15, 37:8, 37:15,	wrap [1] - 56:24	60:4, 60:20, 61:4,	
37:18, 37:21, 37:23,	wraps [1] - 65:18	61:19, 61:21, 64:3,	
38:4, 38:11, 38:13,	writ [14] - 14:24, 19:4,	73:9, 73:21, 73:24,	
38:19, 38:21, 38:23,	44:15, 45:16, 46:24,	74:18, 84:8, 87:2,	
39:3, 39:5, 39:9, 20:12, 20:10, 20:22	79:22, 80:6, 80:8,	87:6, 88:2, 88:9,	
39:13, 39:19, 39:23,	81:12, 84:16, 86:7,	88:21, 89:16, 89:18, 90:3, 90:13, 95:20,	
40:4, 40:13, 40:16, 40:18, 40:22, 41:3,	91:12, 93:16, 93:17	95:22, 96:1, 96:7	
40.18, 40.22, 41.3, 41:5, 41:5, 41:8, 41:11,	Writ [1] - 1:3	zoo [13] - 16:23,	
41:17, 41:24, 42:1,	writes [3] - 69:25,	36:13, 37:4, 49:16,	
42:5, 42:10, 43:13,	84:2, 86:11	50:19, 61:8, 61:23,	
43:17, 43:20, 43:24,	written [7] - 17:10,	62:16, 63:15, 88:24,	
44:3, 44:7, 44:11,	25:8, 25:9, 25:11,	88:25, 89:1, 90:5	
44:13, 44:24, 45:1,	25:19, 66:15, 75:17	zoo's [1] - 61:2	l
48:18, 48:20, 49:21,	wrongly [1] - 71:5	Zoo's [1] - 30:25	l
49:24, 50:5, 50:14,	wrote [1] - 53:6	Zoological [1] - 12:22	l
51:12, 53:5, 54:14,		zoological [1] - 14:7	
54:19, 54:23, 55:3,	Y	Zoos [1] - 1:8	
55:7, 55:11, 55:14,	0, 00 , 00 -	zoos [12] - 15:8, 15:9,	
55:18, 55:20, 55:22,	year [13] - 24:20, 43:5,	15:12, 15:20, 17:16,	
55:25, 56:19, 56:22,	52:19, 52:25, 61:4,	18:14, 30:6, 32:16,	
56:25, 57:3, 57:5,	66:6, 69:16, 75:16,	38:4, 39:6, 48:14,	
57:9, 59:14, 59:16,	78:23, 80:2, 80:11	62:8	
60:10, 64:23, 65:22,	years [36] - 11:8,		
65:25, 66:25, 67:2,	11:13, 17:9, 18:7,		1
00.20, 00.20, 01.2,	10.17 01.0 04.40		
67:4, 67:6, 67:8,	19:17, 21:9, 21:13, 21:14, 22:8, 22:0		
	19:17, 21:9, 21:13, 21:14, 22:8, 22:9,		

SNM