In	The	Matter	Of:
----	-----	--------	-----

Nonhuman Rights Project v. James Breheny, et al

September 23, 2019

Peter Kent

Original File nonhumanrights2.txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK BRONX COUNTY : CIVIL TERM : PART IA-5 -----x 2 In the Matter of a Proceeding under Article 70 3 of the CPLR for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, 4 THE NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT, INC., on behalf of HAPPY, 5 Petitioner, -against-6 Index No. 260441/2019 7 JAMES J. BREHENY, in his official capacity 8 as Executive Vice President and General Director of Zoos and Aquariums of the 9 Wildlife Conservation Society and Director of the Bronx Zoo, and WILDLIFE 10 CONSERVATION SOCIETY, Respondents. 11 -----x Bronx Supreme Court 12 851 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451 13 Date: September 23, 2019 14 BEFORE: HON. ALISON TUITT, 15 Supreme Court Justice 16 APPEARANCES: NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT 17 Attorneys for the Petitioner BY: KEVIN SCHNEIDER, ESQ. 18 -and-19 BY: SPENCER LO, ESQ. 20 STEVEN WISE, ESQ. Pro Hac Vice for the Petitioner 5195 North West 112 Terrace 21 Coral Springs, Florida 33076 22 (Appearances continue on following page.) 23 24 Peter M. Kent Senior Court Reporter 25

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued.) 2 3 LAW OFFICE OF ELIZABETH STEIN Attorneys for the Petitioner 5 Dunhill Road 4 New Hyde Park, New York 11040 5 BY: ELIZABETH STEIN, ESQ. 6 PHILLIPS LYTLE, LLP. 7 Attorneys for the Respondents One Canalside 8 125 Main Street Buffalo, New York 14203 BY: KENNETH A. MANNING, ESQ. 9 -and-BY: JOANNA J. CHEN, ESQ. 10 11 WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY 12 Attorneys for the Respondents 2300 Southern Boulevard 13 Bronx, New York 10460 BY: CHRISTOPHER J. MCKENZIE, ESQ. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	THE CLERK: Case on trial in the matter of The
2	Nonhuman Rights Project versus Breheny.
3	THE COURT: Good morning all.
4	Again, my name is Judge Tuitt, and I will be the
5	Judge presiding over this matter.
6	I understand that the movant in this case,
7	petitioners here, and everybody has noted their appearance
8	with the Court Reporter.
9	Okay, so you wanted to begin argument?
10	MR. MANNING: Your Honor, if I may, Ken Manning,
11	for the respondents. Counsel for the petitioner we have
12	conferred and we agree that in the interest of efficiency it
13	would make the most sense for us to proceed with a motion to
14	dismiss the petition in this case, with the permission of
15	the Court.
16	THE COURT: Okay, and your name, sir?
17	MR. MANNING: Kenneth Manning, M-a-n-n-i-n-g,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Manning.
20	MR. MANNING: I am with Phillips Lytle.
21	THE COURT: The acoustics in this room are
22	terrible, so please, if you can indulge us by speaking up?
23	MR. MANNING: I will.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. I won't think you're
25	shouting at me.

1 MR. MANNING: I will. 2 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Manning. MR. MANNING: Okay, Your Honor, if I may. 3 This habeas corpus proceeding has been brought by 4 5 the petitioner on behalf of a forty-eight year old Asian Elephant situated in the Bronx Zoo. The proceeding was 6 7 brought approximately a year ago. It will be celebrating 8 its first birthday on October 2nd. When we received the 9 petition for habeas corpus we made a motion. It was brought 10 in Orleans County. We made a motion to transfer venue to the Bronx, where Happy the Elephant resides. And we moved 11 to dismiss the petition based upon not one but four 12 13 Appellate Division decisions, one from each Judicial Department, establishing that habeas corpus proceedings are 14 15 not available for animals. And we made that motion to dismiss. In the alternative, Judge Tracey Bannister 16 17 transferred the case here, pursuant to an order, and when 18 she did --THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. MANNING: -- she transferred any orders she 20 didn't expressly decide, she transferred to this Court for a 21 22 decision. And that's why we are here today on a motion to 23 dismiss. Our argument will be briefer than the 24 25 petitioner's, Your Honor. We rely on the decision from the

1 First Department --(Counsel was directed to speak louder.) 2 MR. MANNING: -- the Lavery, L-a-v-e-r-y, decision 3 decided in 2017, that virtually addressed every single issue 4 5 raised by petitioners in their petition for habeas corpus in this case. 6 7 The Lavery case involved a chimpanzee rather than an elephant, but the underlying principles remained the 8 same, and there is no disagreement among the Appellate 9 Divisions in terms of this particular result. 10 In short, the holding of the case is animals do 11 not have a right to bring habeas corpus proceedings in part 12 13 because they are unable to assume the duties and responsibilities that humans endure in exchange for certain 14 15 civil rights. 16 The decision from the Appellate Division is fairly 17 lengthy. It goes into great detail with respect to the analysis under the law of habeas corpus, and we think that 18 in the event this Court chooses to follow the Appellate 19 Division, it would favor a dismissal of the petition as a 20 matter of law -- and it would be so whether or not the Court 21 22 looks at standing, failure to state a cause of action, or in 23 fact collateral estoppel, in what we have invoked the doctrine on behalf of the respondents because, because The 24 25 Nonhuman Rights Project has been involved in the prior

1	litigation, and received unfavorable outcomes.
2	More recently, we shared with the Court and our
3	in our brief a decision from Connecticut that comes out
4	the same way as the Appellate Division, First Department
5	with respect to an elephant rather than a chimpanzee. So we
6	think the law is pretty well settled at this point, and we'd
7	rely upon a statement of law in the Appellate Division,
8	First Department, for dismissal of the petition.
9	Now, it's worth noting that at least when this
10	case was first brought, petitioners and respondents saw the
11	law pretty much the same way, the existing law, and that was
12	their announced reason for bringing the case up in the
13	Fourth Department I know the Court is familiar with the
14	Fourth Department and brought the case there because of
15	their concern that the First Department would not receive
16	their arguments well.
17	Well, Judge Bannister, I guess today, admitted it
18	was forum shopping, and sent the case back to the Bronx to
19	where we are now.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. MANNING: We think the reading of that case
22	dictates a favorable granting of a motion for dismissal of
23	the petition.
24	THE COURT: Now, you said there was a motion to
25	change venue also?
l	

1 MR. MANNING: Yes. 2 THE COURT: That was resolved. 3 MR. MANNING: That was the only issue decided, which Judge Bannister in her decision and order was to 4 5 transfer the case to -- from Orleans County, which had nothing to do with Happy the Elephant, and transfer the case 6 7 to this Court and to Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. MANNING: The one comment I would make -- and much is written about it in petitioner's memoranda -- is 10 when the Court of Appeals has declined to hear these cases, 11 in addition, they have the Court of Appeals has declined to 12 13 hear the Appellate Division, First Department case, declined, the Court unanimously refused leave, but in so 14 15 doing, one of the justice's, Judge Eugene Fahey wrote a 16 concurring decision that talks about the future of animal rights. And summarizing -- I am summarizing -- much is made 17 of that by the petitioner in terms of trying to get back to 18 the Court of Appeals for a more complete determination. 19 20 THE COURT: Correct. MR. MANNING: That case, however, stands for the 21 22 proposition that all seven judges of that court denied 23 leave, leave in that case. So that the law remains well settled that an animal in New York simply does not have 24 25 access to the habeas corpus relief, and that's reserved for

1 humans.

25

So, there is nothing in this case dealing with any 2 claim of mistreatment or malnourishment or anything with 3 respect to Happy the Elephant. We have three affidavits, 4 not one, but three, Your Honor, outlining in great detail 5 the careful treatment, including the veterinary care, the 6 7 bathing, the feeding and the treatment of Happy the 8 Elephant. 9 In short, Your Honor, Happy is happy where she is. 10 We oppose the transfer of the Elephant anywhere else at this point, and we think that the case is very clear that, cases, 11 that the motion for dismissal should be granted based upon 12 13 the Appellate Division, First Department case, as well as the Second and Third and Fourth Department decisions. 14 15 THE COURT: Fourth? Okay. MR. MANNING: When we made this motion in front of 16 17 Judge Bannister, because the law was so clear, we chose to 18 move to dismiss the petition rather than simply serving an answer and having the matter heard on the merits. 19 20 THE COURT: Right. MR. MANNING: We asked the Court for five days in 21 22 the event that the Court were to choose at that time not to 23 follow the First Department case, we asked for five additional days to serve the answer, which is in accordance 24

with the Special Proceeding Rules under CPLR 404. It is

specifically authorized. We asked for that relief because
 of the extended delays in this case.
 I am not aware of habeas corpus cases taking a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

year, but we went ahead and filed an answer, somewhat prematurely, so this Court would have in front of it, in, in front of you, Your Honor, all the documents to make a ruling so that the matter could be, could proceed in the event that an appeal were necessary, and you'd have a complete record, so there would not have to be more than three court appearances.

So we filed it, admittedly it is premature, but we filed it as an accommodation to the Court or -- we are actually early in filing that document, not late, so that's also on the record. But we think it's clear that the motion to dismiss should be granted.

16 I can attempt to -- the other argument there is some procedural arguments that petitioner's will raise that 17 18 I will hold until those motions are made. I think it's clear, Your Honor, that the voluminous record in this case 19 20 really takes on the aspect of a legislative briefing, and that our position is that the relief sought by petitioners 21 22 is for the legislature to grant or for the State 23 Constitution to grant, and not something that the Courts have the power to grant, at least based upon what the 24 25 Appellate Division, First Department has indicated.

1	That's our argument on that motion, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you so much.
3	MR. MANNING: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Stein?
5	MR. MANNING: Your Honor, if I may treat
6	separately the motions to file the two amicus briefs. Does
7	the Court want to hear that as a part of the motion to
8	dismiss?
9	THE COURT: Not at this time.
10	MR. MANNING: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Counselor?
12	MS. STEIN: Good morning I'm not even sure if
13	it's afternoon yet.
14	Elizabeth Stein.
15	THE COURT: I think it's still morning.
16	MS. STEIN: For The Nonhuman Rights Project, and
17	what I would like to address before the Court, before
18	Attorney Wise gets to the actual merits of respondent's
19	motion to dismiss is, the motion that we made to strike the
20	answer that responds actually, um, served on us which
21	was July 10, 2019, just to make it perfectly clear,
22	Your Honor, there was a transfer order from Justice
23	Bannister in Orleans County.
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MS. STEIN: Which did transfer the case to Bronx

1 County.

2	In the transfer order, um, in actually the notice
3	of motion that respondents had made for the transfer order,
4	the first part was the motion to transfer, the second part
5	was a motion to dismiss in the alternative. You had the
6	transfer motion and then in the alternative a motion to
7	dismiss, with Court permission to then file an answer under
8	CPLR 404(a). So, at the outset, we have two reasons for
9	claiming that the answer, respondent's answer should be
10	stricken, but at the outset I'd just like to I am
11	going I am a little confused as to what respondents are,
12	why they are claiming that their answer is simply premature
13	rather than actually untimely, because
14	THE COURT: Why would it be untimely? Excuse me,
15	counselor for interrupting.
15 16	counselor for interrupting. MS. STEIN: That's quite all right.
16	MS. STEIN: That's quite all right.
16 17	MS. STEIN: That's quite all right. THE COURT: Why would it be untimely?
16 17 18	MS. STEIN: That's quite all right. THE COURT: Why would it be untimely? MS. STEIN: We believe it is untimely for two
16 17 18 19	MS. STEIN: That's quite all right. THE COURT: Why would it be untimely? MS. STEIN: We believe it is untimely for two reasons. First of all, under CPLR 404(a), which is why the
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>MS. STEIN: That's quite all right. THE COURT: Why would it be untimely? MS. STEIN: We believe it is untimely for two reasons. First of all, under CPLR 404(a), which is why the respondents are claiming that gives them the authority to</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. STEIN: That's quite all right. THE COURT: Why would it be untimely? MS. STEIN: We believe it is untimely for two reasons. First of all, under CPLR 404(a), which is why the respondents are claiming that gives them the authority to file the answer after they have made this notice of motion
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. STEIN: That's quite all right. THE COURT: Why would it be untimely? MS. STEIN: We believe it is untimely for two reasons. First of all, under CPLR 404(a), which is why the respondents are claiming that gives them the authority to file the answer after they have made this notice of motion to dismiss, is that the motion to dismiss has to have been

1 file the answer.

11

2 So, I am not understanding how respondents are claiming that their motion to dismiss is pending when in 3 fact to cite 404(a) for authority, even answering the 4 5 petition they are not conceding the fact that the motion to dismiss has, has in fact been denied. We also maintain, 6 7 Your Honor, that because, because the initial notice of 8 motion was made in the alternative, in that the first part was the motion to transfer, the second part was the motion 9 10 to dismiss.

THE COURT: Correct.

12 MS. STEIN: When the motion to transfer was 13 granted, notwithstanding the fact that her order says that other pending motions have transferred, we maintain that 14 15 those motions are no longer pending, they were made in the 16 alternative to the motion to transfer. In order for -- once 17 that happens, once that happened, that was part of one notice of motion, they are no longer before this court. And 18 even if they are before this court, hypothetically, I still 19 20 don't understand how respondents can be relying on 404(a) to make an answer when the motion to dismiss is still pending. 21 22 Which is what they are claiming, when in fact 404(a) would 23 require that the motion has been denied. And then at that point they can ask the Court for additional time to file the 24 25 answer.

1	THE COURT: Well, I don't think that they are
2	arguing that the motion was denied and they filed their
3	answer, they said to convenience the Court was the reason
4	why they filed their answer.
5	MS. STEIN: Exactly.
6	THE COURT: So we'd have the necessary
7	documentation. I believe that was their argument.
8	MS. STEIN: Yes. And that is their argument,
9	Your Honor. My our argument is that it is clear on the
10	face of 404(a) that their, that the motion to dismiss,
11	because they didn't file an answer, they filed a motion to
12	dismiss. The only way they can file that answer is if the
13	Court denies their motion to dismiss.
14	THE COURT: So, what are you you're asking for
15	the relief that we not consider the answer in making our,
16	um, decision on the motion to dismiss?
17	MS. STEIN: That is correct.
18	THE COURT: Is that it?
19	MS. STEIN: Yes. Our motion is to strike the
20	answer because, until, unless
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MS. STEIN: unless respondents are willing to
23	concede that their motion to dismiss has been denied, I am
24	not sure how the Court can even consider it, unless and
25	until it has adjudicated and denied the motion to dismiss.

Okay, I understand what you're saying. 1 THE COURT: And, and if I could just make one 2 MS. STEIN: other point, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Absolutely. 4 5 MS. STEIN: On that, our second reason for asking, for actually saying that the answer is untimely is because 6 7 at the time that they filed their notice of motion, notices of motion, they were given -- they were asked to file all 8 answering papers on December 3rd. They neglected to. They 9 10 filed their papers on the motion to dismiss. THE COURT: Their motion to dismiss, right? 11 MS. STEIN: And then they were given -- let me 12 13 just give you the exact date, um, they filed their answer on July 10th -- sorry -- on July 8th. Well, they filed it on 14 15 July 8th. They served us on July 10th. Now, what CPLR 7008 16 provides in terms of responding to a habeas corpus petition, which clearly is what we have filed, and the procedure for 17 18 our filing is governed by part of the -- governed by Article 70. It says, 7008 provides in relevant part that a 19 20 return to a habeas corpus petition which is equivalent of the answer to the petition must be filed at the time and 21 22 place specifically specified in the writ. The writ in this 23 case is the order to show cause that was issued on November That order stated that any answering affidavit must 24 16th. 25 be received by me no later than December 3rd.

Their filing on July 8th is, their filing on July 1 8th is clearly significantly past the December 3rd deadline. 2 What respondents claim in their opposition to our motion to 3 strike is that we waived any timeliness objection because we 4 5 didn't file it immediately. I submit to the Court that there is no statutory or judicial authority that suggests 6 7 that we had to immediately respond to their answer or we 8 have waived a timeliness objection. In fact, I believe it 9 was seventeen business days that within which we filed our motion to strike their answer. 10 Respondents do cite three cases that they believe 11 are relevant. We submit is completely in opposition to our 12 13 situation. In the cases cited the time period exceeds forty-five days, six weeks, forty-five days, six weeks and a 14 15 year. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 MS. STEIN: Yes. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: You said you filed your --MS. STEIN: Seventeen business days. 19 After the July 10th date? 20 THE COURT: On August 2nd, Your Honor, yes. 21 MS. STEIN: So I 22 would submit that we have not in any way waived a timeliness 23 objection. That, um, in fact the answer was grossly untimely in filing an answer. They filed the motion to 24 25 dismiss, which we maintain is, must be conceded by the

1	defendants to be denied in order for them to invoke 404(a).
2	THE COURT: So you are saying that the motion
3	should be to dismiss, should be failure to state a cause of
4	action and just the pleadings and should be considered and
5	not the answering papers?
6	MS. STEIN: Or their motion to dismiss,
7	Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Or their motion to dismiss?
9	MS. STEIN: Unless Your Honor chooses to deny
10	their motion to dismiss and grant them the additional time
11	to file their answer.
12	THE COURT: You are saying that, okay, the motion
13	to dismiss should be denied?
14	MS. STEIN: Yes.
15	If you
16	THE COURT: And they should file a new motion to
17	dismiss or we should accept their papers nunc pro tunc to
18	speak and transfer them into like sort of a motion for
19	summary judgment?
20	MS. STEIN: Well, unless respondents are willing
21	to concede that their, their motion to dismiss has in fact
22	been denied, if they are, if they are relying upon 404(a) to
23	claim that their answer is in fact timely.
24	THE COURT: Timely, but, however, the transfer
25	

1 transfer should be heard by the motion court, which is this 2 court.

MS. STEIN: Yes, that is correct, Your Honor, but 3 we still maintain that because it was done in the 4 5 alternative, that there was the motion to transfer part in the alternative the motion to dismiss and get additional 6 7 time to answer in the event that the motion to dismiss is 8 denied, that in fact when Justice Bannister issued the 9 motion to transfer, she in fact nullified, nullified everything else and it is no longer something that is 10 pending that is transferred down or in fact it was denied. 11 And if it was then, if it was denied --12

13

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. STEIN: -- it was denied, and I think the respondents have to concede the fact that it was denied instead of continuing to state that it was pending. If it's pending, I don't see how they can possibly invoke 404(a) for the authority of filing their answer.

THE COURT: Someone has a copy of the decision. 19 Ι left all the papers. My court attorney left all the papers. 20 The order, Your Honor --21 MR. MANNING: 22 THE COURT: Everybody stop. 23 One second. Everybody stop. I just want you to read for the record the 24 25 decision that the judge, the justice made in the Fourth

1 Department. 2 MR. MANNING: Yes, Your Honor. MS. STEIN: But, Your Honor, are you referring to 3 the transfer order or the order to show cause? 4 5 THE COURT: The transfer order. 6 MS. STEIN: We have that, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The transfer order. 8 She's going to read the conclusion from the Judge's opinion, and, for the record, your name is? 9 10 MS. CHEN: Joanna Chen. THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Chen. 11 12 MS. CHEN: Okay. The, paragraph in question states order -- order that all motions and issues submitted 13 to this court, and not expressly decided herein are hereby 14 15 stayed pending transfer of this proceeding to Bronx County. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 MS. CHEN: Okay. 18 MS. STEIN: Yes, and --THE COURT: Would you like to respond? 19 MS. STEIN: Oh, yes, I would like to respond, 20 Your Honor, the -- we still maintain that notwithstanding 21 having, and we do -- if I may cite one specific case that is 22 23 in our supplemental, supplemental memo of law, which I do 24 believe that Your Honor has. If you will bear with me for 25 one moment?

1 THE COURT: Surely. 2 Thank you. The case is Cole v Tat-Sum MS. STEIN: Lee, 309 Appellate Division 2d 1165. The cite is 1166, 3 Fourth Department 2003. And the quote that you will find in 4 5 our supplemental memorandum of law is as well by granting 6 leave to amend the summons and complaint and direct, and 7 direct the filing and serving of the amended summons and 8 complaint, the Court implicitly denied plaintiff's 9 alternative request for leave to file and serve a 10 supplemental summons and amended complaint upon defendant. We believe that to be controlling in this case, 11 Your Honor. Notwithstanding the language in Justice 12 13 Bannister's order, we believe that once this, the initial transfer, notice of motion for transfer was granted, she 14 15 implicitly denied, you know -- what she said in the order 16 was that unless it was expressly stated, nothing was expressly stated here, she implicitly denied the, the motion 17 18 to dismiss when she ordered the transfer. And again, assuming then, hypothetically, that the -- she did not do 19 20 so. 21 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. STEIN: And that this is still pending as respondents claim it to be. We submit, Your Honor, that 404(a) which is their authority for filing their answer when they did, they claim it to be premature, we claim it to be a

nullity because this was filed without the, without the Court having decided the motion to dismiss, which is required by 404(a), and then without the permission of the Court to do so.

1

2

3

4

5 We have no idea whether the Court would have permitted this additional time. Perhaps the Court would 6 7 have said you have already in your, um, motion to dismiss, 8 you have thoroughly put out your case and there is no reason 9 to provide an answer, it's only, only redundant, that could be the decision of the Court. We don't know that. And I 10 think that 404(a) is clear, that it is up to the Court to 11 make that decision as to whether it will grant that party 12 13 the opportunity to answer when in fact the party has chosen this was the right of the party to do so. It has chosen to 14 15 file a motion to dismiss rather than answer, in doing so, 16 and as respondents cite, they cite to 404(a). If you're 17 going to cite to 404(a) you need to be in compliance with 404(a), and we submit that to be in compliance that motion 18 to dismiss had to have been denied. If it is pending, 19 20 404(a) is simply inapplicable. And again, it is up to the Court, her judicial wisdom do decide whether in fact an 21 22 answer is appropriate in a particular case. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you so much, Your Honor. 24 MS. STEIN: 25 THE COURT: Mr. Manning, you had something you

1 wanted to say? 2 MR. MANNING: Briefly, Your Honor. On that point, Your Honor, what petitioner's 3 suggesting is that a sitting trial court judge in Orleans 4 5 County faced with Appellate authority would somehow implicitly deny the motion to dismiss and bury it somewhere 6 7 in the transfer order. That's their position. That transfer order is as clear as a bell. 8 9 Judge Bannister decided to transfer the case here 10 so the matter could be heard in regard to that motion. We have asked in the alternative if the Court chooses not to, 11 Judge Bannister chose not to follow the Appellate Division 12 13 authority out of 1, 2, 3 and 4, she had the authority at that point to deny our motion and direct us to file an 14 15 answer. This case has been hanging around a year now. 16 We 17 filed the answer to, in the event that the Court should choose to deny our motion and overlook the Appellate 18 authority, then this could go all up in one package. 19 We are not asking the Court consider what is in our answer. 20 THE COURT: Well, that's --21 MR. MANNING: Those papers are set. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MANNING: We are not looking for an advantage. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. MANNING: Just an accommodation of the Court. But to suggest a sitting judge would overlook a dismissal 2 3 motion to -- supported by the Fourth Appellate Division is frankly much to consider. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay, wait. Counsel. Mr. Manning. Just let me asked understand, because that's where my 6 7 question was --8 MR. MANNING: Yes. 9 THE COURT: You do not want us to consider your answer in deciding the motion to dismiss? 10 MR. MANNING: Your Honor, we are very, very 11 comfortable with Your Honor deciding it on the papers, and 12 13 we believe all the cases -- if you're going to move to strike somebody's pleading, you have to do it promptly. We 14 15 cited all the cases necessary in our memorandum and the time period for it took them a month to get to the causes, 16 whatever objections they may have --17 18 (Counsel directed to speak louder.) MR. MANNING: -- they may have had would have 19 been, would have been waived by that activity. 20 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Stein? 21 22 MS. STEIN: Yes. If I may respond. 23 We are in no way, no way trying to be cute, In fact, we have worked very diligently to get 24 Your Honor. 25 papers out as expeditiously as possible, which is what we

attempted to do. I would say once again there is absolutely no statutory or judicial authority that would suggest that we have to file our motion to strike and immediately upon receipt of their answer we acted as quickly as we could, as diligently as we could.

1

2

3

4

5

6 I do not believe that there is any prejudice to 7 the respondents whatsoever in when we filed as we did. The 8 other thing, again, and I am so sorry, I apologize to the 9 Court for repeating myself, but I must say that while 10 respondents are claiming that there is still a pending motion that Justice Bannister did not implicitly do 11 anything, what they still have not addressed is the fact 12 13 that they could not file this answer until their motion to dismiss was dismissed by a court, whether it was by the 14 15 Court in Orleans County or whether it is by you Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: I don't think that you're necessarily not in conflict with each other because the answer was done 17 18 prematurely and that there is no need for the Court to consider it if we indeed grant the motion to dismiss. 19 20 So, I think that, um --That's fine. 21 MS. STEIN: That's fine. 22 THE COURT: We are not, not -- okay. 23 MS. STEIN: Thank you so much. THE COURT: So there is another portion of the 24 25 motion --

1 MR. WISE: Oh, yes, Your Honor. 2 MS. STEIN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: -- that you'd like to argue? MS. STEIN: 4 Yes. 5 I'd like to introduce attorney Steven Wise, who 6 has --7 THE COURT: Mr. Wise? 8 MS. STEIN: -- Mr. Wise, who has been admitted 9 pro hac vice on behalf of The Nonhuman Rights Project. He is counsel for and President of The Nonhuman Rights Project, 10 and he will be arguing in response to the motion to dismiss. 11 THE COURT: But he is with The Nonhuman Rights 12 13 Project? 14 MS. STEIN: Yes, he is. He is the President. 15 THE COURT: So, it is Steven? 16 MR. WISE: S-t-e-v-e-n, W-i-s-e. THE COURT: Okay, W-i-s-e. Okay, I spelled it 17 okay, with a p-h. 18 Okay, Mr. Wise. 19 MR. WISE: Your Honor, first I'd like to begin by 20 saying that by commenting on the narrative that my 21 22 brother -- and I am actually a member of the Massachusetts 23 Bar even though I live in Florida, this is the 21st Century, I can argue in New York, but in Massachusetts I 24 25 automatically refer to the opposing counsels as my brothers

1 and sisters. We are very comradely there. So I automatically do it. 2 I just want to make clear I am not related to them 3 by blood, but when I refer to them I automatically refer to 4 them as my "brother" and "sister." 5 6 THE COURT: Thank you for clarifying. 7 Okay, Mr. Wise. 8 MR. WISE: Your Honor, my brother gave you a 9 narrative in which he would have you believe that all Four 10 Appellate Division Departments have ruled against our arguments on the merits. I'm sure it's slipped his mind as 11 to what is actually going on. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. And you can tell me which 14 department and which case --15 MR. WISE: I am about to --THE COURT: -- was not --16 MR. WISE: -- list them from east to, from east to 17 18 west. THE COURT: Okay, I'm ready. 19 20 MR. WISE: So, the Second Department --21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. WISE: -- in 2015, The Nonhuman Rights Project 23 sought an order to show cause on behalf of certain 24 chimpanzees. The judge refused to issue the order to show 25 cause, and when we appealed to the Second Department, the

Second Department, without having us brief the case, simply 1 sua sponte dismissed our appeal on grounds that we didn't 2 3 have a right to appeal. Even the commentary in Article 70 in the CPLR 4 5 notes that they made a mistake. It is obvious that we have a right to appeal. But we decided at that point not to go 6 7 up to the Court of Appeals on it because we also knew that, 8 that res judicata and estoppel don't apply in habeas corpus 9 cases, and we would then re-file that case in some other court, which we eventually did. 10 THE COURT: And the name of that case was? 11 12 MR. WISE: It was The Nonhuman Rights Project 13 versus Stanley, who is the President of the Stony Brook University. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. WISE: It is an unreported case because the 17 Second Department simply sent us a one paragraph decision 18 saying that we sua sponte dismissed your case because you don't have a right to appeal. We were confident that we 19 I think it is clear that that we did and we since then 20 did. have appealed several times and no other court has ever told 21 22 us that, whether it is the Court of Appeals or whether it is 23 another Appellate department that we don't have a right to 24 appeal. But -- so that's solely the --25 THE COURT:

1 MR. WISE: Appeal. 2 THE COURT: -- that's the Second Department. 3 MR. WISE: Now I will get to the other departments. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 6 I will skip over to the west. We also MR. WISE: 7 filed it on behalf of a chimpanzee, that was called The 8 Nonhuman Right Project versus Presti, P-r-e-s-t-i. 9 That court again did not reach the merits of the case, affirmed the refusal of the Supreme Court Justice to issue 10 it on the grounds that the Court said for reasons which will 11 have to remain with the Court, that The Nonhuman Rights 12 13 Project had not asked that the chimpanzee be released, but only asked that we remove the chimpanzee from the cage in 14 15 which he was in to an island in Florida where it would, a 16 sanctuary, and you were not allowed to do that in New York, I will get into why, that decision. 17 18 THE COURT: That was? MR. WISE: That was the Fourth Department. 19 THE COURT: Okay, Fourth. 20 MR. WISE: Not only was that decision just as 21 wrong as the Second Department, but I will talk about in 22 23 when we talk about, go up to the Court of Appeals on our third time, Judge Fahey, in which specifically points out 24 25 that it is wrong.

1 THE COURT: You have, you have leave to argue 2 this, the Presti case? MR. WISE: Do we have? 3 THE COURT: Leave. 4 5 MR. WISE: No, we asked the Court of Appeals for 6 leave, at that time the Justice denied leave to argue that, 7 the Presti case. 8 So --9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: -- then I will get to the Third 10 11 Department. 12 THE COURT: Oh, no, I'm a little confused 13 because --14 MR. WISE: Yes? 15 THE COURT: -- you said you were going to argue to the Court of Appeals something and you just told me that you 16 17 didn't have leave. 18 MR. WISE: Oh. THE COURT: You have a note in the concurrence --19 20 MR. WISE: Oh, that is to request later, that's the third time we have gone to the Court of Appeals. I am 21 22 now on the first time we have gone to the Court of Appeals. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. WISE: That's actually part of another side 25 issue in that my brother is making it clear that, that

1 somehow four appellate departments ruled against us and this is a really simple case, this ain't a simple case, this case 2 alone has or litigation we have had in New York alone has 3 been the subject of numerous Law Review articles of amicus 4 5 curae briefs by Professor Lawrence Tribe of Harvard Law School, one of the greatest habeas corpus professors in the 6 7 It is argued in philosophy journals and law review US. 8 journals. This is a, I'm sorry to say, is a very complex 9 but also it's an important case because -- I will talk about It invokes what is the reach of habeas corpus. What is 10 it. the, what is the, what is liberty within the State of 11 New York. What is is equality within the State of New York. 12 13 Who are elephants. Who is entitled to receive a writ of habeas corpus. This is far from -- I thought I would begin 14 15 at the easiest, which is the Fourth Department, did not 16 unanimously rule against us on the merits. You can see from the Second erroneously said you lack the right to -- said 17 18 that it actually twice assumed without deciding that a chimpanzee could be a person, under the habeas corpus 19 20 statute, but ruled against us saying that we were not seeking the immediate release of the chimpanzee, I guess 21 22 into Time's Square, I'm not sure what the Court meant, but 23 somewhere in Rochester, but what we were doing is asking that the Court order the release of the chimpanzee from what 24 25 we argued was his illegal detention in Niagara Falls, and

1	then subsequently decided what to do with the chimpanzee.
2	And we discussed that he be moved to a sanctuary in Florida.
3	I will get to why that is a demonstrably erroneous decision,
4	and which part of our arguments is that Judge Fahey, when we
5	come to the third Court of Appeals, says that they simply
6	misunderstood what the case law was.
7	THE COURT: Let me see the case law. You are
8	telling me about the chimpanzee in the Fourth Department?
9	MR. WISE: Yes.
10	THE COURT: That's Presti?
11	MR. WISE: In fact, it is probably better if we
12	refer to them by the defendant's names since all the cases
13	begin with The Nonhuman Rights Project.
14	THE COURT: Yes, I understand. So, Presti, you
15	are saying that the Fourth Department in dictum said that
16	the chimpanzee has the right to bring a case?
17	MR. WISE: No, they
18	THE COURT: But the, the relief or the remedy was
19	not founded?
20	MR. WISE: Yes. I want to
21	THE COURT: Is that what they said?
22	MR. WISE: I want to be crystal clear that they
23	twice assumed without deciding that a chimpanzee could be a
24	person, but said it doesn't matter whether essentially, he
25	essentially whether it is a human or a chimpanzee, you

Proceedings

have to ask for immediate relief and moving any detainee 1 from one place to another place is not, does not allow you 2 to bring a writ of habeas corpus because you are not seeking 3 immediate release, which I will argue later. 4 5 THE COURT: So from that decision you are relying on that decision to say that they found that a nonhuman has 6 7 habeas corpus rights? 8 MR. WISE: No, we are not saying, we are not 9 saying anything more than what I just said, which is they twice assumed without deciding, whatever that means, but 10 they did not decide. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay, that's what that means that they did not decide. 13 MR. WISE: However, if I may jump up just for one 14 15 moment --16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 MR. WISE: -- to --18 THE COURT: You're already up. MR. WISE: -- to June of last year. 19 THE COURT: What's the case? 20 MR. WISE: That case is called the People versus 21 22 Graves, G-r-a-v-e-s. In that case there was a gentleman who 23 broke into a car dealership and damaged cars. He was charged with destroying the property of a person. When he 24 25 went to the Fourth Department, the Fourth Department he was

1 arguing by person they meant human being, and the owner of the cars was a corporation and not a human being. 2 The Fourth Department rejected that and said, and this is an 3 approximate quote, it's a common place that such entities as 4 5 corporations and animals can have legal rights. And then they, the Court itself, cited to the Presti case. So I am 6 7 not arguing that from looking at the Presti case when they say that, that, that, that the Court assumed without 8 deciding twice that's what it appeared, they did, but in the 9 10 Graves case they then cited the Presti case in support of their claim that it is common place that nonhuman animals 11 12 like corporations are, are persons who can have rights. So, 13 the Court seems to have taken a greater leap or seems to have, seems to have moved that the Presti case gave rights 14 15 to nonhuman animals more than it appeared on the surface to 16 us, but then again, I am just urging the court to, to take a look at the Presti case and how the Fourth Department itself 17 interpreted the Presti case later on in the Graves case. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. WISE: Okay? 21 THE COURT: And the Graves case was never, never 22 taken up? 23 The Graves case, to my knowledge, was MR. WISE: 24 never taken up. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So the Court of Appeals has

1 never ruled on this? 2 MR. WISE: The Court of Appeals -- the Court of Appeals has never ruled on any these circumstances. Every 3 time we try to encourage them to, so far they haven't, but 4 5 never ruled. 6 We talk about later I think that we at least 7 attracted the attention of Judge Fahey. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. WISE: Now, this brings us to the Third 10 Department and then the First Department because I already talked about --11 12 THE COURT: The Second. MR. WISE: Neither the First or the Second or 13 Fourth ruled on the merits of the case. So now the Third 14 15 Department was actually the first Supreme Court Appellate 16 Division to rule, that was, that was the Lavery, 17 L-a-v-e-r-y, case. The Nonhuman Rights Project versus 18 Lavery. Since, of course, the First Department case, because we'll talk about it is also called Lavery in our --19 20 we refer to the Third Department case as Lavery I, which was from 2015, and we refer to the second one to the First 21 22 Department case as Lavery II, which was 2017. 23 So, in Lavery I -- I will go through Lavery I with 24 this Court in greater detail in a few moments, but in 25 Lavery I that First Department did reach the merits of the

1 case and --

THE COURT: Okay, let me just -- sorry to cut you 2 off -- before you go into your argument, I am noticing that 3 now it is 12:30, because the Court has to go down at 1:00 4 5 o'clock so that I can let everybody take a lunch break, it's not my decision, but it is the Office of Court 6 7 Administration. So I am just saying that after this, we 8 still have other motions to deal with, am I correct? And 9 you do want to argue those motions also. So I will hear the argument on this particular motion and then we are going to 10 recess for lunch and then we can bring back your arguments, 11 12 okay? 13 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WISE: Yes. So you can tell me on Lavery I, which 14 THE COURT: 15 is --16 MR. WISE: The Third Department in Lavery I ruled against the Nonhuman Rights Project essentially saying that 17 18 in order to have legal rights, the entity who seeks the rights must be able to bear legal duties. Now, we'll argue 19 that, that was the first time in centuries of Anglo-American 20 jurisprudence that any court had ever done such a thing --21 22 THE COURT: And they said that must have legal --23 MR. WISE: -- that in order to be able to be, to have a right, you have to be able to bear a responsibility. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WISE: And, of course, the judges there know 1 that probably, probably 10 percent of the population of 2 New York State has rights, but cannot bear responsibilities 3 either because they are infants or they are children or they 4 5 are insane or they are in comas or whatever, and so that's what they ruled. And then in, in, I think there in 6 Footnote 3 they said, but human beings collectively have the 7 ability to have legal rights, the Court will have to imagine 8 what the Third Department meant by that, but it appears to 9 10 be that either you must be able to bear duties in order to have legal rights, or somehow being human alone is enough to 11 give you legal rights, or being human is both a sufficient 12 13 and a necessary requirement in order to have rights. So, I will discuss that at greater detail because 14 15 as I said that is the, that is the first time that any court 16 in the English speaking Court in the world for the last six hundred years has ever made such a statement. So it is, as 17 I will argue, it is obviously and demonstrably false and 18 indeed it is false internally because of what the Third 19 Department itself ruled on. It didn't. The cases cited, I 20

will explain briefly, had nothing to do with that statement, and they also relied, and I will tell you the story then on Blacks Law Dictionary. However, it turned out that Blacks Law Dictionary itself was wrong and it took us a long time to determine that. And when we did find that out we then

1	contacted the editor in chief of the Blacks Law Dictionary
2	saying you have the wrong definition, the person in there,
3	Brian Garner, the Editor in Chief, apologized and said that
4	he would fix that. And in the Eleventh in the next
5	edition that came out last month he has fixed it.
6	THE COURT: Last month you're saying?
7	MR. WISE: Last month. And now
8	THE COURT: Last month.
9	MR. WISE: at
10	THE COURT: And a person is defined now by
11	MR. WISE: Was it the issue in order to be a
12	person.
13	THE COURT: Correct.
14	MR. WISE: To be able to have a capacity to bear
15	rights and duties. Now, no one ever said that in the
16	Anglo in, in the history of the Anglo-American Law. What
17	they say is in order to be a person, you have to be able to
18	have the capacity to bear either rights or duties, not and
19	duties. Now, what this means is that in order to have, to
20	be a person, any entity who can, entity who can either bear
21	rights or bear duties is a person. Now, once you're a
22	person, that gives you the capacity to bear rights and
23	duties, but you need not bear both of them to have the
24	capacity for both of them, as anyone who's ever seen an
25	infant knows you obviously don't have to have that in order

1 to be a person.

2

3

4

5

6

Now, what happened was the Blacks Law Dictionary actually quoted Salmond on Jurisprudence from 1927, that seemed to say that rights and duties in order to be a person we then --

THE COURT: Rights and duties?

7 MR. WISE: Rights and duties, and that was one 8 thing that the Third Department relied upon, and then when we then tracked down the 1927 Edition we saw that, that it, 9 10 that Salmond on Jurisprudence said rights or duties, not rights and duties. The Third Department had not checked 11 themselves to see whether or not what the, what rights 12 13 Blacks were relying on is correct, Blacks made a mistake. Brian Garner, the Editor in Chief when we brought that case 14 15 to his attention apologized, and said he would he change it, 16 rights or duties. It came out last month and now says 17 rights or duties. So, the Third Department could not have 18 relied upon that if they, if Blacks had been correct.

So that is one of the several demonstrably wrong reasons that, that for the Third Department's ruling, so -but however they did rule against us saying you needed to have duties to have rights. So we have to concede that, but we are saying it was a demonstrably incorrect ruling and part of the demonstrable incorrect ruling it relied upon Blacks, and Blacks itself agreed that it was wrong.

1 THE COURT: And so there is some sort of acknowledgment by the editor that it was incorrect? 2 MR. WISE: There is an acknowledgment, and I -- it 3 is part of your record. He sent the e-mail to us within an 4 5 hour. He said sorry, we screwed up, and we'll fix it. It's part of the record. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. WISE: That, you know, that brings us to the Third -- I'm sorry, to the First Department. 9 10 THE COURT: Correct. 11 MR. WISE: Now, the First Department, even the First Department did not rule against The Nonhuman Rights 12 13 Project on the merits, even the First Department didn't. Of the four departments that allegedly ruled against us on the 14 15 merits, just the Third Department did. 16 Here is what happened in the First Department. 17 Which is what we call, which is Lavery II, The Nonhuman 18 Rights Project v. Lavery II. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. WISE: Now, what happened there is we brought a second lawsuit on behalf of the same chimpanzee in Lavery 21 22 I. We then brought that before the Court in New York 23 County. And if I may also say in the Second Department, they had said you didn't have a right to appeal, and we 24 25 decided that we would not appeal that to the Court of

1 Appeals because res judicata does not apply to habeas corpus cases, and we would refile that. We did, we did refile that 2 in New York County as well and --3 THE COURT: You filed the Stanley case in 4 5 New York County. 6 MR. WISE: We refiled it in New York County, yes. 7 THE COURT: Even though there was a ruling by the 8 Second Department? 9 MR. WISE: Well, because the ruling of the Second Department was not on the merits, simply said that we didn't 10 have right to appeal in that case. There was no problem 11 12 with the New York County Judge, Justice Jaffe had no problem 13 with us bringing a second case. In fact, she wrote a long decision about that and we -- and there was no res judicata 14 15 or collateral estoppel or successive of the petition problem 16 under CPLR 7003(b). 17 THE COURT: Okay. So, the Court in the First 18 Department, so the Court, by Judge Jaffe --MR. WISE: No, Justice Jaffe is indeed a New York 19 20 Supreme Court Judge, so --21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. WISE: -- so the first time we brought a 23 second petition on behalf of the chimpanzee she said we were 24 allowed to bring that second petition on the chimpanzee, 25 that there was no res judicata, no collateral estoppel, and

Proceedings

it was not an improper successive petition under CPLR 1 7003(b). 2 THE COURT: Did she rule on this case -- on the 3 Stanley case? 4 5 MR. WISE: On the Stanley case? 6 THE COURT: That was the one you refiled? 7 MR. WISE: Yes, she did. 8 THE COURT: What did she say? 9 MR. WISE: She has a lengthy opinion in which you 10 read it, it appears indeed she was going to rule in our, in our favor. And there is, actually there is also an HBO film 11 on this where you can actually watch her in the HBO film 12 13 discussing the case with us. 14 THE COURT: Now -- tell me what was the ruling. 15 MR. WISE: The ruling was, I am going to -- I feel 16 that I am bound by what the Third Department said, we argued she was not bound, and that that even if she did not agree 17 18 with them, she felt she was bound by the Third Department. At that time we did not know about that Blacks Law 19 Dictionary had made a mistake, so it was harder for us to 20 argue that it was wrong. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay, when was that decision? 23 MR. WISE: That was 2015. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. WISE: It deals with collateral estoppel

She wrote a lengthy learned opinion on it. 1 issues. 2 THE COURT: That's also --MR. WISE: It is -- we cited -- it is a published 3 4 opinion. THE COURT: Okay, and the cite is? 5 6 MR. WISE: Sorry. 7 THE COURT: The cite is there? MR. WISE: Yes, I'm afraid I can't recall it, but 8 9 indeed you do have the cite. THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. WISE: So after she handed her --11 THE COURT: Do you have the cite, sir? 12 MR. LO: I will find it. 13 THE COURT: Your name is? 14 15 MR. LO: Spencer Lo. MR. WISE: We'll hand it up right after lunch, or 16 17 do you want us to do it now? 18 THE COURT: No, you can do it after lunch. 19 MR. WISE: We'll just do it after. 20 Now, when that decision came down, we then 21 refiled, we refiled the Lavery case, that's why it is 22 Lavery II. THE COURT: Okay. 23 24 MR. WISE: Before Justice Jaffe. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. WISE: Who actually was just assigned to
2	Justice Jaffe because by deciding our chimpanzee case, she
3	became the chimpanzee case expert in New York County. So it
4	went to Justice Jaffe.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. WISE: At that time she simply said that, at
7	that time too she simply said I already told you once I am
8	bound by the Third Department, so I think, I think this is a
9	successive petition under CPLR 7003(b), which I don't think
10	you're allowed to bring and she that's so she threw
11	us out on that procedural ground.
12	THE COURT: Oh.
13	MR. WISE: We then appealed to the First
14	Department, which said we then I have to make sure I get
15	the exact right words, because they are really important.
16	The
17	THE COURT: And the cite being?
18	MR. WISE: Um to the First Department?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. WISE: That's also we'll have to give the
21	cite. It's in the papers as well.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. MANNING: We have those if Your Honor wishes.
24	THE COURT: Do you have copies of the cases?
25	MR. MANNING: I have got the citations that the

1	Court has asked for. The citations are
2	THE COURT: Okay, we'll get them at the break.
3	MR. MANNING: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: It would have been nice if you had
5	copies.
6	MR. WISE: Of course. I can't find the exact
7	words, but I have, I have lots of other words here, I just
8	don't happen to have those. So the First Department said,
9	and I quote, without even addressing the merits of
10	petitioner's arguments, we find that the motion court
11	properly declined to sign the orders to show cause, since
12	they were successive habeas corpus proceeding which were not
13	warranted or supported by any changed circumstances. The
14	First Department is clear as a bell that its decision was
15	not only on the merits because they said without even
16	addressing the merits of the petitioner we find that the
17	motion court properly declined to sign the order.
18	THE COURT: But the lower court decided
19	MR. WISE: The lower court already decided it was
20	not going to sign the order, and also had said, I told you,
21	you need to, you need to actually she told us Justice
22	Jaffe told us to go back to the Third Department. We have
23	not quite figured out how to, but she said, Justice Jaffe
24	said I am bound by the Third Department. I told you that.
25	However, I am throwing you out based upon the fact that it

1	is an improper successive petition on a procedural issue,
2	not on the merits, under 7003(b), and the First Department
3	then affirmed her on that ground without even addressing the
4	merits of the petitioner's appeals
5	THE COURT: You cite
6	MR. WISE: you lost a legal successfive
7	petition under 7003(b).
8	THE COURT: You cite that to say that
9	MR. WISE: Oh, the purpose of it is that while
10	they went on to discuss various things, it's all dictum.
11	THE COURT: That's what I am asking you.
12	MR. WISE: Yes. Oh, yes. And we go into great
13	we talk about in our briefs it is dictum, it does not bind
14	this Court. What binds this Court would be that if we filed
15	the successive petition on behalf of anyone, at that point
16	you would be bound by what they say. This case, on the
17	other hand, is not that, this is the first time anyone's
18	ever filed a lawsuit on behalf of Happy the Elephant, so the
19	First Department's decision stands for you may not file a
20	successive petition and affirms on that ground. The rest of
21	what the Court talks about, and it talks about a lot of
22	things, it's all dictum. None of it has bearing on, on
23	none of it binds this Court.
24	Now, part of the
25	THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. WISE: I'm sorry. Sorry, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Go ahead, I'm listening. MR. WISE: Part of the dictum which is not by this 3 Court said I will look at what the Third Department case --4 5 THE COURT: Right. 6 MR. WISE: -- you have to be able to have rights 7 and responsibilities --8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. WISE: -- and -- but they didn't make any kind of independent analysis, they cited the Third Department and 10 cited the same cases. The Third Department says they made 11 the same demonstrable error that the Third Department did, 12 13 however, what we argued in oral argument we said that cannot be right, Your Honor, because --14 15 THE COURT: You are saying -- I am confused 16 because you said the Third Department is citing that the Third Department --17 18 MR. WISE: No, I meant the First Department. THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 MR. WISE: In Lavery II. 21 THE COURT: Right, is citing. MR. WISE: Cited the Third Department in Lavery I 22 23 without doing any kind of independent or further analysis. And at that point we didn't realize --24 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. WISE: -- that Blacks was wrong and so --2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. WISE: -- what it did was say, well, actually what it -- what the important part of what it did was in it 4 5 recognized that argument that we had made. Look, hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers obviously have legal rights, but 6 7 don't have the ability to bear any kind of duties. Your infants, your children, your insane, you know, you're in a 8 coma, hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers have rights 9 10 without having duties. So, the First Department cannot be right, neither 11 12 can the Third Department. They cannot be right because it 13 would take away all the legal rights of all the infants, all the children, all the insane people, and anyone that's in a 14 15 coma that can't bear duties. 16 Now, the way that the First Department responded 17 to that they said, oh, but they are human. In other words --18 THE COURT: They are human. 19 20 MR. WISE: -- they said --THE COURT: Human necessarily is the exception and 21 it includes by being human that is tantamount to being --22 23 MR. WISE: Right. So, it is not clear that -- so, it is not clear that the First Department -- by the way, 24 25 in dicta was, was, by the way, was anyone buying what the

1	Third Department said, you have to be able to have duties in
2	order to have rights because when we pointed out hundreds of
3	thousands of New Yorkers that did have rights without duty,
4	oh, they are human and what they did and what the Third
5	Department was likely doing in its footnote, what humans
6	collectively have rights, what they are really saying is,
7	look, only humans can have rights. And our argument is that
8	that is demonstrably false as well, which I will get to.
9	So, this was my attempt to show that when my
10	brother just says, oh, by way, all four Appellate Divisions
11	have ruled against us on the merits, it turns out one has in
12	the Lavery case, they were demonstrably wrong.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Let me, let me
14	MR. WISE: And that was actually my introduction.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. WISE: Because now I have to actually deal
17	with the issues he is talking about in his motion to
18	dismiss.
19	THE COURT: Okay. It is ten to 1:00. I don't
20	think that you're going to finished with your argument in
21	ten minutes.
22	MR. WISE: I will not.
23	Thank you for recognizing that.
24	THE COURT: So, we'll
25	MS. STEIN: I'd just like to correct one, correct

one thing I said for the record. 1 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MS. STEIN: The quote I gave from the Cole case. 4 THE COURT: From? MS. STEIN: On the Cole case. 5 6 THE COURT: Yes? 7 MS. STEIN: I said it was in our supplemental 8 In fact, it is in our reply memo of September 13th. memo. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. 10 MS. STEIN: 11 MR. WISE: Thank you. 12 MR. MANNING: If I may, we do have copies of the two cases that the Court requested. 13 14 THE COURT: You can give them to the officer and 15 he will give them to us. Thank you so much. 16 17 THE COURT: Let's mark them. 18 (Discussion held, off the record.) THE COURT: We don't need to mark them. 19 We'll see you back here at 2:00 o'clock. 20 21 (Luncheon recess taken.) 22 23 24 25

1	(Continued following a luncheon recess.)
2	THE COURT: Please be seated.
3	Okay, for the record, when we finished this
4	morning, Mr. Wise was arguing the rest of his points of this
5	matter.
6	Do you want it continue, sir?
7	MR. WISE: I will.
8	Thank you very much, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wise.
10	MR. WISE: Your Honor, I'd like to just briefly
11	touch on the issues of well, actually the issue of what
12	the issue is.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. WISE: Essentially what the issue is, my
15	brother in his memoranda and partially in oral argument
16	THE COURT: You'll have to speak up a little bit.
17	MR. WISE: Sorry.
18	says that, that, we did not allege what is
19	required to be alleged in a habeas corpus case, because we
20	didn't allege that the Bronx Zoo is violating any local or
21	State or Federal ordinance or Statute, and
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. WISE: we allege that ourselves, um, we, we
24	are not claiming that, that they are the wrong is not the
25	conditions of Happy's confinement.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 The wrong is as it is in my habeas MR. WISE: corpus case, is the confinement itself. The only issue that 3 is involved in a habeas corpus case is not how some -- a 4 5 prisoner is being cared for, once they have been imprisoned wrongly you are not allowed to kidnap somebody then treat 6 7 them nicely, that means they can't bring a habeas corpus 8 case. In a nonhuman rights, we sometimes talk about the 9 Bill Gates issue in which Bill Gates comes and kidnaps one 10 of my children and brings them back to Washington and treats them a lot better, gives them a lot better stuff than I am 11 12 ever going give them. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: That doesn't mean I can't bring a writ 14 15 of habeas corpus. Then Bill Gates says I am treating him a 16 lot better than you are. The conditions are not the issue. What the issue is --17 18 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: -- is the confinement, is the 19 imprisonment itself is wrongful, and how any one -- how the 20 prisoner is being kept is not part of an habeas corpus case. 21 22 It's not part of our habeas corpus case, and that's why we 23 actually are ourselves alleging that we are not saying that they are breaching any animal welfare statutes, that they 24 25 are not meeting some animal welfare requirements. What we

1	are saying is that this no longer should be seen to be a
2	thing which means an entity that lacks the capacity for
3	legal rights, this entity, this Elephant Happy is a person,
4	which means she has the capacity for rights. And if she is
5	a person, then her imprisonment all by itself is the wrong
6	that we are complaining about. And just as if we were
7	bringing a lawsuit on behalf of a human being who has been
8	imprisoned.
9	THE COURT: But well, Mr. Manning, would you
10	like to reply at this point to Mr. Wise' remarks?
11	MR. MANNING: Well, briefly Your Honor.
12	I understand the point he's making and in fact
13	they have failed to allege that there's been poor treatment
14	or poor conditions. In fact, quite the opposite. The
15	affidavits from very knowledgeable members of the Bronx Zoo
16	put in the record the point the point is, the point I
17	would make is the one made by the Third Department quoting
18	one sentence from the holding of the Lavery I case, and that
19	has never been considered for the purposes of habeas corpus
20	relief, nor have they been explicitly considered persons or
21	entities capable of ascertaining rights for the purposes of
22	State or Federal Law.
23	Our point is, they don't have a right to bring a

23 Our point is, they don't have a right to bring a 24 proceeding in the first place, whether they are claiming 25 detention or whether they are claiming a different condition

that they would seek to have imposed upon them. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. WISE: We understand more than anyone else in probably in the legal world that until The Nonhuman Rights 4 5 Project five years ago began litigating this issue, no one nonhuman animal had ever been, had ever been considered to 6 7 be a legal person. And the issue, the reason was is that 8 until we started litigating the question, no one had ever 9 claimed that a nonhuman animal could be a legal person, and 10 we argued that and I would give the argument why, when I come to give you the argument why an elephant should be a 11 legal person, but that's how the common law, and this is a 12 13 common law case, this is not a statutory case, it's not a constitutional case, it's a common law case, and it was the 14 15 common law that centuries ago that said nonhuman animals were all legal things. It's now the common law that we are 16 17 arguing should change. 18 Now, this is why this isn't a statutory or -statutory or habeas corpus case, this is a common law case, 19 and habeas corpus case and habeas corpus is in, especially 20 in New York, it is a both extraordinary peculiarly common 21 22 law --23 THE COURT: Okay. -- and to the extent that in the case 24 MR. WISE:

25 of Tweed versus Litscomb -- would the Court want a cite?

THE COURT: Yes, you should always give it. 1 2 It is 60 NY 598, 1975, and it says --MR. WISE: 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: -- that, well -- I can't read my 4 5 handwriting -- it says -- well, it says -- the writ -- oh, shoot. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay, well, just tell me. 8 MR. WISE: Essentially it says, it says that the writ is, is peculiarly common law, that the legislature may 9 10 not aggregate the writ or may not procure its efficiency --(Counsel directed to speak louder.) 11 MR. WISE: -- this is a common law writ as it was 12 13 always in English, and this is something the judges made as part -- also says Parliament in England in the 17th, 18th, 14 15 and 19th Centuries when they passed even habeas corpus 16 statutes they were constantly expanding it. No one thought 17 that you could cut back on it. They can expand it, but it 18 is up to the -- but it's fundamentally common law -- and judges are the ones that make a decision as to who is 19 entitled to or -- although legislators can as well, but they 20 make decisions as to who is entitled to the writ. Um, now 21 22 there are some very famous cases where the writ was expanded 23 by the courts, so probably the most famous case which I actually wrote an entire book about is the case of Somerset 24 25 versus Stewart, which was in 1772, and in that case there

1	was a Black slave who had been taken from the U.S. to London
2	who then ran away, was recaptured, put on a ship, and then a
3	common law, for the first time, a common law writ of habeas
4	corpus was brought before Lord Mansfield, probably the
5	greatest judge ever to speak English, was brought in front
6	of him claiming that for the first time slavery, we wanted
7	you to declare this man was free. Slavery was legal in
8	England, it had never been, never happened before, there was
9	fifteen thousand slaves at that time in England and Lord
10	Mansfield finally wrote that, that slavery was odious, that
11	common law would not support it, and he ordered James
12	Somerset free. And essentially that was the beginning of
13	the end of slavery, first in England, then at least in the
14	northern part of the U.S.
15	There is another case involving standing there
16	THE COURT: Let me ask you in the Somerset case.
17	MR. WISE: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Did they actually say the person who
19	was enslaved was a person?
20	MR. WISE: No, they said he was free, he had
21	rights. So a person is an entity who has the capacity for
22	rights, any entity who has a right was automatically a
23	person.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Well but, but that's not
25	what we are arguing here, right? We are arguing rights or

duties. 1

2

3

4

5

MR. WISE: Well, actually Lord Mansfield never inquired as to whether James Somerset could bear duties, it didn't matter whether he could bear duties, he was entitled to rights.

My brother might argue that for you to have 6 7 duties -- and the Third Department and I would, I am going 8 to get to that very soon -- claimed out of nowhere and was 9 the only court in history of Anglo-American tradition ever 10 to say there's a lot of problems with that, one of the problems being that that would mean a tenth of the 11 population of the State of New York could not be persons. 12 13

THE COURT: Okay.

So in Standing Bear was, was in 1878 14 MR. WISE: 15 you had a Native American Chief who was taken from his home 16 in Nebraska and brought to Oklahoma. He did not want to live in Oklahoma. He came back to Nebraska and he was then 17 18 imprisoned by George Cooke who was the military commander, and his lawyers then sought a writ of habeas corpus for the 19 20 first time, that is the first known writ of habeas corpus ever sought on behalf of a Native American. And the U.S. 21 22 Attorney argued that he was not a person, and Native 23 Americans could not be a person, and, therefore, could not have anyone bring a writ of habeas corpus on his behalf. 24 25 And the Court ultimately ruled yes, he was a person.

1 Now, a person, you know, is not now and never has been and never will be synonymous with human being. So, as 2 3 we know for many, for centuries there are -- and in fact I think as we point out in our briefs -- during the 13th 4 5 Century in England Jews were not persons. Sometimes women were not persons. Sometimes Blacks were not persons. 6 7 Sometimes Native Americans or Chinese were not persons. 8 That's -- and on the other hand we know that that it is not 9 just humans who are persons, corporations, corporations are persons, ships are persons, The City of New York is a 10 person. And now The Nonhuman Rights Project works outside 11 12 the U.S. as well so we are aware of the fact that, for 13 example, in the last three years in New Zealand, the Whanganui River -- W-h-a-n-g-a-n-u-i, has been declared a 14 15 In New Zealand the national park has been declared person. 16 to be a person. The Ganges River has been declared to be a 17 person in India. Last year the Colombian Constitutional 18 Court held that the part of the Amazon Rain Forest within the City of Colombia was a person. And what that simply 19 20 means is that person it is something that that entity is seen as having more than just instrumental value, more than 21 22 just value to use, but it is seen whatever it is, whether 23 it's alive or not alive, it's seen as having inherent value in and of itself. 24

25

THE COURT: I agree with following your logic, but

has there been a court that determined that an animal was a 1 2 person? 3 MR. WISE: I'm glad you asked that question. THE COURT: Well? 4 5 MR. WISE: Yes. 6 THE COURT: So am I --7 MR. WISE: If there has been? There has been in 8 Argentina, a chimpanzee named Cecilia. A writ of habeas 9 corpus was sought on behalf of the Cecilia in Mendosa, Argentina. A writ of habeas corpus was issued. She was 10 moved from a zoo and then ordered by the judge to be sent to 11 a sanctuary in Brazil. 12 13 THE COURT: But did they say the chimpanzee was a 14 person? 15 MR. WISE: Yes. They said she was a quote, nonhuman person --16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. WISE: -- in Colombia, and the Nonhuman Rights Project is somewhat involved in this. There is a spectacled 19 20 bear there named Chucho, C-h-u-c-h-o, and, and her lawyer sought a writ of habeas corpus to have Chucho removed from 21 the zoo and be put back in the wild. The lowest court 22 23 issued the writ of habeas corpus, a higher court reversed 24 that and then as the Constitutional Court of Colombia said 25 it was a matter of great public importance and said I want

Proceedings

1	to hear that case, and in fact the Nonhuman Rights Project
2	was invited to submit a video arguing why Chucho should be
3	seen as a person for rights for the purpose of a writ of
4	habeas corpus in the Colombian Constitutional Court.
5	In the Indian Supreme Court
6	THE COURT: What happened in the Chucho case?
7	MR. WISE: Chucho is now in Colombia
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. WISE: we sent the video about three weeks
10	ago, and the Colombian Constitutional Court has not yet made
11	its ruling.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. WISE: In India, in the Nagaraja case in 2014,
14	the Indian Supreme Court said that every nonhuman animal in
15	India was a person and had both statutory and constitutional
16	rights. We have been to India and have spoken to the
17	justice on the Indian Supreme Court and we that's clearly
18	what indeed he said. And we are involved in bringing a
19	lawsuit like on behalf of an elephant in India to test the
20	limits of what they meant.
21	THE COURT: So
22	MR. WISE: So the answer to that is yes.
23	THE COURT: what context was the elephant in
24	India?
25	MR. WISE: The elephant we had not picked our

specific elephant, but it will be a baby elephant. 1 2 THE COURT: No, but what led to --MR. WISE: The Nagaraja case? 3 THE COURT: Yes, what where the facts? 4 5 MR. WISE: The Nagaraja case involved a cow. There was an India religious ceremony, and part of that 6 7 religious ceremony people were like kicking and beating and 8 hitting the cow. It was part of a Hindu ceremony. And a lawsuit was brought on behalf of what is called the Animal 9 Welfare Board in Indian saying that --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. WISE: -- that this -- these bullocks should 12 13 be able to be legal persons and have certain kinds of rights. And the Indian Supreme Court stated that they did 14 15 indeed have both constitutional and statutory rights in India. Not only they did, but all of India. Since then 16 there have been two other cases, one by -- one that said 17 18 horses that were being taken from northern India to Nepal were not being treated properly. They were a subject of a 19 20 lawsuit and the high court of that province, and I can never, I can never pronounce the name, Uttarakhand, held 21 22 that indeed they were persons, and also noted that every 23 being with wings and every being who swam was also a person in that province. 24

25

And then about six months ago another high court

1	judge in another province also with respect to I can't
2	remember the latest one said again all nonhuman animals
3	within that province were persons who had certain kinds of
4	statutory and constitutional rights.
5	THE COURT: But these are international cases?
6	MR. WISE: Yes.
7	THE COURT: There's never been a national case?
8	MR. WISE: Within the U.S. the only entity which I
9	am aware that's litigating in any kind of a systematic way
10	the question of whether any nonhuman animal should be just
11	more than a legal thing, but should be a person, is, is The
12	Nonhuman Rights Project.
13	And if I could just jump to the last sentence of
14	Judge Fahey's decision. His concurring opinion.
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MR. WISE: The third time that he saw, on behalf
17	of the chimpanzee.
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MR. WISE: He said that I don't know the exact
20	words it is approximately that it's clear that, that,
21	that it may be arguable he said, it may be arguable that
22	a chimpanzee is a person. He didn't, he didn't say it was,
23	he just said it may be arguable. But it is certainly not a
24	thing. And in law this is a bifurcation, one is either a
25	thing who lacks the capacity for any kind of rights, or one

is a person who has the capacity for rights. 1 Now, one important thing that many lawyers even 2 don't grasp, and this was also talked about in another case, 3 I will be talking about which is Byrn versus New York 4 5 Hospital, which is the, the leading case in New York on the question of how you decide who is a person and who is a 6 7 thing. B-y-r-n. 8 And the Byrn case reminded us that if you are a --9 you can be a person for one thing or two things or five 10 things, it doesn't mean that once you're a person, it means you have all the rights of every other person. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 So, for example, in Byrn -- Byrn is MR. WISE: also a spectacular case for showing that humans and persons 14 15 are not synonyms because Byrn was a 1972 case involving a 16 liberalization of the abortion statute. It was pre Roe v. It was just the year before Roe v. Wade, so there was 17 Wade. 18 a liberalization of abortion. And the question then -somebody got an injunction -- and the question was whether a 19 20 human fetus was a person, and then had, specifically, the right to life. And the New York Court of Appeals then said 21 22 that a human embryo or human -- human fetus is a human, but 23 then held it was not a person. Did not -- it did not have these rights. 24 25 So the leading case in New York State on the issue

1 of who is a person and who is not a person makes it completely clear that, that being a human had nothing to do 2 with being a person. In fact, it says that the way that a 3 court is supposed to determine who a person is, is by making 4 5 a public policy decision. And one of the things I will talk about is why this court should make the public policy 6 7 decision in favor of saying that Happy is entitled to, is 8 entitled to personhood for the single purpose, just for the 9 single purpose of having a right to liberty protected by a 10 writ of habeas corpus. And if I may also then kind of segue into 11 something that's very related, we argue, because it's -- I 12 13 think it's true that in New York State the legislature already set a public policy that nonhuman animals can be 14 15 persons and that they are persons and --THE COURT: What statute is that? 16 MR. WISE: That's under EPTL 7-8.1. 17 18 And that --19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. WISE: -- that's the pet trust statute. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. WISE: So what happened is that in 1996 you 23 have a legislature pass -- 1996 -- pass a statute that specifically says that pet owners can set up a trust of 24 25 which, which a nonhuman animal is not an honorary

beneficiary, they are true beneficiaries, they have a right 1 to a person -- if a nonhuman animal or any human being has a 2 right to anything, then they are automatically persons and 3 have trustees and they also have enforcers because of course 4 5 the nonhuman animal can't enforce it herself. So the New York Legislature twenty-three years ago said that 6 7 nonhuman animals can be -- they didn't say that they are 8 persons, they said we are giving them rights, we are giving them rights of beneficiary. And under New York law a 9 10 beneficiary has to be a person. You have to have a right to the trust. And in New York you have that. 11

12 So the real argument that we are making is that we 13 argue that nonhuman animals already seen as persons for the purposes of the pet trust statute, now we are not asking for 14 15 the first right, we are asking the nonhuman animal -- we are 16 asking for the second right, that certain nonhuman animals there, I think it's pets and domestic animals for the pet 17 trust statute, certain nonhuman animals that had 18 self-awareness, that are autonomous, they are 19 20 extraordinarily cognitively complex, that they have complex social lives and whose, you know, whose very, very entity of 21 22 Telos, T-e-l-o-s, the very entity who they are is undermined 23 severely when they are not, when they are imprisoned against their will, they are not allowed to live the lives of an 24 25 elephant. And so we are saying animals like that and that's

elephants, for example, chimpanzees for example, possibly whales, but each time in order to make that kind of an argument the first thing we do is that we put in these affidavits for which we did with respect to chimpanzees, we did with respect elephants. We go all over the world to the greatest scientists that spend their whole life studying these animals.

8 For example, for the chimpanzees we went to Jane 9 Goodall, she is also a member The Nonhuman Rights Project 10 and we went to, oh, chimpanzee experts all over world that then showed the chimpanzee -- for instance, we went to Joyce 11 Poole. You will see five expert affidavits. These are 12 13 scientist that have some of them spent more than fifty years in all they have done is been in the wild studying elephant 14 15 behavior.

16 THE COURT: Let me ask you, what would the remedy 17 be for Happy that he would not -- she would not be 18 imprisoned in the zoo, but be taken to a sanctuary?

MR. WISE: The, the remedy for Happy is that she
would be, -to be removed from the zoo and be placed in an
appropriate sanctuary.

THE COURT: Now, what would make that an appropriate place for her to be as opposed to the zoo, which is in my opinion -- let me, with my limited knowledge of this -- is supposed to provide some place that is also

1 accommodating to every animal, that's in the zoo. Is that a suitable place for them to habitate? 2 MR. WISE: Well, I think it is undisputed that 3 Happy has spent approximately forty years on 1.1 acres of 4 5 land. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MR. WISE: Happy is an elephant. We have expert affidavits addressing that. And an elephant, if you're like 8 a normal elephant --9 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: -- a normal elephant is, especially a 11 12 female elephant like Happy, is part of large herd and they 13 have sisters and aunts, they have nieces. They are -- it's a very, very complex network, and they move as one. Our 14 15 expert say -- Joyce Poole said elephants evolve to move, and 16 what they do is they are on the move all day either foraging 17 or engaged in a wide and deeply complex network of 18 interactions with other elephants. That's what you need to understand. 19 THE COURT: Well, what would make you think that 20 Happy, who has been there for forty years, would be able to 21 22 survive in any other environment? 23 MR. WISE: Oh, let me don't forget I want to, I 24 want to --25 THE COURT: I mean, because --

1 MR. WISE: -- I don't want to forget the other 2 one. THE COURT: You seem to say, and I am not --3 MR. WISE: I have an answer for this. 4 5 THE COURT: -- I am not disagreeing that the 6 person should -- the personship is the real issue because if 7 you don't get all of that, these questions are not 8 non-existent, but signs. I have you here and I can educate 9 myself a little bit. 10 What would make you think that if we remove Happy, who has been here for forty years in this environment, would 11 be able to even survive in another type of environment? 12 13 MR. WISE: Okay. If I -- let me just answer the -- let me just answer the last part of the first 14 15 question that you asked, then I will answer this one. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. WISE: Happy has lived on 1 acre of land. 18 There is two elephant sanctuaries in the south she could go to. One is the Performing Animal Welfare Society outside of 19 Sacramento, where she would be on dozens and dozens of 20 rolling land. We have affidavits here. There is another 21 22 one here, Tennessee Elephant Sanctuary. 23 I can represent to the Court I have spoken to the people that run it and they, they will take Happy in a 24 25 second and come get her and take her. It's almost

1	twenty-six hundred acres and there are other elephants.
2	Happy would become part of an artificial herd there and she
3	would have twenty-six hundred acres.
4	THE COURT: Who said she would not? Let's say
5	that there is a tribal familial structure within the
6	elephant kingdom, who said she would be welcomed?
7	MR. WISE: I will answer that question. Dr. Joyce
8	Poole said so.
9	THE COURT: Somebody from outside of the family
10	would be welcomed, welcomed with no harm?
11	MR. WISE: Indeed. Dr. Poole says that in her
12	when I get this right in her second supplemental
13	affidavit. Dr. Poole. And the reason she did that is one
14	of my brother's affidavits raised the exact same issue that
15	the Court just raised, and she has an immense amount of
16	experience with moving and knows with moving elephants
17	from places that are really bad for them to places that are
18	really good. And she before the Court gets example after
19	example after example for elephants even having severe
20	problems in one place because the reason why anyone might
21	have severe problems is because they are overcrowded, they
22	are alone, they don't they are not living an elephant
23	life. And when you move an elephant from one place to these
24	other places, she then lists many of them and shows how they
25	just blossomed and became part of another family and became

elephants and just because, just because -- Dr. Poole might 1 think the Court might have these sorts of questions, and if 2 I may also comment on the quality of the affidavit that my 3 brother filed, all of our, all of our affidavits are from 4 5 experts, all we did is start calling up experts all over the world saying will you write an affidavit. And so there is 6 7 one from Africa, one from Norway, one from Scotland, one 8 from England, who spend their lives, as much as their lives 9 they can in Africa actually studying elephant behavior. Dr. Poole is and Cynthia Moss have spent fifty years in all 10 they have studied, the study the African Elephant. 11 THE COURT: But not East Asian? 12 13 MR. WISE: Dr. Poole also talks about the fact she has studied East Asian Elephants as well. There is very 14 15 little difference between East Asian and African Elephants. 16 THE COURT: I thought there was. 17 MR. WISE: Asian Elephants are taller, but with 18 respect to cognition, there is very little difference, according to Dr. Poole. 19 20 I am just a country lawyer so I don't know this type of stuff, but --21 THE COURT: Well, I am a sitting Judge that 22 23 doesn't know anything about the elephant population, but --MR. WISE: That's why we have affidavits for the 24 25 Court.

And if I may? 1 2 So, so --3 THE COURT: Yes. MR. WISE: -- they are unrebutted affidavits. 4 5 Dr. Poole also noted, for example, that my brother's client, the Wildlife Conservation Society, has two 6 7 thousand or three thousand employees. And that they have, 8 you know, really good elephant scientists on their staff. 9 And not a single elephant scientist has rebutted or 10 submitted an affidavit, not a single elephant scientist in the entire world has submitted an affidavit. The only 11 elephant scientist that submitted an affidavit are our five 12 13 elephant scientists. And it is probably clear what a reputable elephant scientist is going to submit an affidavit 14 15 to say it is better for Happy so live by herself on 1 acre 16 of land in the Bronx Zoo than go to a sanctuary where she will live in a herd and be living on twenty-six hundred 17 18 acres of land. There aren't any. THE COURT: I don't know. 19 20 MR. WISE: It's just a hint, there aren't any. Ι believe that there aren't. So the only affidavits that my 21 22 brother has submitted are ones in which he is attempting to 23 rebut an issue that we don't bring up, which is that they are saying, oh, we treated -- we make sure that, that she is 24 25 clean and that she gets fed and she has veterinary care.

1	What they are doing is they basically treat her and try
2	and make sure she doesn't die in their hands, because they
3	do die. One of the elephants just died within the last
4	year. And there is a litany. I can start talking about the
5	elephants that, even Happy, Happy has lived with that then
6	died or were killed by one of the other elephants.
7	So
8	THE COURT: Well, that's just isn't that just
9	nature though?
10	MR. WISE: No, it's not. It's the idea that a
11	female elephant can kill another female elephant is
12	virtually unheard of. They are not like chimpanzees, they
13	don't do that to each other.
14	And Dr. Poole says the reason they would do that
15	here is because they have become asocial. They are like
16	living alone, living asocially. They also don't have a
17	chance to choose who their friends are going to be. It's
18	like, it's like I'm brought somewhere and you say you have
19	your choice of friends for forty years
20	(Counsel was directed to speak louder.)
21	MR. WISE: and that's the only choice have you,
22	and turns out one of the three elephants that Happy was
23	living with, those two that not only attacked her, but also
24	killed her companion. And so all of this is in the record
25	in our affidavit.

So Happy is essentially alone. A social animal. 1 It's like you and I being thrown into solitary confinement 2 without doing anything and we are kept there for forty 3 years. And just as my brother says, well, you know, they 4 5 have these human caretakers, she becomes bonded, and Dr. Poole says humans, they don't, they don't do anything, 6 7 they are not going to help Happy. Happy is an elephant that 8 needs to be with elephants. And no matter how many human caretakers you have watching her and giving her food and 9 10 veterinary care, the fact is she is on one acre of land, that's like a suburban back yard. I could not live on one 11 12 acre of land for forty years.

13 The idea that an elephant goes twenty miles a day 14 is going to live on one acre of land by herself it is not 15 appropriate for an elephant in a place where other elephants 16 are with twenty-six hundred acres. That's the place for an 17 elephant.

Which brings me back to the quality of the affidavit that my brother indeed has, first of all three, all three are employees of the defendant, unlike our own case, there are no independent experts. Second of all, none of them are experts, there is not a single one there who, who says that they even examined Happy or know Happy. They never said they know anything about elephants.

25

Mr. Breheny has a Master's Degree in Biology

compared to our experts that all have at least one Ph.D. and 1 have spent their whole lives doing field work. Mr. Breheny 2 is, you will excuse the expression, he's a suit and has been 3 an administrator, he's been the head of Bronx Zoo for 4 5 fourteen years. It's not particularly his fault. I think they said they have something like three thousand species of 6 7 animals. Mr. Breheny is not an expert in three thousand 8 species of animal. He's probably not an expert in any, and 9 he certainly has not never stated he has any training or any expertise at all in animals. 10

The other person, the second person is the chief 11 veterinarian there who never says he's even met Happy, he 12 13 just says that basically I hear that Happy's healthy. But they are not talking -- we are not talking about whether 14 15 Happy is healthy or not, we are talking about whether Happy can live the life of an elephant because habeas corpus is 16 not meant to protect health, it's meant to protect liberty. 17 18 If they are saying she is being treated in a bad way, we That doesn't address don't bring a writ of habeas corpus. 19 20 that. It addresses the legality of somebody's detention, and we are saying the detention alone is what we are talking 21 22 about.

And the third affidavit is simply another administrator saying whether the Animal Welfare Act is being followed, and you get something about that and all

regulations in the Animal Welfare Act, but the Animal 1 Welfare Act and regulations are the same sort of thing. 2 How many times are you feeding the prisoner. How many times are 3 you washing the prisoner. How many times do you wash the 4 5 prisoner's trunk. How many times -- how many hours do you let the prisoner outside. How many hours do you put him 6 7 back in. And then for an elephant, this is an Indian 8 Elephant who -- New York City is a wonderful place -- Indian 9 Elephants don't belong living in New York City, you know, 10 period. And even the Bronx Zoo knows they should not be outside. So what they then do -- she doesn't even live on 11 an acre of land -- they have to put her inside of a building 12 13 for at least half of the year. This is not how one should treat an elephant, right? It's just not. And it's not my, 14 15 it's not my opinion, it's the opinion of about five of our 16 experts, and the opinion of Joyce Poole, including Joyce Poole, she is saying what happens when you treat them like 17 18 this is that they get sick, they get aggressive, they get depressed, and they get psychotic. They become antisocial 19 20 and -- however, she also says the remedy for this and then she lists all these problems, so-called problems elephants 21 22 that have been taken out of, of a terrible place like Happy 23 is in and brought to a sanctuary. That's the remedy. They just flourish overnight. 24

25

You read all the time about people that have been

convicted wrongly of crimes for thirty years or forty years, 1 they don't want to stay in, they want out. And when they go 2 out they go back and try and live the best lives they can. 3 Happy would do -- it would be exactly the same thing for 4 5 Happy. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. WISE: I want to make sure I answered the Court's questions on that. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you have more argument, legal 10 argument? If I may? 11 MR. WISE: 12 THE COURT: Please. 13 MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. Now, I want to get to the issue of, the legal 14 15 issue of why this Court is not bound, and I almost, I almost 16 talked about that, why it is not bound by the Lavery II decision and why it should not pay attention to the dicta 17 18 and of it and why -- and why it's not bound by Lavery I, and why it should not pay attention to that either. 19 20 I have already explained with respect to Lavery II, which is a First Department case that the Court said 21 22 that without even addressing the merits of the petitioner's 23 arguments, we are going to find that, that they, that the motion court properly declined to sign the order since these 24 25 were, since these were successive habeas corpus petitions.

And so that is under CPLR, 7003(b), means it's a procedural 1 statute. So the Court affirmed, the First Department 2 affirmed the lower department on the procedural point and 3 therefore anything else that they said is dicta. 4 5 Now, the next -- Lavery I and Lavery II are not even stare decisis for this Court because they are both 6 7 grounded on demonstrable misunderstandings of the law, and 8 we cite case law several pages talking about the fact that an exception to a lower court being bound by even a higher 9 10 court, there is an exception on the stare decisis when there's been a demonstrable misunderstanding of the law. 11 Now, I am going to tell you what those 12 13 demonstrable misunderstandings of the law are. One of them --14 15 I'm ready. THE COURT: MR. WISE: -- one of them is the idea that 16 17 personhood, that in order to have a right, you have to be 18 able to have a duty, to be able to bear duties. And I already talked a great deal about why Lavery I, which was 19 20 then kind of automatically without any further analysis, Lavery II, why they were the first court in the world to 21 ever do and to ever say that, and why it really makes no 22 23 sense for them to do that. And the other one is that when both Lavery I and Lavery II seem to say, well, look, you 24 25 have to be human, being human is a necessary condition of

the rights, why that also is demonstrably misunderstanding 1 as well, and here are the reasons why they are just not 2 regularly demonstrably misunderstandings, but they are 3 demonstrably misunderstandings of law. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. WISE: The first one is they contradict the Byrn case which is, I had talked about Byrn versus New York 7 8 Hospital, and at page 201 in the Byrn case, the Byrn case 9 says, and I quote, a legal person dot, dot, dot, simply 10 means that upon -- according to legal personality to a thing the law affords it the rights and privileges of a legal 11 person, unquote. There is not a single word in the leading 12 13 case in New York State on who is a person. And as to anybody having to be able to bear duties it says when you 14 15 are a person the law affords you the rights and privileges 16 of a legal person, it does not say that it then also -- that imposes duties upon you. That's not to say it can't impose 17 18 duties upon you, but it does not have to impose duties upon you, and that's what the New York Court of Appeals said at 19 20 Page 201 in Byrn, which is 1972. So, it is been around now for quite some time and 21 22 it's never been overruled, and it probably won't be because 23 that's what, that's what over the last four hundred years

what legal personhood has always meant in Anglo-English speaking world.

24

25

1 THE COURT: The fact in this case, just tell me 2 that --3 MR. WISE: The facts in Byrn? THE COURT: Just tell me. 4 5 MR. WISE: I will tell you real quick, I will remind you, it had to do with the question of whether a 6 7 fetus was a person. 8 THE COURT: A fetus, okay. 9 MR. WISE: There's a lot of cases --The abortion -- the liberalization of 10 THE COURT: the abortion law. 11 12 MR. WISE: Yes, indeed. 13 If I could just do a little segue. One of the things my brother argued, he said that there was a 14 15 concurring opinion, and sometimes judges have also said, 16 well, this is really a legislative issue and that this for the legislature too because of its value. And when you 17 18 work -- and that, by the way, that's not the Byrn case, that's not the majority opinion. If you go look at that 19 20 concurring opinion you see that a case called Corkey, C-o-r-k-e-y, that's the first case that was cited, Corkey, 21 22 that said that, and then you look and you realize that 23 within a year, Roe versus Wade was decided, which nullifies what that concurring justice said caused the DC Circuit to 24 25 reverse the Corkey case and remanded it to be revisited in

1 light of Roe versus Wade. 2 So, whether or not you believe in that concurring opinion, it is because of the value you have that a court 3 can't make that kind of a decision, well, Roe versus Wade 4 5 said I don't agree, and the case that concurring opinion relied upon was indeed immediately overturned. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. WISE: Now, Byrn also said -- it's a short case, but has a lot of interesting and powerful ideas 9 10 concerning who a person is. THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. WISE: Byrn also said that, Byrn also said 12 13 that personhood is not a matter of quote, biological or natural correspondence, unquote. In other words, just 14 15 because the fetus is a human, that human, that doesn't mean 16 the fetus is a person or is not a person. THE COURT: Okay. 17 18 So there they say, they said the fetus MR. WISE: even though it was human was not a person, but making a 19 determination is not a biological issue, and -- but that's 20 exactly what the Third Department did, and --21 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. WISE: -- what the First Department did, you don't get to be the chimpanzee, you don't get to, to be a 24 25 person because you are not a human being. Well, that's

1 exactly what Byrn said you cannot do. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. WISE: Now, Judge Fahey -- then they also said it requires a determination as to whether legal personality 4 5 should attach, and I will talk to you in a minute about how Judge Fahey says that that should be done as well as Byrn. 6 7 So, Judge Fahey said that Lavery II, the First 8 Department conclusions that a chimpanzee could not be 9 considered -- I am quoting that, Lavery II is quote, 10 conclusion that a, that a chimpanzee cannot be considered a person, and is not entitled to habeas corpus relief is in 11 fact based upon nothing more than the premise that a 12 13 chimpanzee is not a member of the human species, unquote. And that he understood directly contradicted Byrn, just as I 14 15 am saying Judge Fahey's opinion as well. Judge Fahey also 16 noted that even if it was correct, he said that, that nonhuman animals cannot bear duties, the same is true of a 17 18 human infant or a comatose human adult, but nobody would impose -- it's improper to seek a writ of habeas corpus on 19 their behalf. 20 Now, I mentioned the People versus Graves case, 21 22 that it is common knowledge that persons can and sometimes

do attach to nonhuman entities, like corporations and
animals and it had cited to the Presti case. Now it also
then cited to Byrn. The reason I didn't mention it the

first time is because I had not explained what Byrn was. 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. WISE: But it cites right after Presti, it, it cites to Byrn, and the reason it says that it is not a 4 5 question of biology. In other words, in the Graves case a corporation can be, can be a person has well, but the 6 7 personhood is not a matter of biology, it is a matter of 8 public policy, and that's what the Byrn case says. In fact, Page 201 of the Byrn case says, whether the law should 9 10 accord legal personality is a policy question, not a biological question, a policy question. 11 Now -- then Judge Fahey then picks it up and he 12 13 explains what the policy question, what the policy involved is and he does that at Page 157 in this Court of Appeals 14 15 concurrence. He says that, and I quote, the better approach in my view is to ask not whether a chimpanzee fits the 16 definition of a person or whether a chimpanzee has the same 17 18 right or duties as a human being, but instead whether he or she has a right to liberty protected by habeas corpus. 19 20 Protected. That question is one of precise, moral and legal 21 22 status is the one that matters here. Moreover, the answer 23 to that question will depend upon our assessment of the

25 Which is exactly the argument we are making today with

24

intrinsic nature of chimpanzees as a species, end quote.

1	animals. The question as to whether or not Happy should
2	have the right to liberty protected by a writ of habeas
3	corpus, that is the question before you, and should depend
4	upon the Court's assessment, the intrinsic nature of
5	elephant as a species, which is why The Nonhuman Rights
6	Project delivered seventy or eighty or one hundred pages of
7	affidavits and from all the experts in the world that says
8	exactly what, you know, who elephants are and what their
9	extraordinary cognitive abilities are.
10	Now, the Lavery I case then was, then was the
11	Lavery I case involving Tommy the chimpanzee, a Third
12	Department case.
13	THE COURT: Right.
14	MR. WISE: Was clearly wrong in stating that the
15	legal personhood has consistently been defined as duties, if
16	that means the duties are required, duties are required, I
17	mean that's where they
18	(Counsel directed to speak louder.)
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. WISE: I give them respect for saying it,
21	but it is simply false. They, they, they literally are the
22	first and most speaking court in the world to ever say this.
23	It is our, our, um, legal person that has never been, not
24	only been inconsistently defined that way it's never been
25	defined that way as should be obvious from Byrn. I don't

1 know if I said this, that this person -- I want to make it clear, that a person is an entity who has the capacity 2 either for a right or for a duty, one or the other. You are 3 a person. Now, once you are a person, you then have the 4 5 capacity for either, but you do not have to have the capacity for both right and duties, which is why Byrn says 6 7 when you are a person you're then entitled to the right and 8 privileges of a person.

9 Then some of the secondary sources that we cite, 10 by the way, are the ones that the Court of Appeals cites in the Byrn case in order to support their argument about what 11 a person is. So, for example, Dean Pound. Dean Pound wrote 12 13 that, quote, the significance of legal personality is the capacity for rights -- and period -- it is -- he doesn't 14 15 talk about the fact that you have to have duties, these are, 16 and are the reason I am using these examples are these 17 examples that Byrn himself used. In the Salmond on Jurisprudence, which is also what Blacks said they said, 18 that Salmond actually said that that person means, 19 20 personhood means you're capable of rights and duties, and that Blacks got it wrong. When we complained about it --21 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. WISE: -- the Eleventh Edition, they quoted

him correctly. Also there is John Chipman Gray who wrote at the end of the 19th Century. He had wrote the The Nature

and Sources of Law and he said what my brother says 1 that -- I'm sorry, the Third Department says that Gray 2 stated that, quote, the legal meaning of a person is the 3 subject of legal rights and duties. The problem with that 4 5 is they left out the following sentence, and that sentence says that, quote, one who has rights but not duties or had 6 duties but not rights is a person. So the Third Department 7 8 simply misunderstood what John Chipman Gray had been saying. 9 He was talking about rights and duties in the way I am 10 talking about them, that in order to be a person you can, either rights or duties will make you a person, but once 11 you're a person then you can have both rights and duties, 12 13 but you don't have to have both.

And so John Chipman Gray then explained himself 14 15 clearly, one who has rights but not duties or duties but not rights is a person. And he also says that, quote, if there 16 is anyone who has rights though no duties or duties but no 17 rights, he is, dot, dot, dot, dot, a person in the eye of 18 the law. And in all Gray quoted, animals may conceivably be 19 20 a legal person, unquote, and there may be, quote, systems now in which animals have legal rights, end quote. 21

22In fact, I already explained to the Court in23Argentina and Colombia --

24THE COURT: International?25MR. WISE: -- it's already happening. We'll see

1 about the U.S. as well. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. WISE: Now, Your Honor, there was something else that occurred with respect to, with respect to the 4 5 First Department, with respect to --6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 MR. WISE: -- after oral arguments, the First 8 Department is -- when we located that Blacks Law Dictionary 9 had misquoted Salmond on Jurisprudence and said rights and duties instead of rights or duties, The Nonhuman Rights 10 Project then immediately wrote the First Department and said 11 we want to bring to your attention the fact that to the 12 13 extent that the Third Department relied upon Blacks, Blacks admits that it is wrong, and it is changing, it is changing 14 15 its mind. And we attach the e-mail correspondence to 16 Blacks, from Blacks, and said please read this, we filed a motion that they read the correspondence and for whatever 17 18 reason that motion was denied. For whatever reason the First Department refused to provide the correspondence. 19 Then made the same mistake that the Third Department had 20 which I suggest they might not have made had they seen the 21 argument between the showing that Blacks, Blacks said we 22 23 made a mistake and we are going to fix it. That maybe was --24 THE COURT: 25 MR. WISE: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- when was it submitted to the Court? 1 It was submitted to the Court between 2 MR. WISE: oral argument and the time that they issued a decision. 3 So it was after the Court had already 4 THE COURT: 5 heard it? MR. WISE: After they had heard it, but it was 6 7 before we had learned of what happened to Blacks. As soon 8 as we learned that Blacks had made an error and Professor 9 Garner admitted, he immediately confirmed that with 10 Professor Garner then made a motion post argument and pre-decision that said that please look at this because the 11 Third Department relied upon something that the source 12 13 itself says was wrong. And the reason I am bringing this to your attention, it's part of my argument to show that this 14 15 Court should not rely upon the Third Department because it was demonstrably incorrect, it both violated Byrn and now 16 they relied upon a source that itself admitted was wrong. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: Now, the First Department also cited a 19 case called Wartelle. Wartelle specifically cites with 20 positively cites a secondary source in Louisiana that says a 21 22 person, quote, signifies a subject of rights or duties, 23 rights or duties. Now, I get to the third leg of what the Third Department did to show that it was demonstrably wrong. 24 25 There both the misunderstandings of Lavery I and Lavery II

1	derives in part from a gross misunderstanding of social
2	contract theory. And the only reason that the last, alas as
3	I am bringing it up, they are the Third Department that was
4	one of the three rungs, the three things that they relied
5	upon. So for, as I said, the first time in Anglo-American
6	history the
7	(Counsel was directed to speak louder.)
8	MR. WISE: they said that the ascription of
9	rights has historically been connected with the imposition
10	of the duties principal of social contracts, unquote.
11	That was not true on that date, it is not true today, and it
12	has never been true. Why did the Third Department say
13	something that was demonstrably false? Well, it does cite,
14	it cites two cases, one is called Gault, one is cited
15	Barona. I won't even argue that all you have to do is look
16	at the cases and realize they have nothing whatsoever to do
17	with social contract or the description of the person and
18	their rights. What they relied upon were two law review
19	articles by a deeply reactionary Pepperdine Professor
20	(Counsel directed to speak louder.)
21	MR. WISE: Richard Cupp from Pepperdine
22	University. He makes his living arguing that nonhuman
23	animals should not have rights because it depends on, and
24	deal in social contract theory that only him, he in the
25	entire world believes in.

So then on Page 18 to 20 of our supplemental 1 memorandum that we filed, we demonstrate that the -- if you 2 go look at the law review articles he writes, he just, he 3 just cites himself and other law review articles, he is 4 5 cited by a philosopher, Peter de Marneffe. If you look at the situation you understand that Peter de Marneffe does not 6 7 support what he says. And another place he just cites he 8 just cites John Locke, and cites eight chapters, one of John Locke's books, but there is no evidence that he showed that 9 10 as well. In short, Professor Cupp dispenses junk political science, junk history and junk jurisprudence. 11 That the Third Department just accepted without looking into it 12 13 themselves. And that fact is part of their demonstrable misunderstanding of the law. 14

15 Judge Fahey also talked about, Page 1058 of his 16 concurring opinion, he referred to an amicus brief filed before him by not Professor Cupp, but by seventeen North 17 American philosophers, and they specifically address 18 themselves to Professor Cupp, his own idiosyncratic idea of 19 20 what a social contract means. They said in, and I quote, in their amicus brief, which went before Judge Fahey, quote, is 21 22 not how political philosophers have understood the meaning 23 of a social contract historically or in contemporary times, unquote, rather according to the seventeen philosophers, 24 25 social contract creates citizens, it does not create

persons. It has got nothing to do the creation of persons, has to do with the creation of citizens. And that they said social contract philosophers have never claimed, I am quoting them, not now and not in the 17th Century, that the social contract can endow a personhood on any being.

1

2

3

4

5

So, Professor Cupp said what he is going to do, he 6 7 is entitled to say whatever he has, but he should not be 8 pushing his false ideas he made up on the court and made it, 9 it seem that that's the law. That's not the law. And the 10 only degree to which it is the law is because the Third Department just cited him, bless their hearts, swallowed it, 11 but didn't do their own research, and we didn't do the 12 13 research because we didn't know that they were going to say It was not argued. The first time we saw the 14 that. 15 argument of the social contract was in their decision, that 16 is, Professor Cupp knew another, another reason why Lavery II, the First Department. Their misunderstanding also 17 18 derives from a misunderstanding of habeas corpus, does not permit the release of a detainee from one facility to 19 20 another facility.

21 Now, Lavery II cited a case called Dawson versus 22 Smith. Now, Judge Fahey in his concurrence stated that that 23 was just explain wrong, they misunderstood what Dawson 24 versus Smith said she got it opposite. There is only two 25 main cases in the Court of Appeals on this issue. One is

called, ex rel Hatzman v. Kuhlmann, and Brown v. Johnston, which is --

THE COURT: A citation?

3

MR. WISE: Okay. 9 New York 2d 482, and page 485, 4 5 that was 1961. In Brown versus Johnston the Court of Appeals said habeas corpus was appropriate when someone's 6 7 trying to, to be moved between a State prison and State 8 hospital for the insane. That person Brown is, Brown is not 9 trying to be absolutely free, he is moving. He is in one 10 place and he wants to move. The question is, can you move from a prison to a -- can you be moved from a prison to a 11 12 place where you're mentally ill? The Court of Appeals says 13 Habeas corpus is appropriate for that. yes.

14 Then twenty-four years later in Dawson versus 15 Smith, what you have was another prisoner who was trying to 16 move, not from one institution to another institution of a 17 different kind, but was trying to move from one department 18 within an institution to another institution within the same 19 institution. And the Court of appeals says you cannot use 20 habeas corpus to do that.

So, Judge Fahey said no, the Appellate Division erred in this matter by misreading the case it relied upon, he is referring to the Dawson versus Smith case. Sorry. In the Brown versus Johnson, they erred by misreading the case relied upon in which instead stands for the proposition that

habeas corpus can be used to seek a transfer to, quote, 1 institution separate and different in nature from the 2 facility to which the petitioner has been committed under, 3 unquote, opposed to transfer, quote, within the facility, 4 5 unquote. And then he specifically says the chimpanzee 6 predicament, that we are trying to move a poor chimpanzee 7 from a cage to an island in south Florida where he would 8 live with over twenty-five other chimpanzees, one hundred 9 miles south of where I live in Florida. He says the 10 Chimpanzee's predicament is analogous to the former situation which is the Brown situation moving from between a 11 jail and a mental hospital, not moving from one section. 12 We 13 are not asking that the Chimpanzee move from one cage inside of a horrible place being kept to another cage. Just like 14 15 here we are not saying we want Happy moving from 1 acre across the way to a zoo to another acre. We are not asking 16 for that. We are asking that Happy be moved to a completely 17 18 distinctly different place, which is, well -- it is either the Tennessee Elephant Sanctuary in Tennessee or the 19 20 Elephant Welfare Society in California.

21 And again, these are not zoos, nobody charges 22 admission, these are sanctuaries where they will be left 23 alone as close to the wild as possible to live their lives. 24 Now, if I may just speak about, by the way, we 25 actually in our papers I have a long list, I will not read

1	to the Court every, all of the cognitive capabilities that
2	are gleaned from the affidavit for the Court, I will just
3	read like four of them that are autonomous that they are
4	self aware that
5	THE COURT: The Court has read those.
6	MR. WISE: here.
7	THE COURT: No, the Court has read those, what you
8	had in your papers.
9	MR. WISE: What? Pardon me?
10	THE COURT: The Court has read what you have, your
11	papers about that.
12	MR. WISE: Okay.
13	THE COURT: The Court has read those.
14	MR. WISE: Then I will not read again.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	MR. WISE: I'd like to address a few more things.
17	One of them, the issue of standing.
18	So, my brother claims that The Nonhuman Rights
19	Project does not have permission to, or does not have
20	standing in order to bring suit on behalf of Happy. CPLR
21	7002(a) really clearly says a person, quote, a person is
22	illegally imprisoned or otherwise restrained in his liberty
23	within the state, or one acting on his behalf or a party in
24	a child abuse proceeding subsequent, dot, dot, dot, may
25	petition without notice for a writ of habeas corpus.

1 Now, the --2 THE COURT: Wait. Can I stop you right there, if 3 I may? 4 MR. WISE: Of course. 5 THE COURT: You said you had four reasons that you 6 gave me before when you were presenting your arguments 7 before, right? The misunderstanding of the law, the social 8 contract theory. There was two other ones that I --9 MR. WISE: Right. THE COURT: -- heard, right? 10 MR. WISE: I am going to --11 THE COURT: Those were in the --12 13 MR. WISE: There was the dicta. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. WISE: Dicta. There is -- and one of the 16 reasons were --THE COURT: Rights and duties, rights or duties. 17 18 MR. WISE: Rights or duties also contradicts the 19 Byrn case. 20 THE COURT: Right. MR. WISE: Also contradicts the public policy 21 22 under the pet trust statute, 7-8.1. Also ignores the, on 23 the ground, facts, that if indeed duties and 24 responsibilities are required, then that would mean ten 25 percent of the population of New York would not able to, to

1 be --2 THE COURT: All right, let me have Mr. Manning reply to those arguments, then you said you would move on to 3 something else? 4 5 MR. WISE: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Am I correct? 7 MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: That's the standing issue. 9 MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor. MR. MANNING: If I may, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Thank you. I don't want him to get 11 12 too far. Thank you, Your Honor. 13 MR. MANNING: In New York, habeas corpus Article 70 governs 14 15 habeas corpus proceedings, that's the article under which 16 the First, Second, Third and Fourth Departments made their decisions in these cases, particularly involving 17 18 chimpanzees. For example the Presti case, the Court squarely determined the issue of the appropriateness of 19 habeas corpus to change conditions of confinement, and it 20 was in the context of a chimpanzee case brought by the 21 22 petitioner. And the Court squarely held that habeas corpus 23 is not available to change the conditions of confinement. 24 So, whether they wanted to move Happy from the 25 Bronx to California or Florida or wherever they'd like to

1	move the animal, habeas corpus is not available for that,
2	and this is a square Appellate Division hold on that
3	involving this petitioner. So what the law may be and the
4	power of Argentina or Colombia really doesn't matter to a
5	determination in this court.
6	THE COURT: So you're analogizing the two going
7	from one department to another department, you want to move
8	Happy to a sanctuary?
9	MR. MANNING: That's exactly it, and it really
10	doesn't matter that the Bronx Zoo is available
11	irrespectively for every citizen to go see and where they'd
12	like to put Happy is not even open to the public. It
13	doesn't make any difference whether you move the animal to
14	one place to another, habeas corpus was never around and
15	never invented for people, for person's to satisfy that
16	particular request. So, that's the first thing.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. MANNING: Secondly, we hear loudly and clearly
19	the petitioner thinks the Third Department got it wrong,
20	when the Third Department squarely held that animals have
21	never been considered persons for the purpose of habeas
22	corpus relief. That's the language from the Third
23	Department, not my language, Your Honor.
24	Furthermore, they say the First Department got it
25	wrong

1 THE COURT: Well, what is your argument to that, that the Third Department decided it on whether you have 2 rights and duties as an entity, whether a person, or rights 3 or duties as a person. 4 5 MR. MANNING: Thank you, Your Honor. First of all, I think the Third Department's analysis, we provided 6 7 that to the Court, was fairly detailed, they simply didn't decide it based out of Blacks Law definition. Had they done 8 so and they looked past the definition to the source 9 10 material that was referenced by Mr. Wise, and if they had quoted the rest of the material that was relied upon by John 11 Salmond in his, in his 1947 Jurisprudence article, they 12 13 would find that John Salmond went on to say that, I am quoting now, the only natural persons are human beings, 14 15 either natural or legal, they are merely things, often the 16 objects of legal rights and duties, but never the subjects of them. It is fairly definitive. 17 18 THE COURT: Sorry, I missed the last sentence. MR. MANNING: Yes. They are merely things, often 19 20 the objects of legal rights and duties but never the subjects of them. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. MANNING: So, the point of the matter is, even the source material that they rely upon doesn't support 24 25 their issue. But furthermore, the First Department having

1 the benefit of a lengthy decision by the Third Department went on to say in Lavery II --2 THE COURT: II? Uh-huh. 3 Thank you. And I am quoting, the 4 MR. MANNING: 5 assertive cognitive and linguistic capabilities of a chimpanzee do not translate to a chimpanzee's capacity or 6 7 ability to link humans to bear legal duties or to be 8 legally -- held legally accountable for their actions, which 9 is the predicate for this Court to find whether habeas 10 corpus should be afforded to someone as a person, square, holding the First Department I suggest controls the decision 11 in this case. 12 13 THE COURT: That's Lavery II? MR. MANNING: That's Lavery II, Your Honor. 14 And 15 think about it for a moment, the First Department had the complete benefit of analysis of the Third Department, had 16 the benefit of argument by petitioner at this table and 17 18 while argument was pending, another effort to demonstrate to them that there were other reasons for a decision in favor 19 20 and they were unsuccessful. These issues that have been presented here have been fully aired in two Appellate 21 22 Divisions so far. Furthermore, much of the analysis that 23 has been presented today by Mr. Wise has been an attack on Professor Cupp. Professor Cupp's analysis that was 24 25 embraced, Judge, not just by the Third Department, but by

Proceedings

1	the First department. Professor Cupp provided an amicus
2	brief to the First Department, and Professor Cupp has
3	offered an amicus brief to Your Honor as well.
4	We moved for permission for that amicus brief to
5	be accepted and Professor Cupps' analysis was persuasive in
6	the First and Third Departments, and we'd ask for your
7	relief in allowing his brief to come in as an amicus brief
8	to respond to all the criticisms of his work presented by
9	Mr. Wise.
10	MR. SCHNEIDER: If I may, they are not counsel to,
11	they are not counsel
12	THE COURT: One second.
13	MR. SCHNEIDER: nor are they counsel to the
14	amicus of Protect the Harvest, et al tothe petitioner
15	would object to unless one of them is counsel to either or
16	both of those amicus filers, they should not be allowed to,
17	per Your Honor's rules, those rules the attorneys that
18	signed those motions should be here and it appears they are
19	not.
20	THE COURT: They are not?
21	MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
22	MR. MANNING: They are not here in person,
23	Your Honor. We have squarely relied upon the papers we have
24	filed and filed objections to Professor Cupps' work as well
25	as his, filed with this Court.

1	THE COURT: You are making the application that
2	they should not be considered?
3	MR. SCHNEIDER: That is true.
4	THE COURT: And is not opposing that he is saying
5	that they have the, they have the analysis in their brief,
6	so
7	MR. MANNING: We have incorporated their brief so
8	we didn't duplicate that stack of paper yet further.
9	MR. SCHNEIDER: Your Honor, what they are
10	attempting to do is incorporate a brief that Your Honor has
11	not already accepted and their motion has a reference to a
12	brief that Your Honor has not chosen whether or not to
13	entertain. Furthermore, Professor Cupp and we believe that
14	his motion was set to be heard on October 7th. Furthermore,
15	his motion is defective because there is no New York
16	attorney who signed it. He purported to sign an affirmation
17	himself, even though he's not a New York attorney.
18	THE COURT: Okay. We have motions on that date.
19	That's probably the one you're speaking of. So that motion
20	is advanced, however, we didn't notice him to come today.
21	MR. MANNING: So it's on for October 7th?
22	THE COURT: That was on for October 7th, The
23	Nonhuman Rights Project versus James Breheny. And we
24	have
25	MR. SCHNEIDER: We just filed our opposition this

1 morning.

2	THE COURT: You did file an opposition?
3	MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, this morning.
4	THE COURT: I believe it is here. I have the
5	motion and but I am sure that the opposition papers will
6	make its way to the file, and we are attempting to
7	accelerate this case, so we'll have all the motions in able
8	to have that, so we did look for this paper and we have the
9	motion. So we'll make a decision on that motion also. I am
10	not sure that if we are going to notice you guys to come
11	back again, we may just allow the movant in this sense to
12	intervene, and if we need further argument we'll request it,
13	okay? If we need.
14	MR. MANNING: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. MANNING: The other motion or amicus brief by
17	the Zoological Association and two other entities are
18	unopposed.
19	MR. SCHNEIDER: We did oppose that, Your Honor,
20	and we filed that with the Court.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: And, once again, the attorneys who
23	signed that motion are not here.
24	THE COURT: So, is that the motion that is on
-	
25	10/21?

MR. SCHNEIDER: No, Your Honor, this was the 1 other. The first proposed amicus motion which was filed 2 back in December, I believe. 3 THE COURT: Was it returnable today? 4 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, it was. It was in the stack of motions, yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay, you do have a stack. 8 MR. MANNING: We haven't seen any opposition on 9 that, Your Honor. THE COURT: So, do you have a copy? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, we provided it to the Court. 11 It would have the proof of service in this as 12 13 well, but I can track that down. 14 THE COURT: Okay, when my clerk comes back, if you 15 just show me which one it is so we can take a look at it 16 ourselves, because we do have a stack of motions down there and -- we'll have a point of personal privilege. We'll 17 18 return in five minutes. MR. MANNING: Thank you. 19 20 (Recess taken.) 21 COURT OFFICER: Come to order. THE COURT: Please be seated. 22 23 Off the record. 24 (Discussion held, off the record.) 25 THE COURT: Let's see if we can at least finish up

1 with the argument. Okay, Mr. Manning, were you finished? 2 MR. MANNING: I have one more point, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I thought you were finished 4 5 with your argument. 6 Okay, quickly you may. 7 MR. MANNING: I will be very brief, Your Honor. The Byrn case that has been discussed by Mr. Wise, 8 if you read to the end of the case the issue in that case 9 10 was determined not just by the concurrent opinion, but by the majority talked about the policy decisions being best 11 left to the legislature, which we consented, which is what 12 13 should happen here. And the last item, the affidavit that's been 14 15 submitted on behalf of the Bronx Zoo and the Wildlife Conservation Society, we have three affidavits. One from 16 17 Mr. Breheny, who was recently the caretaker of the American Zoological Society, as well as veterinarian Paul Celle, who 18 is very familiar the care and guidance given to Happy the 19 20 Elephant in the Bronx. And three, there was no reason to go any further, according to the petitioner, and they were not 21 22 challenging the conditions under which Happy was being 23 maintained. We provided that information, frankly, for the 24 25 comfort of the Court.

1 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Thank you. 2 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WISE: THE COURT: Mr. Wise, do you have further 3 argument? 4 5 MR. WISE: I do. 6 THE COURT: You have standing and what else? 7 MR. WISE: I have standing -- I'm just responding 8 to my brother. 9 THE COURT: You can respond in a minute, I just 10 want to --MR. WISE: The issue of collateral estoppel. I 11 wanted to previously respond to that, and then I wanted to, 12 13 and just in probably ten minutes actually explain what the 14 public policy behind giving personhood to Happy would be. 15 THE COURT: That's going to be your response, right? 16 So it would -- the only other argument you have is standing and collateral estoppel? 17 18 MR. WISE: I'm sorry? THE COURT: Standing, the issue of standing and 19 20 the issue of collateral estoppel? 21 MR. WISE: I do have those, and --22 THE COURT: Correct. 23 MR. WISE: -- I also wanted to briefly discuss the 24 place of the values of liberty and equality giving 25 Happy, those are the public policies issues.

THE COURT: Well, that's what he just said, those 1 were best left to the legislature. I believe he said that. 2 So, if you want to respond to that? 3 MR. WISE: I certainly do. 4 THE COURT: Respond to it now. Then we'll get to 5 the other two arguments, then you can sum up. 6 7 MR. WISE: Indeed. 8 Well, first of all, the case does not say that the 9 issue is best left to the legislature, but what Byrns says 10 is that historically usually that personhood issues come out of the legislature. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. WISE: And that's not the same thing as saying that's where they must come out. As I said one time, habeas 14 15 corpus is sui generis, it is unique, it is specifically 16 common law, it always has been common law. THE COURT: Yes, you started with that. 17 18 MR. WISE: Which also dovetails with another statement that my brother makes which really isn't correct 19 20 is that CPLR 70 does not govern habeas corpus, 70 does not govern habeas corpus, the common law governs habeas corpus, 21 22 70 simply governs the procedure by which habeas corpus is 23 brought, it has nothing and may not have anything to do with the substantive law of habeas corpus. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay, so you disagree with counsel?

MR. WISE: That's what the CPLR is. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, that's what you disagree with on that point? 3 4 MR. WISE: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 6 MR. WISE: And my brother just recently brought up 7 the Fourth Department again. The Fourth Department may say 8 certain, may say that can't be transferred to a chimpanzee, 9 but the Court of Appeals says you can, and Judge Fahey says 10 you can -- and both Fourth Department and then the First Department --11 (Counsel directed to speak louder.) 12 MR. WISE: -- they are simply in conflict with the 13 14 1961 Court of Appeals Brown case, and Judge Fahey points 15 that out. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. WISE: And then the First Department is not 18 appropriate because first of all we said it was dicta. Second of all, what they said about duties and 19 20 responsibilities and being human violates Byrn --21 THE COURT: Correct. 22 MR. WISE: -- violates the pet trust policy --23 THE COURT: Okay, now, with respect to the 24 standing? MR. WISE: 25 Standing? Okay.

 So, by my brother, as part of his motion t dismiss, raised the issue of standing. 	o
2 dismiss, raised the issue of standing.	
3 THE COURT: Correct.	
4 MR. WISE: Now, I hate when I have it righ	t here.
5 Hold on. If I may have a moment? I just put it som	ewhere
6 else with my standing discussion.	
7 THE COURT: Okay.	
8 MR. WISE: Let's see.	
9 Standing, Your Honor, first of all, I thin	k I was
10 beginning to talk about that, CPLR 7002(a) specifica	lly says
11 that, that one acting on that a person illegally imp	risoned
12 or otherwise restrained of his liberty or one acting	on his
13 behalf may petition the Court without notice for a w	rit of
14 habeas corpus.	
15 In the Stanley case, where The Nonhuman Ri	ghts
16 Project sought a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of	the two
17 chimpanzees said that The Nonhuman Rights Project ha	d
18 standing and said that there was no restriction on w	ho may
19 bring a habeas corpus petition.	
20 Now, the First Department in a footnote ac	tually
21 said that, that assuming that habeas corpus may be b	rought
on behalf of the chimpanzee, the petitioner, The Non	human
23 Rights Project, indisputably has standing pursuant t	0
24 7002(a), which authorizes anyone to seek habeas corp	ous
25 relief on behalf of the detainee.	

1 Now, the issue of whether you are a person, that is not a standing issue, that's a substantive law issue. 2 So, under CPLR 7002(a), anyone, anyone has the right to 3 bring forth before the Court the question of whether an 4 5 entity has standing -- I'm sorry -- whether an entity is a person who is being detained. We are not arguing about 6 detention here. We have to agree on that. We are arguing 7 8 about person, but the only way that the issue -- a person 9 could be brought before the Court, would be by somebody, 10 somebody else. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. WISE: So we think it is, and also this kind 13 of third-party standing has been long approached in New York, approved if you go into the antebellum south --14 15 I'm sorry, the antebellum New York. The American Antislavery Society brought a series of cases throughout the 16 1830's and 1840's where southern slaves would, southern 17 18 slave holders would bring slaves to New York, and abolitionists, the American Antislavery Society would come 19 in and seek writs of habeas corpus. And question, the 20 question would be, that would be, would be these slaves 21 22 brought in were they still slaves in New York or were they 23 free. The only way you could argue that is if the American Antislavery Society has standing to go in and argue. 24 Ιt 25 turns out that they would always win. But, you know, one of

Proceedings

1	those cases, the Lemmon case versus the People, was
2	actually, I think, on, on cert to the Supreme Court, and the
3	Civil War broke out, and that sort of stopped it, but we
4	cited Lemmon, L-e-mm-o-n. Lemmon, Trainer and numerous
5	cases
6	THE COURT: There are a number of cases.
7	MR. WISE: where it is clear that, that, that
8	we have standing.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. WISE: Now, let me move on to the issue of
11	would you like to respond to the standing argument?
12	MR. MANNING: Well, it is very the very first
13	thing you said really answered the question, and that's you
14	have standing in what you're doing on behalf of a person,
15	which brings us back to the whole issue that the First
16	Department has determined against that, and the Third
17	Department determined against that an elephant is not a
18	person, that if it's not a person, you don't have standing
19	to bring the proceeding.
20	THE COURT: Do you want to respond?
21	MR. WISE: Well, first of all that involves a
22	chimpanzee, this involves an elephant, I don't know if by
23	that they mean no nonhuman animal under any circumstances is
24	a person.
25	The second thing is that the only way in which you

can make a determination as to whether any entity is a 1 person is if someone brings a writ of habeas corpus to 2 anyone that's permitted to do so on behalf of a detained 3 entity where the issue, the substantive issue involved is a 4 5 person, now is whether or not that is a person, someone who is a person. Now, again, you go to the fact that this is 6 7 the CPLR, so is this is a procedural rule, and it says any 8 person. You don't go to look at what the legislature meant, 9 and that they said person because it is a procedure, it's 10 not allowed to enlarge or abrogate substantive law. So you have to go to look at the common law question, whether or 11 not the detained entity is a person. And that is what 12 13 person means in Article 70. You have to -- they have to bring in the common law, whatever that might be, and there 14 15 certainly has never been obviously a case involving an 16 elephant, involving whether -- determining whether or not a 17 elephant is a person.

18 And the only way it could happen is that anyone could have, that ever brings such a determination before the 19 20 Court would be if they, if they are permitted do so. You have to, you have to make the determination, is a personhood 21 22 part of the substantive -- as part of the substantive case. 23 THE COURT: I understand. MR. WISE: Once anybody under the statute brings 24 25 it, then you make that --

THE COURT: I understand. 1 2 MR. WISE: -- decision. That's what went on in the Somerset case. You had the same thing. You had the 3 people bring whoever -- we don't know who it was -- but they 4 5 then brought the writ of habeas corpus on behalf of Somerset, and no one ever determined whether a slave was a 6 7 person in England, whether or not -- I won't beat that --8 okay? 9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. WISE: I will go over the issue. 10 THE COURT: Finally, we are doing collateral 11 12 estoppel. 13 MR. WISE: Collateral estoppel, Your Honor, I can't say --14 15 THE COURT: Does anybody want a cough drop? It 16 seems like we are having a lot of respiratory issues here. Do you need a cough drop? Anybody? 17 18 (Brief pause.) THE COURT: Okay, collateral estoppel. 19 MR. WISE: I am not sure exactly I understand the 20 collateral estoppel argument, but what I believe my brother 21 22 is saying is that that because The Nonhuman Rights Project 23 has represented chimpanzees in other cases, then they are 24 collateral estopped from representing Happy -- that's what I 25 believe is what the collateral estoppel argument my brother

is making. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, why don't we let --If he will present the argument, I will 3 MR. WISE: rebut it. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay, good. 6 Why don't I let you, Mr. Manning, respond. 7 MR. MANNING: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 8 The argument is the legal issue, this is all Yes. 9 about legal issues as you can tell from the pleadings, this 10 is not really about Happy, it's about elephants, it's about giraffes. 11 It's about animals. 12 THE COURT: 13 MR. MANNING: It's about animals. And that issue the Third Department says NRP animals never been considered 14 15 persons for the purposes of habeas corpus relief. That's what five judges in Albany had to say that determines the 16 issue. Now, they may like or dislike the ruling, but that's 17 18 the ruling. They tried to get it into the Court of Appeals, the Department including Judge Fahey denied leave on the 19 The issue's been settled. There is no doubt that it 20 issue. is made clear during oral, oral arguments. NRP has been 21 involved in each of these cases and controlled the 22 23 litigation. The background of collateral estoppel was created to avoid repeated determinations, multiple bites at 24 25 the apple once a matter has been determined, and that matter

1	has now been determined, unless the Third Department or the					
2	Court of Appeals wants to reverse the First Department,					
3	wants to reverse, that is the settled law in New York at					
4	this point.					
5	THE COURT: Thank you.					
6	MR. MANNING: It's that simple, Your Honor. My					
7	argument.					
8	THE COURT: Thank you.					
9	MR. WISE: I have argued at length because that's					
10	not the settled law in New York, and this Court not only is					
11	not bound by it, because of the dicta, but should not be.					
12	However, I think, I think I heard what I thought I heard					
13	which is because The Nonhuman Rights Project has represented					
14	other animal clients the collateral estoppel is not					
15	representing Happy					
16	THE COURT: No, I think that he said that the					
17	issue could not be, right? The issue of whether the animal					
18	is a person pursuant to the Habeas Corpus Statute or even					
19	common law has been decided, I don't think that it was					
20	specifically					
21	MR. MANNING: That is correct, Your Honor.					
22	THE COURT: towards the party.					
23	MR. WISE: Okay.					
24	MR. MANNING: That is correct.					
25	THE COURT: It goes to the issue of whether an					
l						

1 animal can be, as such as, Happy. So something similarly 2 situated can be considered a person for habeas corpus 3 reasons. MR. WISE: I spent hours arguing why that isn't 4 5 true. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. WISE: However, you can't have, you can't --8 there has to be collateral estoppel, you have to have some, 9 you have to have an identity of the parties and you also 10 have to have a prior proceeding in which, between the parties which the animal was -- The Nonhuman Rights Project 11 has never brought an application on behalf of Happy. 12 This 13 is not about The Nonhuman Rights Project, this is about Happy. And there is difference between collateral estoppel 14 15 and stare decisis. My brother may be saying you are bound 16 by some other case, but he can't reasonably believe that The Nonhuman Rights Project is collaterally estopped from 17 18 winning a matter on behalf of their client that they have represented in a lawsuit, that doesn't make any sense at 19 20 all. Plus, if I may say that this is a Court of Appeals case, says collateral estoppel did not apply in habeas 21 22 corpus cases, which is Lawrence versus Brady, 56 New York 23 181, Pages 191 to 1874. And then there is another case called Lowsaw versus Smith, saying it is settled law. 24 This 25 is 1905 settled law. That would, with the exception of the

narrow class of cases such as the custody of the infants 1 decision, habeas corpus does not create an estoppel, even 2 then on renewals of the writ. Even if we had brought a 3 lawsuit on behalf of Happy, we could bring on another 4 5 lawsuit on behalf of Happy and we would not be estopped under collateral estoppel. We could bring one hundred cases 6 7 in a row. We would never be estopped because habeas 8 corpus -- because collateral estoppel does not apply, does 9 not apply to habeas corpus. That's why there is Section 7003(b). So Section 7000, Section 7003(b) talks about under 10 what circumstances must a court allow a successive petition, 11 but under what circumstances may a court not allow a 12 13 successive habeas corpus petition, and the reason they had to do that is set out in the advisory committee notes, 14 15 7003(b) which states that that successive, quote -continues the common law and the present position of 16 New York that res judicata has not, no application to the 17 18 writ, res judicata, collateral estoppel issue precluded, claim preclusion never applied to habeas corpus except in 19 20 very, very unusual circumstances. What you have to do is look at 7003(b) and the nonhuman -- and what happened in the 21 22 First Department case. Lavery II, Justice Jaffe decided, 23 just as she decided when in a previous case that came out of the Second Department. Again, the Stanley case --24 25 THE COURT: Yes.

1MR. WISE: she decided that she would, she2would hear that case, she used her discretion under 700					
2 would hear that case, she used her discretion under 700	3(b)				
to hear it, even though we had already brought the case in					
Riverhead					
5 (Counsel directed to speak louder.)					
6 MR. WISE: Riverhead, when The Nonhuman Ri	ghts				
7 Project brought a second case on behalf of Lavery. She	then				
8 wrote a letter she's not going to allow it as a					
9 successive petition. She noted it's not collateral					
10 estoppel. It's not res judicata. They don't apply. W	hat				
11 it is is it is her using her discretion under Section					
12 7,000(b) to hear it or I'm not going hear a successi	ve				
13 petition that does not apply to this case because there	is				
14 no successive petition.					
15 If for some reason this Court ruled against u	s,				
16 and we went all the way up and lost and we came back be	fore				
17 the Court, we'd ask the Court to allow us to the Cou	rt				
18 would allow that under 7000(b) or use its discretion un	der				
19 Jaffe the second time, or saying I am not going to allo	w you				
20 to do that, but collateral estoppel and habeas corpus d	o not				
21 apply to sorry collateral estoppel and habeas cor	pus				
22 do not apply to					
23 THE COURT: Habeas corpus.					
24 MR. WISE: habeas corpus.					
25 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.					

1 Please respond. This is your last opportunity to respond, 2 3 Mr. Manning. MR. MANNING: Your Honor, rather than relying on 4 5 1874 and 1905 cases that were cited to the case, really two authorities, one of the cases Hatzman in our brief, a Fourth 6 7 Department case from 1993, and the Spaulding case, from the 8 Third Department 2009, squarely holding the doctrine of 9 collateral estoppel are issues only decided in earlier habeas corpus proceedings. That is in addition to the 10 limitations under 7002, and we offer that to the Court for 11 12 the Court's reference. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MANNING: Furthermore, the website contained 14 15 the statement that they will lead, I am quoting now, the fight to secure legal rights for nonhuman animals through a 16 state by state, country by country, long-term litigation 17 18 campaign, that may be fine, but to bring the same issue to the State Courts over and over again, which is being done 19 right now, is not something that the Collateral Estoppel 20 Doctrine would permit, and we have invoked that doctrine 21 22 here to provide an additional ground for dismissal of the 23 petition. Thank you so much, counsels. 24 THE COURT: 25 MR. WISE: Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: One last statement.
2	MR. WISE: If that was true, the 1930's NAACP
3	Legal Defense Fund could have tried to overturn Plessy
4	versus Ferguson, and in 1930 they could have lost the case.
5	And at that point it is my brother saying that the NAACP was
6	then permanently barred under collateral estoppel or
7	res judicata by establishing or overturning Plessy versus
8	Ferguson, then there would never be a Brown versus the Board
9	of Ed, that they got one shot at it with one plaintiff and
10	they were never allowed to bring another lawsuit on behalf
11	of another plaintiff. I don't think so. I don't think
12	that's I don't think that's collateral estoppel or
13	res judicata.
14	THE COURT: Thank you all.
15	Does anybody have anything that they have to tell
16	me that's not in the briefs?
17	MR. MANNING: It's a procedural point, I'm not sure
18	what the new date is for the motions for the amicus filing.
19	You mentioned an October 7th date?
20	THE COURT: An October 7th date, but if we can
21	handle it today, not withstanding that that party is not
22	here, assuming that you guys don't want to come back on
23	October 7th?
24	MR. MANNING: Well, I because, Your Honor, it
25	wasn't clear from the rules whether he has to be here in

1	person, and because Professor Cupp had filed his amicus
2	brief in the Appellate Division and was allowed to do that
3	without a personal appearance, I think he should be able to
4	have the opportunity to appear in court and argue a motion
5	on this.
6	THE COURT: If it's necessary.
7	MR. MANNING: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Are you objecting to us just taking
9	the amicus brief on submission?
10	MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, Your Honor, we objecting to
11	both.
12	THE COURT: You want to come back on the 7th of
13	October?
14	MR. SCHNEIDER: I am happy to, if that's
15	necessary.
16	MR. MANNING: That's fine, Your Honor.
17	MR. SCHNEIDER: I am happy to on 7th of October.
18	THE COURT: Hold on one second.
19	We are just going to check on the availability of
20	the date of the 7th, because we do sometimes have other
21	cases. Sometimes we have. So, before you leave, the clerk
22	will give you the exact date.
23	Thank you so much.
24	MR. SCHNEIDER: One other thing, Your Honor, we
25	have one more pending motion which I am not sure if it would

1 be appropriate because it is a discovery, technically a discovery motion. 2 THE COURT: I believe we have it. 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: They served a notice to admit on 4 5 this back in December, which we believe is palpably improper. We object to the vast majority of requests in 6 7 there, but I don't know if that's something we have time 8 for, or is that something that would go before you? 9 THE COURT: I don't know if you need to argue that 10 unless you feel some need because the papers are here, we have them. 11 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 13 THE COURT: If we need further argument, we'll ask for it. 14 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay, if I could just say one 16 thing about the protective order on the -- while we are here? 17 18 THE COURT: Sure. MR. MANNING: Are we going to argue it or are we 19 20 submitting? THE COURT: We are submitting it, but he said he 21 22 wanted it make one point. 23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Just if I could summarize the motion as we have done with the others ones, very quickly? 24 25 THE COURT: Actually, it is a discovery motion, we

do a lot of them, we can look into it and since we know the 1 facts and circumstances surrounding this case --2 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. THE COURT: -- I know that you would love to, love 4 5 to argue on the record, but it's on the record. If it's in the brief, we'll get it. 6 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: I would just point out for the 8 fifty-eight total, fifty-eight requests, we only saw fit to, 9 saw fit to admit to four, and admitted Happy is an Asian 10 Elephant. Yeah -- admits Happy is not a human being and admits PAWS is not open to the general public which indeed 11 is what we have been demanding, she deserves her privacy, 12 13 she should not be on display. And the rest of them ask about previous cases. They are trying to make the 14 15 collateral estoppel -- in summary it's just for discovery and should not be in a habeas corpus case to begin with. 16 THE COURT: Okay, we'll take a look at it, unless 17 18 you have to say something that's not already in the brief, we'll take a look at the briefs. 19 20 MR. MANNING: We just spent fifteen minutes of oral argument on collateral estoppel, a good portion of the 21 22 request pertains to what the role of The Nonhuman Rights 23 Project has been from the prior litigations to show that they are the real party in interest and should be estopped 24 25 from bringing this proceeding. They are highly relevant and

1 they sought a protective order on eighty percent of the 2 requests. 3 THE COURT: I am aware of that. Thank you. Thank you so much. 4 MR. WISE: Your Honor, may I just ask one thing? 5 This is a motion for preliminary injunction? 6 7 THE COURT: You should check with the clerk 8 because we do have nine motions, possibly ten. 9 MR. WISE: I believe that's one. 10 THE COURT: If you want to make sure all of the cases are here, unless there is something that you think 11 12 that -- unless you think there is something you need to come 13 back to argue personally, we have it all, and we can read through the briefs, really we can. 14 15 MR. WISE: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: And if we do need further argument, 16 17 we'll let you know. 18 Thank you, Your Honor, very much. MR. WISE: THE COURT: So, please check with the clerk before 19 20 you leave to make sure that we have all of the motions. 21 Thank you. 22 **REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that the foregoing 23 is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above matter. 24 25 Peter M. Kent

Senior Court Reporter

James Breheny, et al	Γ	Γ		September 23, 2019
	5:22;16:4	affidavits (13)	49:19	animal (28)
•	actions (1)	8:4;51:15;64:4,12;	allegedly (1)	7:16,24;50:24,25;
Α	96:8	65:8;66:21;67:14;	38:14	52:6,9;57:1;58:14;
	activity (1)	68:4,24;69:4,21;81:7;	alleging (1)	59:9;60:10;62:25;
abilities (1)	22:20	101:16	50:23	63:2,5,15;65:1;66:19;
81:9	actual (1)	affirmation (1)	allow (8)	71:1;72:8,24;73:1,1;
ability (3)	10:18	98:16	31:2;99:11;113:11,	94:1,13;107:23;
35:8;46:7;96:7	actually (30)	affirmed (4)	12;114:8,17,18,19	111:14,17;112:1,11
able (17)	9:13;10:20;11:2,13;	27:10;44:3;75:2,3	allowed (9)	animals (28)
34:19,23,24;35:10;	14:6;24:22;25:12;	affirms (1)	27:16;39:24;42:10;	4:15;5:11;32:5,11,
36:14,17;45:6;47:1;	28:24;29:18;33:15;	44:20	50:6;63:24;97:16;	4.15,52:15;60:2;62:14;
59:13;65:21;66:12;		afforded (1)		63:7,13,16,17,18,25;
75:18,18;76:14;	37:3;40:11,12;42:1;	96:10	108:10;116:10;117:2	
92:25;99:7;117:3	43:21;46:3;47:14,16;	affords (2)	allowing (1) 97:7	64:7;72:7,10;79:17,
abolitionists (1)	49:11;50:23;53:24;			24;81:1;83:19,21;
106:19	54:18;55:2;68:9;	76:11,15	almost (3)	86:23;94:20;110:12,
abortion (4)	82:19;90:25;102:13;	afraid (1)	66:25;74:15,15	13,14;115:16
61:16,18;77:10,11	105:20;107:2;118:25	41:8	alone (8)	announced (1)
abrogate (1)	addition (2)	Africa (2)	29:3,3;35:11;67:22;	6:12
108:10	7:12;115:10	68:7,9	70:16;71:1;72:21;	answered (2)
Absolutely (3)	additional (7)	African (2)	90:23	74:7;107:13
14:4;23:1;89:9	8:24;11:25;12:24;	68:11,15	alternative (9)	antebellum (2)
abuse (1)	16:10;17:6;20:6;	afternoon (1)	4:16;11:5,6;12:8,	106:14,15
91:24	115:22	10:13	16;17:5,6;19:9;21:11	Antislavery (3)
accelerate (1)	address (4)	Again (16)	although (1)	106:16,19,24
99:7	10:17;72:19;87:18;	3:4;19:18;20:20;	53:20	antisocial (1)
accept (1)	91:16	23:1,8;27:9;32:16;	always (5)	73:19
16:17	addressed (2)	60:2;90:21;91:14;	53:1,13;76:24;	apologize (1)
accepted (3)	5:4;23:12	99:11,22;104:7;	103:16;106:25	23:8
87:12;97:5;98:11	addresses (1)	108:6;113:24;115:19	Amazon (1)	apologized (2)
access (1)	72:20	against (13)	56:18	36:3;37:15
7:25	addressing (5)	25:10;29:1,16,20;	amend (1)	appeal (10)
accommodating (1)	43:9,16;44:3;65:8;	34:17;37:21;38:12,	19:6	9:8;26:2,3,6,19,24;
65:1	74:22	14;47:11;63:23;	amended (2)	27:1;38:24,25;39:11
accommodation (2)	adjudicated (1)	107:16,17;114:15	19:7,10	appealed (3)
9:12;22:1	13:25	aggregate (1)	American (7)	25:25;26:21;42:13
accord (1)	Administration (1)	53:10	55:15,21;87:18;	Appeals (29)
80:10	34:7	aggressive (1)	101:17;106:15,19,23	7:11,12,19;26:7,22;
accordance (1)	administrator (2)	73:18	Americans (2)	27:23;28:5,16,21,22;
8:24	72:4,24	ago (6)	55:23;56:7	30:5;32:25;33:2,3;
according (4)	admission (1)	4:7;52:5,15;58:10;	amicus (15)	39:1;44:4;61:21;
68:19;76:10;87:24;	90:22	59:25;63:6	10:6;29:4;87:16,21;	76:19;80:14;82:10;
101:21	admit (2)	agree (5)	97:1,3,4,7,14,16;	88:25;89:6,12,19;
accountable (1)	118:4;119:9	3:12;40:17;56:25;	99:16;100:2;116:18;	104:9,14;110:18;
96:8	admits (3)	78:5;106:7	117:1,9	111:2;112:20
acknowledgment (2)	84:14;119:10,11	agreed (1)	among (1)	appear (1)
38:2,3	admitted (5)	37:25	5:9	117:4
acoustics (1)	6:17;24:8;85:9,17;	ahead (2)	amount (1)	appearance (2)
3:21	119:9	9:4;45:2	67:15	3:7;117:3
acre (8)	admittedly (1)	ain't (1)	analogizing (1)	appearances (1)
66:17;69:15;71:10,	9:11	29:2	94:6	9:10
12,14;73:12;90:15,16	adult (1)	aired (1)	analogous (1)	appeared (2)
acres (5)	79:18	96:21	90:10	32:9,15
65:4;67:1,3;69:18;	advanced (1)	al (1)	analysis (10)	appears (3)
71:16	98:20	97:14	5:18;45:10,23;	35:9;40:10;97:18
across (1)	advantage (1)	alas (1)	75:20;95:6;96:16,22,	Appellate (23)
	21:24	86:2	24;97:5;98:5	4:13;5:9,16,19;6:4,
90:16 Act (3)	advisory (1)	Albany (1)	Anglo (1)	7;7:13;8:13;9:25;
Act (3)	113:14	110:16	36:16	19:3;21:5,12,18;22:3;
72:24;73:1,2	affidavit (13)	alive (2)	Anglo-American (4)	25:10;26:23;29:1;
acted (1) 22.4	14:24;67:13;68:3,6;	56:23,23	34:20;36:16;55:9;	33:15;47:10;89:21;
23:4	69:10,11,12,14;70:25;	allege (4)	86:5	94:2;96:21;117:2
acting (3)	71:19;72:23;91:2;	49:18,20,23;51:13	Anglo-English (1)	apple (1)
91:23;105:11,12 action (2)		alleged (1)	76:24	110:25
	101:14	alleged (1)	/0./4	(1,0,2,0)

······	
application (3)	21:16;76:2
98:1;112:12;113:17	Article (6)
applied (1)	14:19;26:4
113:19	15;95:12;10
apply (9)	articles (4)
26:8;39:1;112:21;	29:4;86:19:
113:8,9;114:10,13,21,	artificial (1)
22	67:2
approach (1)	ascertaining
80:15	51:21
approached (1)	ascription (1)
106:13	86:8
appropriate (8)	Asian (6)
20:22;64:21,23;	4:5;68:12,1
71:15;89:6,13;	119:9
104:18;118:1	asocial (1)
appropriateness (1)	70:15
93:19	asocially (1)
approved (1)	70:16
106:14	aspect (1)
approximate (1)	9:20
32:4	assertive (1)
approximately (3)	96:5
4:7;60:20;65:4	assessment (2
Argentina (4)	80:23;81:4
57:8,10;83:23;94:4	assigned (1)
arguable (3)	42:1
60:21,21,23	Association (
argue (20) 24:3,24;28:1,6,15;	99:17
31:4;34:9,19;35:18;	assume (1) 5:13
40:21;55:6;62:12;	assumed (4)
63:13;86:15;106:23,	29:18;30:22
24;117:4;118:9,19;	32:8
119:5	assuming (3)
argued (9)	19:19;105:2
29:7,25;40:16;	116:22
45:13;52:10;55:22;	attach (3)
77:14;88:14;111:9	79:5,23;84:
arguing (13)	attack (1)
13:2;24:11;32:1,7;	96:23
49:4;52:17;54:25,25;	attacked (1)
58:2;86:22;106:6,7;	70:23
112:4	attempt (2)
argument (43)	9:16;47:9
3:9;4:24;9:16;10:1;	attempted (1)
13:7,8,9;34:3,10;	23:1
45:13;46:5;47:7,20;	attempting (3
49:15;52:10,11;	69:22;98:10
63:12;64:3;74:9,10;	attention (6)
80:25;82:11;84:22;	33:7;37:15;
85:3,10,14;88:15;	19;84:12;8:
95:1;96:17,18;99:12;	Attorney (6)
101:1,5;102:4,16;	10:18;17:20
107:11;109:21,25;	55:22;98:10
110:3,8;111:7;	attorneys (2)
118:13;119:21	97:17;99:22
arguments (12)	attracted (1)
6:16;9:17;25:11;	33:7
30:4;34:11;43:10;	August (1)
74:23;84:7;92:6;93:3;	15:21
103:6;110:21	aunts (1)
around (2)	65.12

:16:76:21:94:14 authorities (1) 115:6 4:19:26:4:93:14, authority (10) 5;95:12;108:13 11:20;12:4;15:6; 17:18;19:24;21:5,13, 9:4;86:19;87:3,4 13.19:23:2 authorized (1) 9:1 authorizes (1) ertaining (1) 105:24 iption (1) automatically (6) 24:25;25:2,4;54:22; 63:3;75:20 autonomous (2) 5;68:12,14,15,17; 63:19;91:3 availability (1) 117:19 available (4) 4:15;93:23;94:1,10 avoid (1) 110:24 aware (4) 9:3;56:12;60:9; ssment (2) 91:4 0:23;81:4 away (2) 46:13;54:2 B ociation (1) baby (1) 59:1 back (21) 9:18:30:23:31:10: 6:18;7:18;34:11; 43:22;48:20;50:10; (3)53:17;55:17;57:22; 9:19;105:21; 71:11,18;73:7;74:3; 99:11;100:3,14; 107:15;114:16; 9:5,23;84:15 116:22;117:12;118:5 background (1) 110:23 bad (2) 67:17:72:18 **Bannister (9)** 4:16;6:17;7:4;8:17; mpted (1) 10:23;17:8;21:9,12; 23:11 mpting (3) **Bannister's** (1) 9:22;98:10;99:6 19:13 ntion (6) **Bar** (1) 3:7:37:15:74:17. 24:23 9;84:12;85:14 Barona (1) orney (6) 86:15 0:18;17:20;24:5; barred (1) 5:22;98:16,17 116:6 rneys (2) based (6) 7:17;99:22 4:12;8:12;9:24; 43:25;79:12;95:8 basically (2) 70:1:72:13 bathing (1) 8:7 bear (21)

18:24;34:19,24; 35:3.10:36:14.18.20. 21,22,23;46:7,15; 55:3.4.14:57:20: 75:18;76:14;79:17; 96:7 bearing (1) 44:22 beat (1) 109:7 beating (1) 59:7 became (3) 42:3;67:25,25 become (3) 67:2;70:15;73:19 becomes (1) 71:5 began (1) 52:5 begin (5) 3:9;24:20;29:14; 30:13;119:16 beginning (2) 54:12;105:10 behalf (33) 4:5;5:24;24:9; 25:23;27:7;38:21; 39:23;44:15,18;51:7; 55:21,24:57:9:58:19; 59:9:60:16:79:20: 91:20.23:101:15: 105:13,16,22,25; 107:14;108:3;109:5; 112:12,18;113:4,5; 114:7;116:10 behavior (2) 64:15:68:9 behind (1) 102:14 beings (2) 35:7:95:14 believes (1) 86:25 bell (2) 21:8;43:14 belong (1) 73:9 beneficiaries (1) 63:1 beneficiary (3) 63:1,9,10 benefit (3) 96:1,16,17 best (4) 74:3;101:11;103:2, 0 better (6) 30:11;50:11,11,16; 69:15:80:15 bifurcation (1) 60:24 Bill (3)

September 23, 2019

50:9,9,15 bind (1) 44:13 binds (2) 44:14,23 biological (3) 78:13,20;80:11 **Biology** (3) 71:25;80:5,7 birthday (1) 4:8 bit (2) 49:16:66:9 bites (1) 110:24 Black (1) 54:1 Blacks (24) 35:23,23;36:1;37:2, 13,13,18,25,25;40:19; 46:1;56:6;82:18,21; 84:8,13,13,16,16,22, 22;85:7,8;95:8 bless (1) 88:11 blood (1) 25:4 blossomed (1) 67:25 Board (2) 59:10:116:8 bonded (1) 71:5 book (1) 53:24 books (1) 87:9 both (16) 35:12;36:23,24; 52:21:58:15:59:15: 75:6,24;82:6;83:12, 13:85:16.25:97:16; 104:10;117:11 bound (12) 40:16,17,18;42:8; 43:24;44:16;74:15, 16,18;75:9;111:11; 112:15 Brady (1) 112:22 Brazil (1) 57:12 breaching (1) 50:24 break (2) 34:5;43:2 Breheny (6) 3:2;71:25;72:2,7; 98:23;101:17 Brian (2) 36:3;37:14 brief (21) 6:3;26:1;87:16,21;

around (3)

65:13

07.2 2 4 7 7.09.5 7	90.1 5 9 9 24.	91:1;96:5	20.2.42.24.45.11.	abild (1)
97:2,3,4,7,7;98:5,7, 10,12;99:16;101:7;	89:1,5,8,8,24; 90:11;104:14;116:8	capable (2)	39:2;42:24;45:11; 48:13;53:22;59:17;	child (1) 91:24
109:18;115:6;117:2,	building (1)	51:21;82:20	48.13,35.22,39.17, 60:5;77:9;86:14,16;	children (4)
9;119:6,18	73:12	capacity (14)	88:25;93:17;106:16;	35:4;46:8,14;50:10
briefer (1)	bullocks (1)	36:14,18,22,24;	107:1,5,6;109:23;	chimpanzee (39)
4:24	59:12	51:2,4;54:21;60:25;	110:22;112:22;113:1,	5:7;6:5;27:7,13,14;
briefing (1)	bury (1)	61:1;82:2,5,6,14;96:6	6;115:5,6;117:21;	29:19,21,24;30:1,8,
9:20	21:6	car (1)	119:14	16,23,25;38:21;39:23,
Briefly (5)	business (2)	31:23	cause (7)	24;42:2,3;57:8,13;
21:2;35:21;49:10;	15:9,19	care (4)	5:22;14:23;16:3;	60:17,22;64:10,11;
51:11;102:23	buying (1)	8:6;69:25;71:10;	18:4;25:23,25;43:11	78:24;79:8,10,13;
briefs (6)	46:25	101:19	caused (1)	80:16,17;81:11;90:5,
10:6;29:5;44:13;	Byrn (31)	cared (1)	77:24	6,13;93:21;96:6;
56:4;116:16;119:19	61:4,8,13,13,15;	50:5	causes (1)	104:8;105:22;107:22
bring (24)	76:7,7,8,8,20;77:3,18;	careful (1)	22:16	chimpanzees (10)
5:12;30:16;31:3;	78:8,12,12;79:1,6,14,	8:6	Cecilia (2)	25:24;64:1,4,8;
34:11;39:24;42:10;	25;80:1,4,8,9;81:25;	caretaker (1)	57:8,9	70:12;80:24;90:8;
50:7,14;51:23;55:24;	82:6,11,17;85:16;	101:17	celebrating (1)	93:18;105:17;109:23
69:23;72:19;84:12;	92:19;101:8;104:20	caretakers (2)	4:7	Chimpanzee's (2)
91:20;105:19;106:4,	B-y-r-n (1)	71:5,9	Celle (1)	90:10;96:6
18;107:19;108:14;	61:7	cars (2)	101:18	Chinese (1)
109:4;113:4,6;	Byrns (1)	31:23;32:2	centuries (4)	56:7
115:18;116:10	103:9	Case (174)	34:20;52:15;53:15;	Chipman (3)
bringing (7)	<i>a</i>	3:1,6,14;4:17;5:6,7,	56:3	82:24;83:8,14
6:12;39:13;51:7;	С	11;6:10,12,14,18,21;	Century (4)	choice (2)
58:18;85:13;86:3;		7:5,6,13,21,23;8:2,11,	24:23;56:5;82:25;	70:19,21
119:25	cage (4)	13,23;9:2,19;10:25;	88:4	choose (3)
brings (8)	27:14;90:7,13,14	14:23;18:22;19:2,11;	ceremony (3)	8:22;21:18;70:17
33:9;38:8;50:10;	California (2)	20:8,22;21:9,16;	59:6,7,8	chooses (3)
71:18;107:15;108:2,	90:20;93:25	25:14;26:1,9,11,16,	cert (1)	5:19;16:9;21:11
19,24	call (1)	18;27:9;28:2,7;29:2,	107:2	chose (2)
broke (2)	38:17	2,2,9;30:6,7,16;31:20,	certain (7)	8:17;21:12
31:23;107:3	called (10)	21,22;32:6,7,10,10,	5:14;25:23;59:13;	chosen (3) 20:13,14;98:12
Bronx (14) 4:6,11;6:18;10:25;	27:7;31:21;33:19; 59:9;77:20;85:20;	14,17,18,18,21,23; 33:14,17,18,20,22;	60:3;63:16,18;104:8 certainly (4)	Chucho (5)
4.0,11,0.18,10.23, 18:15;49:20;51:15;	86:14;88:21;89:1;	34:1;37:14;39:4,11,	60:23;72:9;103:4;	57:20,21;58:2,6,7
69:16;72:4;73:10;	112:24	13;40:3,4,5,13;41:21;	108:15	C-h-u-c-h-o (1)
93:25;94:10;101:15,	calling (1)	42:2,3;44:16;45:4;	challenging (1)	57:20
20	68:5	47:12;48:3,5;49:19;	101:22	Circuit (1)
Brook (1)	came (6)	50:3,4,8,21,22;51:18;	chance (1)	77:24
26:13	36:5;37:16;41:20;	52:13,13,14,14,19,19,	70:17	circumstances (7)
brother (22)	55:17;113:23;114:16	20,24;53:23,24,25;	change (5)	33:3;43:13;107:23;
24:22;25:5,8;28:25;	campaign (1)	54:15,16;58:1,6,13;	6:25;37:15;52:17;	113:11,12,20;119:2
47:10;49:15;55:6;	115:18	59:3,5;60:7;61:3,5,8,	93:20,23	citation (1)
68:4;69:22;71:4,19;	can (61)	14,15,25;71:21;	changed (1)	89:3
77:14;83:1;91:18;	3:22;9:16;11:25;	74:21;75:8;76:7,8,8,	43:13	citations (2)
102:8;103:19;104:6;	12:20,24;13:12,24;	13;77:1,18,20,21,25;	changing (2)	42:25;43:1
105:1;109:21,25;	17:17;24:24;25:13;	78:5,9;79:21,24;80:5,	84:14,14	cite (19)
112:15;116:5	29:16;32:5,12;34:5,	8,9;81:10,11,12;	chapters (1)	12:4;15:11;18:22;
brothers (1)	11,14;36:20,20;	82:11;85:20;88:21;	87:8	19:3;20:16,16,17;
24:25	40:12;41:18;46:12;	89:22,23,24;92:19;	charged (1)	41:5,7,9,12;42:17,21;
brother's (2)	47:7;48:14;53:17,20;	93:18,21;96:12;99:7;	31:24	44:5,8;52:25;75:8;
67:14;69:6	59:20,21;61:9;62:14,	101:8,9,9;103:8;	charges (1)	82:9;86:13
brought (27)	24;63:7;66:8,23;68:9;	104:14;105:15;107:1;	90:21	cited (19)
4:4,7,9;6:10,14;	70:4,11;72:16;74:3;	108:15,22;109:3;	check (1)	15:13;22:15;32:6,
37:14;38:20,22;	79:22;80:6,6;83:10,	112:16,21,23;113:22,	117:19	10;35:20;41:3;45:10,
39:22;54:4,5;55:16;	12;88:5;89:10,11;	23,24;114:2,3,7,13;	checked (1)	11,22;77:21;79:24,
59:9;70:18;73:23;	90:1;92:2;100:13,15,	115:5,7,7;116:4;	37:11 Chan (5)	25;85:19;86:14;87:5;
93:21;103:23;104:6;	25;102:9;103:6;	119:2,16 (35)	Chen (5)	88:11,21;107:4;115:5
105:21;106:9,16,22; 109:5;112:12;113:3;	104:9,10;108:1; 110:9;112:1,2;	cases (35) 7:11;8:11;9:3;	18:10,10,11,12,17 chief (5)	cites (10) 80:3,4;82:10;85:20,
109:5;112:12;113:5; 114:3,7	116:20;119:1	15:11,13;22:13,15;	36:1,3;37:14;55:15;	21;86:14;87:4,7,8,8
Brown (8)	capabilities (2)	26:9;30:12;35:20;	72:11	citing (2)
	capabilities (2)	20.7,50.12,55.20,	12.11	ciulig (2)

45:16,21 citizen (1) 94:11 citizens (2) 87:25;88:2 City (4) 56:10,19;73:8,9 civil (2) 5:15;107:3 claim (8) 8:3;15:3;16:23; 19:23,25,25;32:11; 113:19 claimed (3) 52:9;55:8;88:3 claiming (10) 11:9,12,20;12:3,22; 23:10;49:24;51:24, 25:54:6 claims (1) 91:18 clarifying (1) 25:6 class (1) 113:1 clean (1) 69:25 clear (22) 8:11,17;9:14,19; 10:21:13:9:20:11; 21:8:25:3:26:20; 28:25:30:22:43:14: 46:23,24:60:20:62:2; 69:13;82:2;107:7; 110:21;116:25 clearly (7) 14:17:15:2:58:17; 81:14;83:15;91:21; 94:18 CLERK (3) 3:1:100:14:117:21 client (2) 69:6;112:18 clients (1) 111:14 close (1) 90:23 cognition (1) 68:18 cognitive (3) 81:9:91:1:96:5 cognitively (1) 63:20 Cole (3) 19:2;48:3,5 collateral (30) 5:23;39:15,25; 40:25;102:11,17,20; 109:11,13,19,21,24, 25:110:23:111:14; 112:8,14,21;113:6,8, 18;114:9,20,21;115:9, 20;116:6,12;119:15,

21 collaterally (1) 112:17 collectively (2) 35:7:47:6 Colombia (6) 56:19;57:18,24; 58:7;83:23;94:4 Colombian (3) 56:17:58:4.10 coma (2) 46:9,15 comas (1) 35:5 comatose (1) 79:18 comfort (1) 101:25 comfortable (1) 22:12 commander (1) 55:18 comment (2) 7:9;68:3 commentary (1) 26:4 commenting (1) 24:21 committed (1) 90:3 committee (1) 113:14 common (23) 32:4.11:52:12.13. 14,15,16,19,21;53:9, 12,18;54:3,3,11; 79:22;103:16,16,21; 108:11,14;111:19; 113:16 companion (1) 70:24 compared (1) 72:1 complained (1) 82:21 complaining (1) 51:6 complaint (3) 19:6,8,10 complete (3) 7:19;9:8;96:16 completely (3) 15:12;62:2;90:17 complex (5) 29:8;63:20,20; 65:14,17 compliance (2) 20:17,18 comradely (1) 25:1 concede (4) 13:23:16:21:17:15; 37:22

conceded (1) 15:25 conceding (1) 12:5 conceivably (1) 83:19 concern (1) 6:15 concerning (1) 78:10 conclusion (2) 18:8;79:10 conclusions (1) 79:8 concurrence (3) 28:19;80:15;88:22 concurrent (1) 101:10 concurring (8) 7:16;60:14;77:15, 20,24;78:2,5;87:16 condition (2) 51:25;75:25 conditions (6) 49:25;50:16;51:14; 93:20,23;101:22 conferred (1) 3:12 confident (1) 26:19 confinement (6) 49:25:50:3.19:71:2: 93:20.23 confirmed (1) 85:9 conflict (2) 23:17;104:13 confused (3) 11:11;28:12;45:15 connected (1) 86:9 **Connecticut** (1) 6:3 consented (1) 101:12 **Conservation** (2) 69:6;101:16 consider (6) 13:15,24;21:20; 22:4,9;23:19 considered (10) 16:4;51:19,20;52:6; 79:9,10:94:21:98:2; 110:14;112:2 consistently (1) 81:15 constantly (1) 53:16 **Constitution** (1) 9:23 constitutional (8) 52:14:56:17:57:24; 58:4,10,15;59:15;

60:4 contacted (1) 36:1 contained (1) 115:14 contemporary (1) 87:23 context (2) 58:23;93:21 continue (1) 49:6 Continued (1) 49:1 continues (1) 113:16 continuing (1) 17:16 contract (10) 86:2,17,24;87:20, 23,25;88:3,5,15;92:8 contracts (1) 86:10 contradict (1) 76:6 contradicted (1) 79:14 contradicts (2) 92:18,21 controlled (1) 110:22 controlling (1) 19:11 controls (1) 96:11 convenience (1) 13:3 convicted (1) 74:1 Cooke (1) 55:18 copies (3) 42:24;43:5;48:12 copy(2)17:19;100:10 Corkey (3) 77:20,21,25 C-o-r-k-e-y (1) 77:21 corporation (2) 32:2;80:6 corporations (5) 32:5,12;56:9,9; 79:23 corpus (91) 4:4,9,14;5:5,12,18; 7:25;9:3;14:16,20; 26:8;29:6,10,14,19; 31:3,7;39:1;43:12; 49:19;50:3,4,7,15,21, 22:51:19:52:19.20, 20;53:15;54:4;55:19, 20,24;57:9,10,21,23; 58:4;62:10;72:16,19;

September 23, 2019

74:25:79:11.19; 80:19:81:3:88:18: 89:6,13,20:90:1; 91:25:93:14.15.20.22: 94:1,14,22;96:10; 103:15,20,21,21,22, 24;105:14,16,19,21, 24;106:20;108:2; 109:5;110:15;111:18; 112:2,22:113:2,8,9, 13,19;114:20,21,23, 24:115:10:119:16 correctly (1) 82:24 correspondence (4) 78:14;84:15,17,19 cough (2) 109:15,17 Counsel (17) 3:11;5:2;22:5,18; 24:10:53:11:70:20; 81:18;86:7,20;97:10, 11,13,15;103:25; 104:12;114:5 Counselor (2) 10:11;11:15 counsels (2) 24:25;115:24 country (3) 68:20;115:17,17 County (13) 4:10;7:5;10:23; 11:1:18:15:21:5; 23:15;38:23;39:3,5,6, 12:42:3 course (5) 33:18;35:1;43:6; 63:4:92:4 **COURT (526)** 3:3,8,15,16,19,21, 24;4:2,19,21;5:19,21; 6:2,13,20,24;7:2,7,8, 11,12,14,19,20,22; 8:15,20,21,22;9:5,9, 12;10:2,4,7,9,11,15, 17,24;11:7,14,17,24, 25;12:11,18,19,24; 13:1,3,6,13,14,18,21, 24;14:1,4,11;15:5,16, 18,20;16:2,8,12,16, 24;17:1,2,13,19,20, 22;18:5,7,11,14,16, 19;19:1,8,21;20:2,4,5, 6,10,11,21,23,25; 21:4,11,17,20,21,23, 25;22:1,5,9,21;23:9, 14,15,16,18,22,24; 24:3,7,12,15,17;25:6, 13,16,19,21;26:7,10, 11,15,21,22,25;27:2, 5,9,10,11,12,18,20,23; 28:1,4,5,9,12,15,16, 19,21,22,23;29:22,24;

				- ·
30:5,7,10,14,18,21;	Courts (3)	87:5,6	Defense (1)	Departments (6)
31:5,12,16,18,20;	9:23;53:23;115:19	deadline (1)	116:3	25:10;27:4;29:1;
32:6,8,13,16,19,21,25,	Court's (3)	15:2	defined (4)	38:14;93:16;97:6
25;33:2,2,8,12,15,24;	74:8;81:4;115:12	deal (4)	36:10;81:15,24,25	Department's (3)
34:2,4,6,14,21,22,25;	cow (2)	34:8;47:16;75:19;	definition (4)	37:20;44:19;95:6
35:8,15,16;36:6,8,10,	59:5,8	86:24	36:2;80:17;95:8,9	depend (2)
13;37:6;38:1,7,10,19,	CPLR (15)	dealership (1)	definitive (1)	80:23;81:3
22,25;39:4,7,17,17,	8:25;11:8,19;14:15;	31:23	95:17	depends (1)
18,20,21;40:3,6,8,14,	26:4;39:16;40:1;42:9;	dealing (1)	Degree (2)	86:23
22,24;41:2,5,7,10,12,	75:1;91:20;103:20;	8:2	71:25;88:10	depressed (1)
14,18,23,25;42:5,12,	104:1;105:10;106:3;	deals (1)	delays (1)	73:19
17,19,22,24;43:1,2,4,	108:7	40:25	9:2	derives (2)
10,17,18,18,19;44:5,	create (2)	Dean (2)	delivered (1)	86:1;88:18
8,11,14,14,21,23,25;	87:25;113:2	82:12,12	81:6	description (1)
45:2,4,5,8,15,19,21,	created (1)	December (5)	demanding (1)	86:17
25;46:2,19,21;47:13,	110:24	14:9,25;15:2;100:3;	119:12	deserves (1)
15,19,24;48:2,4,6,9,	creates (1)	118:5	demonstrable (6)	119:12
13,14,17,19;49:2,9,	87:25	decide (6)	37:24;45:12;75:7,	destroying (1)
13,16,22;50:1,13,18;	creation (2)	4:21;20:21;31:11,	11,13;87:13	31:24
51:9;52:2,23,25;53:1, 3,7;54:16,18,24;55:9,	88:1,2	13;61:6;95:8	demonstrably (12)	detail (4) 5:17;8:5;33:24;
13,25;56:18,25;57:1,	crimes (1) 74:1	decided (17) 5:4;7:3;18:14;20:2;	30:3;35:18;37:19,	35:14
4,6,13,17,22,23,24;	criticisms (1)	21:9;26:6;30:1;38:25;	23;47:8,12;76:1,3,4; 85:16,24;86:13	detailed (1)
58:4,5,6,8,10,12,14,	97:8	43:18,19;77:23;95:2;	demonstrate (2)	95:7
17,21,23;59:2,4,11,	crystal (1)	111:19;113:22,23;	87:2;96:18	detained (3)
14,20,25;60:5,7,15,	30:22	114:1;115:9	denied (23)	106:6;108:3,12
18;61:12,21;62:4,6,	Cupp (11)	deciding (7)	7:22;11:23,23;12:6,	detainee (3)
16,19,21;64:16,22;	86:21;87:10,17,19;	22:10,12;29:18;	23;13:2,23,25;16:1,	31:1;88:19;105:25
65:6,10,20,25;66:3,5,	88:6,16;96:24;97:1,2;	30:23;31:10;32:9;	13,22;17:8,11,12,14,	detention (5)
16,23;67:4,9,15,18;	98:13;117:1	42:2	15;19:8,15,17;20:19;	29:25;51:25;72:20,
68:2,12,16,22,25;	Cupps' (2)	decision (38)	28:6;84:18;110:19	21;106:7
00.2, 12, 10, 22, 23,			20.0,01.10,110.17	21,100.7
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,	97:5,24	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3;	denies (1)	determination (7)
				determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4;
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4)	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1)
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136)	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2)
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24; 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8)
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24; 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18; 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10;
$\begin{array}{c} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6;
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24; 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18; 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19; 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10, 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24; 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18; 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19; 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10, 13,13,15;92:2,5,10, 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21;	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1)
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24; 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18; 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19; 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10, 13,13,15;92:2,5,10, 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8, 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16
69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9, 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9, 10,15,21;76:5,19; 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11, 17,22;79:2;80:2,14; 81:13,19,22;82:10,22; 83:22,24;84:2,6,24; 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18; 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19; 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10, 13,13,15;92:2,5,10, 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8, 11,18,22;94:5,6,17; 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1)
$\begin{array}{c} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16
$\begin{array}{c} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7)
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4;
$\begin{array}{r} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18;
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11;	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16 declined (6)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1)
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20;	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11;	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16 declined (6) 7:11,12,14;43:11,	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1)
$\begin{array}{r} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16 declined (6) 7:11,12,14;43:11, 17;74:24	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6)
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16 declined (6) 7:11,12,14;43:11, 17;74:24 deeply (2) 65:17;86:19 defective (1)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5)
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ 111:2,5,8,10,16,22,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2) 65:16;71:13	$\begin{array}{r} 4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3;\\7:4,16;13:16;17:19,\\25;20:10,12;26:17;\\27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6;\\34:6;39:14;40:22;\\41:20;43:14;44:19;\\53:19;60:14;62:5,7;\\74:17;78:4;85:3;\\88:15;96:1,11,19;\\99:9;109:2;113:2\\ \textbf{decisions (5)}\\4:13;8:14;53:21;\\93:17;101:11\\ \textbf{decisis (3)}\\75:6,10;112:15\\ \textbf{declare (1)}\\54:7\\ \textbf{declared (3)}\\56:14,15,16\\ \textbf{declined (6)}\\7:11,12,14;43:11,\\17;74:24\\ \textbf{deeply (2)}\\65:17;86:19\\ \textbf{defective (1)}\\98:15\\ \end{array}$	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12; 88:11,17;89:17;94:7,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5) 30:15;44:10,13,22;
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19:70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ 111:2,5,8,10,16,22,\\ 25;112:6,20;113:11,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2) 65:16;71:13 days (6)	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declare (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16 declined (6) 7:11,12,14;43:11, 17;74:24 deeply (2) 65:17;86:19 defective (1) 98:15 defendant (2)	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12; 88:11,17;89:17;94:7, 7,19,20,23,24;95:2,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5) 30:15;44:10,13,22; 45:3
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ 111:2,5,8,10,16,22,\\ 25;112:6,20;113:11,\\ 12,25;114:15,17,17,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2) 65:16;71:13 days (6) 8:21,24;15:9,14,14,	4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3; 7:4,16;13:16;17:19, 25;20:10,12;26:17; 27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6; 34:6;39:14;40:22; 41:20;43:14;44:19; 53:19;60:14;62:5,7; 74:17;78:4;85:3; 88:15;96:1,11,19; 99:9;109:2;113:2 decisions (5) 4:13;8:14;53:21; 93:17;101:11 decisis (3) 75:6,10;112:15 declared (1) 54:7 declared (3) 56:14,15,16 declined (6) 7:11,12,14;43:11, 17;74:24 deeply (2) 65:17;86:19 defective (1) 98:15 defendant (2) 19:10;71:20	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12; 88:11,17;89:17;94:7, 7,19,20,23,24;95:2, 25;96:1,11,15,16,25;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5) 30:15;44:10,13,22; 45:3 die (2)
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ 111:2,5,8,10,16,22,\\ 25;112:6,20;113:11,\\ 12,25;114:15,17,17,\\ 17,23,25;115:11,13,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2) 65:16;71:13 days (6) 8:21,24;15:9,14,14, 19	$\begin{array}{r} 4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3;\\7:4,16;13:16;17:19,\\25;20:10,12;26:17;\\27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6;\\34:6;39:14;40:22;\\41:20;43:14;44:19;\\53:19;60:14;62:5,7;\\74:17;78:4;85:3;\\88:15;96:1,11,19;\\99:9;109:2;113:2\\ \textbf{decisions (5)}\\4:13;8:14;53:21;\\93:17;101:11\\ \textbf{decisis (3)}\\75:6,10;112:15\\ \textbf{declared (1)}\\54:7\\ \textbf{declared (3)}\\56:14,15,16\\ \textbf{declined (6)}\\7:11,12,14;43:11,\\17;74:24\\ \textbf{deeply (2)}\\65:17;86:19\\ \textbf{defective (1)}\\98:15\\ \textbf{defendant (2)}\\19:10;71:20\\ \textbf{defendants (1)}\\ \end{array}$	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12; 88:11,17;89:17;94:7, 7,19,20,23,24;95:2, 25;96:1,11,15,16,25; 97:1,2;104:7,7,10,11,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5) 30:15;44:10,13,22; 45:3 die (2) 70:2,3
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16;21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ 111:2,5,8,10,16,22,\\ 25;112:6,20;113:11,\\ 12,25;114:15,17,17,\\ 17,23,25;115:11,13,\\ 24;116:1,14,20;117:4, \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2) 65:16;71:13 days (6) 8:21,24;15:9,14,14, 19 DC (1)	$\begin{array}{r} 4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3;\\7:4,16;13:16;17:19,\\25;20:10,12;26:17;\\27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6;\\34:6;39:14;40:22;\\41:20;43:14;44:19;\\53:19;60:14;62:5,7;\\74:17;78:4;85:3;\\88:15;96:1,11,19;\\99:9;109:2;113:2\\ \textbf{decisions (5)}\\4:13;8:14;53:21;\\93:17;101:11\\ \textbf{decisis (3)}\\75:6,10;112:15\\ \textbf{declared (1)}\\54:7\\ \textbf{declared (3)}\\56:14,15,16\\ \textbf{declined (6)}\\7:11,12,14;43:11,\\17;74:24\\ \textbf{deeply (2)}\\65:17;86:19\\ \textbf{defective (1)}\\98:15\\ \textbf{defendant (2)}\\19:10;71:20\\ \textbf{defendants (1)}\\16:1\\ \end{array}$	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12; 88:11,17;89:17;94:7, 7,19,20,23,24;95:2, 25;96:1,11,15,16,25; 97:1,2;104:7,7,10,11, 17;105:20;107:16,17;	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5) 30:15;44:10,13,22; 45:3 die (2) 70:2,3 died (2)
$\begin{array}{l} 69:3,19;70:8;74:6,9,\\ 12,15,21,24;75:2,6,9,\\ 10,15,21;76:5,19;\\ 77:1,4,8,10;78:3,7,11,\\ 17,22;79:2;80:2,14;\\ 81:13,19,22;82:10,22;\\ 83:22,24;84:2,6,24;\\ 85:1,1,2,4,4,15,18;\\ 88:8,25;89:3,5,12,19;\\ 91:1,2,5,5,7,7,10,10,\\ 13,13,15;92:2,5,10,\\ 12,14,17,20;93:2,6,8,\\ 11,18,22;94:5,6,17;\\ 95:1,7,18,22;96:3,9,\\ 13;97:12,20,25;98:1,\\ 4,18,22;99:2,4,15,20,\\ 21,24;100:4,7,10,11,\\ 14,21,22,25;101:4,25;\\ 102:1,3,6,9,15,19,22;\\ 103:1,5,12,17,25;\\ 104:2,5,9,14,16,21,\\ 23;105:3,7,13;106:4,\\ 9,11;107:2,6,9,20;\\ 108:20,23;109:1,9,11,\\ 15,19;110:2,5,12,18;\\ 111:2,5,8,10,16,22,\\ 25;112:6,20;113:11,\\ 12,25;114:15,17,17,\\ 17,23,25;115:11,13,\\ \end{array}$	97:5,24 Cupp's (1) 96:24 curae (1) 29:5 custody (1) 113:1 cut (2) 34:2;53:17 cute (1) 22:23 Cynthia (1) 68:10 D damaged (1) 31:23 date (9) 14:13;15:20;86:11; 98:18;116:18,19,20; 117:20,22 Dawson (4) 88:21,23;89:14,23 day (2) 65:16;71:13 days (6) 8:21,24;15:9,14,14, 19	$\begin{array}{r} 4:22,25;5:3,16;6:3;\\7:4,16;13:16;17:19,\\25;20:10,12;26:17;\\27:17,21;30:3;31:5,6;\\34:6;39:14;40:22;\\41:20;43:14;44:19;\\53:19;60:14;62:5,7;\\74:17;78:4;85:3;\\88:15;96:1,11,19;\\99:9;109:2;113:2\\ \textbf{decisions (5)}\\4:13;8:14;53:21;\\93:17;101:11\\ \textbf{decisis (3)}\\75:6,10;112:15\\ \textbf{declared (1)}\\54:7\\ \textbf{declared (3)}\\56:14,15,16\\ \textbf{declined (6)}\\7:11,12,14;43:11,\\17;74:24\\ \textbf{deeply (2)}\\65:17;86:19\\ \textbf{defective (1)}\\98:15\\ \textbf{defendant (2)}\\19:10;71:20\\ \textbf{defendants (1)}\\ \end{array}$	denies (1) 13:13 deny (4) 16:9;21:6,14,18 Department (136) 4:14;5:1;6:4,8,13, 14,15;7:13;8:13,14, 23;9:25;18:1;19:4; 25:14,20,25;26:1,17, 23;27:2,19,22;28:11; 29:15;30:8,15;31:25, 25;32:3,17;33:10,10, 15,18,20,22,25;34:16; 35:9,20;37:8,11,17; 38:9,11,12,13,15,16, 23;39:8,10,18;40:16, 18;42:8,14,18;43:8, 14,22,24;44:2;45:4, 10,11,12,16,17,18,22; 46:11,12,16,24;47:1, 5;51:17;55:7;74:21; 75:2,3;78:21,23;79:8; 81:12;83:2,7;84:5,8, 11,13,19,20;85:12,15, 19,24;86:3,12;87:12; 88:11,17;89:17;94:7, 7,19,20,23,24;95:2, 25;96:1,11,15,16,25; 97:1,2;104:7,7,10,11,	determination (7) 7:19;78:20;79:4; 94:5;108:1,19,21 determinations (1) 110:24 determine (2) 35:25;62:4 determined (8) 57:1;93:19;101:10; 107:16,17;109:6; 110:25;111:1 determines (1) 110:16 determining (1) 108:16 dicta (7) 46:25;74:17;75:4; 92:13,15;104:18; 111:11 dictates (1) 6:22 Dictionary (6) 35:23,24;36:1;37:2; 40:20;84:8 dictum (5) 30:15;44:10,13,22; 45:3 die (2) 70:2,3

James Breheny, et al	
(9.15 19.04.12.	Di-risiana (2)
68:15,18;94:13;	Divisions (3)
112:14	5:10;47:10;96:22
different (4)	doctrine (4)
51:25;89:17;90:2,	5:24;115:8,21,21
18	document (1)
diligently (2)	9:13
22:24;23:5	documentation (1)
direct (3)	13:7
19:6,7;21:14	documents (1) 9:6
directed (9) 5:2;22:18;53:11;	domestic (1)
70:20;81:18;86:7,20;	63:17
104:12;114:5	done (8)
directly (1)	17:4;23:17;34:21
79:14	64:14;79:6;95:8;
disagree (2)	115:19;118:24
103:25;104:2	dot (10)
disagreeing (1)	76:9,9,9;83:18,13
66:5	18,18;91:24,24,2
disagreement (1)	doubt (1)
5:9	110:20
discovery (4)	dovetails (1)
118:1,2,25;119:15	103:18
discretion (3)	down (6)
114:2,11,18	17:11;34:4;37:9;
discuss (3)	41:20;100:13,16
35:14;44:10;102:23	dozens (2)
discussed (2)	66:20,20
30:2;101:8	Dr (10)
discussing (1)	67:7,11,13;68:1,
40:13	13,19;69:5;70:14
Discussion (3)	71:6
48:18;100:24;105:6	drop (2)
J'-1'L (1)	100.15.17
dislike (1)	109:15,17
110:17	duplicate (1)
110:17 dismiss (47)	duplicate (1) 98:8
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2)
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3,	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62)
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13,	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13,	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,10 22;46:7,10,15;47
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13,	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,10 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18;
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3)	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,15
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96:'
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1)	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3)
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1)	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1)	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3)
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1) 90:18	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1) 90:18 Division (16)	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3 E earlier (1)
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1) 90:18 Division (16) 4:13;5:16,20;6:4,7;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3 E earlier (1) 115:9
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1) 90:18 Division (16) 4:13;5:16,20;6:4,7; 7:13;8:13;9:25;19:3;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3 E earlier (1) 115:9 early (1)
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1) 90:18 Division (16) 4:13;5:16,20;6:4,7; 7:13;8:13;9:25;19:3; 21:12;22:3;25:10;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3 E earlier (1) 115:9 early (1) 9:13
110:17 dismiss (47) 3:14;4:12,16,23; 8:18;9:15;10:8,19; 11:5,7,22,22,23;12:3, 6,10,21;13:10,12,13, 16,23,25;14:10,11; 15:25;16:3,6,8,10,13, 17,21;17:6,7;19:18; 20:2,7,15,19;21:6; 22:10;23:14,19; 24:11;47:18;105:2 dismissal (6) 5:20;6:8,22;8:12; 22:2;115:22 dismissed (3) 23:14;26:2,18 dispenses (1) 87:10 display (1) 119:13 distinctly (1) 90:18 Division (16) 4:13;5:16,20;6:4,7; 7:13;8:13;9:25;19:3;	duplicate (1) 98:8 during (2) 56:4;110:21 duties (62) 5:13;34:19;35:10 36:15,18,19,21,2 37:4,6,7,10,11,16 22;46:7,10,15;47 55:1,3,4,7;75:18; 76:14,17,18,18;79 80:18;81:15,16,1 82:6,15,20;83:4,6 11,12,15,15,17,1 84:10,10;85:22,2 86:10;92:17,17,18 95:3,4,16,20;96: 104:19 duty (3) 47:3;75:18;82:3 E earlier (1) 115:9 early (1)

	oost (5)	
:22	east (5) 25:17,17;68:12,14,	e
. 22	15	
21	Ed (1)	e
	116:9	
	edition (3)	e
(1)	36:5;37:9;82:23	
	editor (4)	e
	36:1,3;37:14;38:2	
	educate (1)	e
	66:8 efficiency (2)	F
	3:12;53:10	I
21;	effort (1)	
:8;	96:18	ł
	eight (1)	
	87:8	e
,18,	eighty (1)	
1,24	81:6	e
	either (13)	
	35:4,10;36:18,20; 60:24;65:16;74:19;	e
	82:3,5;83:11;90:18;	
	95:15;97:15	e
:9;	Elephant (56)	e
16	4:6,11;5:8;6:5;7:6;	
	8:4,8,10;44:18;51:3;	e
	52:11;58:19,23,25;	
	59:1,1;63:25;64:14;	
1,10,	65:7,8,9,11,12;66:18,	e
14;	22;67:6,22,23;68:9, 11,23;69:8,9,10,12,	
	13,14;70:11,11;71:7,	
	13,15,17;72:16;73:7,	e
	8,14;81:5;90:19,20;	
	101:20;107:17,22;	
	108:16,17;119:10	
	elephants (23)	
:10;	29:13;64:1,5;65:15, 18;67:1,16,19;68:1,	e
1,23;	14,15,17;70:3,5,6,22;	
,16,17,	71:8,15,24;73:9,21;	ł
47:1;	81:8;110:10	
18;	Eleventh (2)	e
;79:17;	36:4;82:23	
5,16;	Elizabeth (1)	e
4,6,7,9, 7,17;	10:14 else (9)	e
2,23;	8:10;17:10;52:3;	C
,18,23;	75:4;84:4;93:4;102:6;	e
6:7;	105:6;106:10	
	e-mail (2)	e
	38:4;84:15	
:3	embraced (1)	e
	96:25 embryo (1)	_
	embryo (1) 61:22	e
	employees (2)	e
	69:7;71:20	
	encourage (1)	
	33:4	
	end (5)	e

83:21:101:9 endow (1) 88:5 endure (1) 5:14 enforce (1) 63:5 enforcers (1) 63:4 engaged (1) 65:17 England (7) 53:14;54:8,9,13; 56:5;68:8;109:7 English (3) 35:16;53:13;54:5 enlarge (1) 108:10 enough (1) 35:11 enslaved (1) 54:19 entertain (1) 98:13 entire (3) 53:24;69:11;86:25 entities (4) 32:4;51:21;79:23; 99:17 entitled (9) 29:13:53:20,21; 55:4;62:7,8;79:11; 82:7;88:7 entity (18) 34:18;36:20,20; 51:2,3;54:21,22; 56:20;60:8;63:21,22; 82:2;95:3;106:5,5; 108:1,4,12 environment (3) 65:22:66:11.12 EPTL (1) 62:17 equality (2) 29:12;102:24 equivalent (1) 14:20erred (2) 89:22,24 erroneous (1) 30:3 erroneously (1) 29:17 error (2) 45:12;85:8 especially (2) 52:20;65:11 essentially (7) 30:24,25;34:17; 49:14;53:8;54:12; 71:1 establishing (2) 4:14;116:7

estopped (5) 109:24;112:17; 113:5,7;119:24 estoppel (31) 5:23;26:8;39:15,25; 40:25;102:11,17,20; 109:12,13,19,21,25; 110:23;111:14;112:8, 14,21;113:2,6,8,18; 114:10.20.21:115:9. 20;116:6,12;119:15, 21 et (1) 97:14 Eugene (1) 7:15 even (35) 10:12;12:4,19; 13:24;24:23;26:4; 38:11,13;39:7;40:17; 43:9,15;44:3;53:15; 61:2;66:12;67:19; 70:5;71:23;72:12; 73:10,11;74:22;75:6, 9;78:19;79:16;86:15; 94:12;95:23;98:17; 111:18;113:2,3;114:3 event (5) 5:19;8:22;9:7;17:7; 21:17 eventually (1) 26:10 everybody (4) 3:7;17:22,23;34:5 evidence (1) 87:9 evolve (1) 65:15 ex (1) 89:1 exact (6) 14:13;42:15;43:6; 60:19;67:14;117:22 Exactly (8) 13:5;74:4;78:21; 79:1;80:25;81:8;94:9; 109:20 examined (1) 71:23 example (11) 56:13;61:13;64:1,1, 8;67:18,19,19;69:5; 82:12;93:18 examples (2) 82:16,17 exceeds (1) 15:13 except (1) 113:19 exception (4) 46:21;75:9,10;

54:13;80:24;82:25;

112:25

exchange (1)

5:14 Excuse (2) 11:14;72:3 existing (1) 6:11 expand (1) 53:17 expanded (1) 53:22 expanding (1) 53:16 expeditiously (1) 22:25 experience (1) 67:16 expert (6) 42:3;64:12;65:7,15; 72:7,8 expertise (1) 72:10 experts (8) 64:10;68:5,5;71:21, 22;72:1;73:16;81:7 explain (3) 35:21;88:23;102:13 explained (4) 74:20;80:1;83:14, 22 explains (1) 80:13 explicitly (1) 51:20 expression (1) 72:3 expressly (4) 4:21;18:14;19:16, 17 extended (1) 9:2 extent (2) 52:24;84:13 extraordinarily (1) 63:20 extraordinary (2) 52:21;81:9 eye (1) 83:18 \mathbf{F} face (1) 13:10 faced (1) 21:5facility (4) 88:19,20;90:3,4 fact (39) 5:23;12:4,5,6,13, 22;15:8,23;16:21,23; 17:8,9,11,15;20:13, 21;22:24;23:12; 30:11:39:13:43:25: 48:8;51:12,14;56:3,

12:58:1:62:3:68:13; 71:10:75:8:77:1: 79:12:80:8:82:15: 83:22;84:12;87:13; 108:6 facts (4) 59:4;77:3;92:23; 119:2 **Fahey (16)** 7:15:27:24:30:4; 33:7;79:3,6,7,15; 80:12:87:15.21; 88:22;89:21;104:9, 14:110:19 Fahey's (2) 60:14;79:15 failed (1) 51:13 failure (2) 5:22;16:3 fairly (3) 5:16;95:7,17 Falls (1) 29:25 false (6) 35:18,19;47:8; 81:21;86:13;88:8 familial (1) 67:5 familiar (2) 6:13:101:19 family (2) 67:9,25 famous (2) 53:22,23 far (4) 29:14:33:4:93:12; 96:22 fault (1) 72:5 favor (4) 5:20;40:11;62:7; 96:19 favorable (1) 6:22 fed (1) 69:25 Federal (2) 49:21;51:22 feeding (2) 8:7:73:3 feel (2) 40:15;118:10 felt (1) 40:18 female (3) 65:12;70:11,11 Ferguson (2) 116:4,8 fetus (7) 61:20,22;77:7,8; 78:15,16,18 few (2)

33:24:91:16 field (1) 72:2 fifteen (2) 54:9:119:20 fifty (2) 64:13;68:10 fifty-eight (2) 119:8,8 fight (1) 115:16 figured (1) 43:23 file (19) 10:6;11:7,21;12:1, 24;13:11,12;14:8; 15:5;16:11,16;19:9; 20:15;21:14;23:3,13; 44:19;99:2,6 filed (33) 9:4,11,12;13:2,4, 11;14:7,10,13,14,17, 21;15:9,18,24;20:1; 21:17;23:7;27:7;39:4; 44:14,18;68:4;84:16; 87:2,16;97:24,24,25; 98:25;99:20;100:2; 117:1 filers (1) 97:16 filing (9) 9:13:14:18:15:1.1. 24;17:18;19:7,24; 116:18 film (2) 40:11,12 finally (2) 54:10;109:11 find (9) 19:4:35:25:41:13; 43:6.10.16:74:23: 95:13:96:9 fine (4) 23:21,21;115:18; 117:16 finish (1) 100:25 finished (4) 47:20;49:3;101:2,4 first (90) 4:8;5:1;6:4,8,10,15; 7:13:8:13.23:9:25: 11:4,19;12:8;24:20; 28:22;33:10,13,15,18, 21,25;34:20;35:15; 38:9,11,12,13,16; 39:17,22;42:13,18; 43:8,14;44:2,17,19; 45:18;46:11,16,24; 51:24:54:3,6,13; 55:20,20;63:15;64:3; 66:14;71:19;74:21; 75:2,21;76:6;77:21;

78:23:79:7:80:1: 81:22:84:5.7.11.19: 85:19:86:5:88:14,17; 93:16;94:16,24;95:5, 25;96:11,15;97:1,2,6; 100:2;103:8;104:10, 17,18;105:9,20; 107:12,15,21;111:2; 113:22 fit (2) 119:8,9 fits (1) 80:16 five (9) 8:21,23;52:5;61:9; 64:12;69:12;73:15; 100:18;110:16 fix (3) 36:4;38:5;84:23 fixed (1) 36:5 Florida (6) 24:23;27:15;30:2; 90:7,9;93:25 flourish (1) 73:24 follow (3) 5:19;8:23;21:12 followed (1) 72:25 following (3) 49:1:56:25:83:5 food (1) 71:9 Footnote (3) 35:7;47:5;105:20 foraging (1) 65:16 Forest (1) 56:18 forget (2) 65:23;66:1 former (1) 90:10 forth (1) 106:4 forty (7) 65:4,21;66:11; 70:19;71:3,12;74:1 forty-eight (1) 4:5 forty-five (2) 15:14,14 forum (1) 6:18 found (1) 31:6 founded (1) 30:19 four (9) 4:12;25:9;29:1; 38:14;47:10;76:23; 91:3;92:5;119:9

September 23, 2019

fourteen (1) 72:5 Fourth (22) 6:13.14:8:14.15: 17:25;19:4;22:3; 27:19,20;29:15;30:8, 15;31:25,25;32:3,17; 33:14:93:16:104:7.7. 10;115:6 frankly (2) 22:4;101:24 free (5) 54:7,12,20;89:9; 106:23 friends (2) 70:17,19 front (4) 8:16;9:5,6;54:5 fully (1) 96:21 Fund (1) 116:3 fundamentally (1) 53:18 further (7) 45:23;75:20;98:8; 99:12;101:21;102:3; 118:13 Furthermore (6) 94:24;95:25;96:22; 98:13,14:115:14 future (1) 7:16 G Ganges (1) 56:16 Garner (4) 36:3:37:14:85:9.10 Gates (3) 50:9.9.15 Gault (1) 86:14 gave (4) 25:8;32:14;48:3; 92:6 general (1) 119:11 generis (1) 103:15 gentleman (1) 31:22 George (1) 55:18 gets (3) 10:18;67:18;69:25 giraffes (1) 110:11 given (3) 14:8,12;101:19 gives (3) 11:20;36:22;50:11

September 23, 2019

James Breheny, et al				September 23, 2019
giving (5)		101:19,22;102:14,25;	69:20	56:9;61:14;71:6;96:7
giving (5) 63:8,8;71:9;102:14,		101.19,22,102.14,23, 109:24;110:10;	historically (3)	hundred (9)
24	Н	111:15;112:1,12,14;	86:9;87:23;103:10	35:17;67:1,3;69:17;
glad (1)		113:4,5;117:14,17;	history (4)	71:16;76:23;81:6;
57:3	habeas (91)	119:9,10	36:16;55:9;86:6;	90:8;113:6
gleaned (1)	4:4,9,14;5:5,12,18;	Happy's (2)	87:11	hundreds (3)
91:2	7:25;9:3;14:16,20;	49:25;72:13	hitting (1)	46:5,9;47:2
goes (3)	26:8;29:6,10,14,19;	harder (1)	59:8	hypothetically (2)
5:17;71:13;111:25	31:3,7;39:1;43:12;	40:20	hold (4)	12:19;19:19
Good (6)	49:19;50:2,4,7,15,21,	harm (1)	9:18;94:2;105:5;	12.17,17.17
3:3;10:12;67:18;	22;51:19;52:19,20,	67:10	117:18	Ι
69:8;110:5;119:21	20;53:15;54:3;55:19, 20,24;57:8,10,21,23;	Harvard (1)	holders (1)	
Goodall (1)	58:4;62:10;72:16,19;	29:5	106:18	idea (5)
64:9	74:25;79:11,19;	Harvest (1)	holding (4)	20:5;70:10;71:13;
govern (2)	80:19;81:2;88:18;	97:14	5:11;51:18;96:11;	75:16;87:19
103:20,21	89:6,13,20;90:1;	hate (1)	115:8	ideas (2)
governed (2)	91:25;93:14,15,20,22;	105:4	home (1)	78:9;88:8
14:18,18	94:1,14,21;96:9;	Hatzman (2)	55:15	identity (1)
governs (3)	103:14,20,21,21,22,	89:1;115:6	Honor (77)	112:9
93:14;103:21,22	24;105:14,16,19,21,	HBO (2)	3:10,18;4:3,25;7:7;	idiosyncratic (1)
grant (7)	24;106:20;108:2;	40:11,12	8:5,9;9:6,19;10:1,3,5,	87:19
9:22,23,24;11:25;	109:5;110:15;111:18;	head (1)	22;12:7;13:9;14:3;	ignores (1)
16:10;20:12;23:19	112:2,21;113:2,7,9,	72:4	15:21;16:7,9;17:3,21;	92:22
granted (4)	13,19;114:20,21,23,	health (1)	18:2,3,6,21,24;19:12,	II (20)
8:12;9:15;12:13;	24;115:10;119:16	72:17	23;20:24;21:2,3;	33:22;38:17,18;
19:14	habitate (1)	healthy (2)	22:11,12,24;23:15;	41:22;45:20;74:16,
granting (2)	65:2	72:13,15	24:1,20;25:8;34:13;	21;75:5,21,24;79:7,9;
6:22;19:5	hac (1)	hear (11)	42:23;45:1,14;49:8,	85:25;88:17,21;96:2,
grasp (1)	24:9	7:11,13;10:7;34:9;	10;51:11;74:13;84:3;	3,13,14;113:22
61:3	half (1)	58:1;72:13;94:18;	93:7,9,10,13;94:23;	ill (1)
Graves (7)	73:13	114:2,3,12,12	95:5;96:14;97:3,23;	89:12
31:22;32:10,18,21,	hand (3)	heard (9)	98:9,10,12;99:14,19;	illegal (1)
23;79:21;80:5	41:16;44:17;56:8	8:19;17:1;21:10;	100:1,9;101:3,7;	29:25
G-r-a-v-e-s (1)	handed (1)	85:5,6;92:10;98:14;	102:2;105:9;109:13;	illegally (2)
31:22	41:11	111:12,12	110:7;111:6,21;	91:22;105:11
Gray (5)	handle (1)	hearts (1)	115:4,25;116:24;	imagine (1)
82:24;83:2,8,14,19	116:21	88:11	117:10,16,24	35:8
great (5) 5,17,9,5,44,12,	hands (1)	held (8) 48:18;56:18;59:21;	honorary (1) 62:25	immediate (3)
5:17;8:5;44:12; 57:25;75:19	70:2	61:23;93:22;94:20;	Honor's (1)	29:21;31:1,4 immediately (6)
greater (3)	handwriting (1)	96:8;100:24	97:17	15:5,7;23:3;78:6;
32:13;33:24;35:14	53:5	help (1)	horrible (1)	84:11;85:9
greatest (3)	hanging (1)	71:7	90:14	immense (1)
29:6;54:5;64:6	21:16	herd (3)	horses (1)	67:15
gross (1)	happen (3)	65:12;67:2;69:17	59:18	implicitly (5)
86:1	43:8;101:13;108:18	hereby (1)	Hospital (4)	19:8,15,17;21:6;
grossly (1)	happened (9)	18:14	61:5;76:8;89:8;	23:11
15:23	12:17;37:2;38:16,	herein (1)	90:12	importance (1)
ground (5)	20;54:8;58:6;62:22; 85:7;113:21	18:14	hour (1)	57:25
42:11;44:3,20;	happening (1)	herself (3)	38:5	important (4)
92:23;115:22	83:25	63:5;69:15;71:14	hours (3)	29:9;42:15;46:4;
grounded (1)	happens (2)	high (2)	73:5,6;112:4	61:2
75:7	12:17;73:17	59:20,25	human (35)	impose (3)
grounds (2)	Happy (57)	higher (2)	30:25;32:1,2;35:7,	76:17,18;79:19
26:2;27:11	4:11;7:6;8:4,7,9,9;	57:23;75:9	11,12;46:17,19,21,22;	imposed (1)
guess (2)	44:18;51:3;62:7;	highly (1)	47:4;51:7;56:2;61:20,	52:1
6:17;29:21	64:17,19;65:4,7,12,	119:25	22,22,22,22;62:2;	imposes (1)
guidance (1)	21;66:10,17,24;67:2;	himself (4)	63:2;71:5,8;75:25,25;	76:17
101:19	69:15;70:5,5,22;71:1,	82:17;83:14;87:4;	78:15,15,19,25;79:13,	imposition (1)
guys (2)	7,7,23,23;72:12,15,	98:17	18,18;80:18;95:14;	86:9
99:10;116:22	15;73:22;74:4,5;81:1;	Hindu (1)	104:20;119:10	imprisoned (7)
	90:15,17;91:20;	59:8	humans (8)	50:5;51:8;55:18;
	93:24;94:8,12;	hint (1)	5:14;8:1;47:5,7;	63:23;64:18;91:22;
	I	I	I	L

105:11 **imprisonment** (2) 50:20;51:5 improper (4) 40:1;44:1;79:19; 118:6 inapplicable (1) 20:20 includes (1) 46:22 including (3) 8:6;73:16;110:19 inconsistently (1) 81:24 incorporate (1) 98:10 incorporated (1) 98:7 incorrect (4) 37:23,24;38:2; 85:16 indeed (15) 23:19;35:19;39:19; 40:10;41:9;58:18; 59:15,22;67:11; 71:19;77:12;78:6; 92:23;103:7;119:11 independent (3) 45:10,23;71:21 **India** (10) 56:17:58:13,15,16, 19.24:59:6.16.16.18 Indian (7) 58:5,14,17;59:10, 14:73:7.8 indicated (1) 9:25 indisputably (1) 105:23 indulge (1) 3:22 infant (2) 36:25;79:18 infants (4) 35:4;46:8,13;113:1 information (1) 101:24 inherent (1) 56:23 initial (2) 12:7:19:13 injunction (1) 61:19 inquired (1) 55:3 insane (4) 35:5;46:8,14;89:8 inside (2) 73:12;90:13 instance (1) 64:11 instead (4) 17:16;80:18;84:10;

89:25 institution (6) 89:16,16,18,18,19; 90:2instrumental (1) 56:21 interactions (1) 65:18 interest (2) issued (5) 3:12:119:24 interesting (1) 78:9 internally (1) 35:19 international (2) 60:5;83:24 interpreted (1) issue's (1) 32:18 interrupting (1) 11:15 item (1) intervene (1) 99:12 into (13) 5:17;16:18;27:17; 29:22;31:23;34:3; Jaffe (10) 44:12;62:11;71:2; 87:12;106:14;110:18; 119:1 iail (1) intrinsic (2) 80:24;81:4 introduce (1) James (3) 24:5 introduction (1) Jane (1) 47:14 64:8 invented (1) Jews (1) 94:15 56:5 invited (1) 58:2 John (7) invoke (2) 16:1:17:17 invoked (2) 5:23:115:21 invokes (1) 29:10 involved (9) 5:7,25;50:4;57:19; 58:18;59:5;80:13; 108:4;110:22 Joyce (5) involves (2) 107:21,22 involving (7) 54:15;61:15;81:11; 93:17;94:3;108:15,16 irrespectively (1) 94:11 island (2) 27:15;90:7 issue (53) 5:4;7:3;25:24; 27:10:28:25:36:11; 44:1:49:11.12.14: iudges (6) 50:3,9,16,17;52:5,7; 61:25;66:6;67:14;

69:23:74:14,15; Judge's (1) 77:16:78:20:88:25: 18:9 91:17;93:8,19;95:25; 101:9;102:11,19,20; 16:19 103:9;105:2;106:1,2, judicata (9) 2,8;107:10,15;108:4, 4;109:10;110:8,13,17, 20:111:17,17,25; Judicial (4) 113:18;115:18 14:23;17:8;57:10, 23:2 23:85:3 July (8) issues (10) 18:13;41:1;47:17; jump (2) 49:11;96:20;102:25; 103:10;109:16;110:9; 115:9 June (1) 31:19 junk (3) 110:20 101:14 J 95:12 Justice (17) 39:12,18,19;41:24; 42:2,4;43:22,23; 113:22:114:19 90:12 justice's (1) 54:11:55:3:98:23 7:15 Ken (1) Joanna (1) 3:10 Kenneth (1) 18:103:1782:24:83:8.14:87:8. kept (3) 8:95:11.13 Johnson (1) kicking (1) 89:24 59:7 Johnston (2) kidnap (1) 89:1,5 50:6 kidnaps (1) journals (2) 29:7,8 50:9 kill (1) 64:11;65:15;67:7; 70:11 73:16,16 killed (2) **Judge (38)** 70:6.24 3:4,5;4:16;6:17;7:4, kind (11) 15;8:17;17:25;21:4,9, 12;22:2;25:24;27:24; 30:4;33:7;39:12,18, 20;54:5;57:11;60:1, kinds (2) 14;68:22;79:3,6,7,15, 15;80:12;87:15,21; kingdom (1) 88:22;89:21;96:25; 67:6 104:9.14:110:19 knew (2) 26:7:88:16 7:22;35:1;53:13,19; knowledge (3) 77:15:110:16 32:23;64:24;79:22

judgment (1) 26:8;39:1,14,25; 113:17,18;114:10; 116:7.13 4:13:15:6:20:21; 10:21;14:14,14,15, 15;15:1,1,20 31:14;60:13 87:10,11,11 jurisprudence (7) 34:21:37:3.10: 82:18;84:9;87:11; 10:22;17:8,25; 19:12;23:11;27:10; 28:6;39:12,19;41:24; 42:2,4;43:21,23; 58:17:77:24:113:22 K 50:21:71:3:90:14 45:9,23;46:7;60:9, 25;62:11;64:2;75:20; 78:4;89:17;106:12 59:13:60:3

September 23, 2019

knowledgeable (1) 51:15 known (1) 55:20 knows (3) 36:25;67:16;73:10 Kuhlmann (1) 89:1 L lack (1) 29:17 lacks (2) 51:2;60:25 land (9) 65:5;66:17,21; 69:16,18;71:10,12,14; 73:12 language (3) 19:12;94:22,23 large (1) 65:12 last (18) 31:19;35:16;36:5,6, 7,8;37:16;56:13,17; 60:13:66:14:70:3; 76:23;86:2;95:18; 101:14;115:2;116:1 late (1) 9:13 later (6) 14:25;28:20;31:4; 32:18;33:6;89:14 latest (1) 60:2 Lavery (43) 5:3,7;33:16,18,19, 20,22,23,23,25;34:14, 16:38:17.18.21:41:21. 22;45:20,22;47:12; 51:18:74:16.18.20: 75:5,5,19,21,24,24; 79:7,9;81:10,11; 85:25,25;88:16,21; 96:2,13,14;113:22; 114:7 L-a-v-e-r-y (2) 5:3;33:17 law (73) 5:18,21;6:6,7,11, 11;7:23;8:17;18:23; 19:5;29:4,5,7;30:6,7; 35:23,24;36:1,16; 37:2;40:19;51:22; 52:12,13,14,15,16,19, 22;53:9,12,18;54:3,3, 11;60:24;63:9;75:7,8, 11,13:76:4,11,15: 77:11:80:9:83:1.19: 84:8;86:18;87:3,4,14; 88:9,9,10:92:7:94:3; 95:8;103:16,16,21,24;

James Dreneny, et al	[[September 23, 2017
106:2;108:10,11,14;	107:1,4,4	63:21,24;68:8,8;	57:22	71:25
111:3,10,19;112:24,	L-e-m-m-o-n (1)	72:2;74:3;90:23	Lowsaw (1)	material (3)
			112:24	
25;113:16	107:4	living (7)		95:10,11,24
Lawrence (2)	length (1)	67:22;69:17;70:16,	lunch (4)	matter (21)
29:5;112:22	111:9	16,23;73:9;86:22	34:5,11;41:16,18	3:1,5;5:21;8:19;
lawsuit (10)	lengthy (4)	LO (3)	Luncheon (2)	9:7;21:10;30:24;49:5;
38:21;44:18;51:7;	5:17;40:9;41:1;	41:13,15,15	48:21;49:1	55:4;57:25;71:8;
58:19;59:9,20;	96:1	local (1)	Lytle (1)	78:13;80:7,7;89:22;
112:19;113:4,5;	letter (1)	49:20	3:20	94:4,10;95:23;
116:10	114:8	located (1)		110:25,25;112:18
lawyer (2)	liberalization (3)	84:8	\mathbf{M}	matters (1)
57:20;68:20	61:16,18;77:10	Locke (1)		80:22
lawyers (2)	liberty (8)	87:8	main (1)	may (42)
55:19;61:2	29:11;62:9;72:17;	Locke's (1)	88:25	3:10;4:3;10:5;
lead (1)	80:19;81:2;91:22;	87:9	maintain (5)	18:22;22:17,19,22;
115:15	102:24;105:12	logic (1)	12:6,14;15:25;17:4;	31:14;38:23;44:19;
leading (3)	life (4)	56:25	18:21	48:12;53:9,10;60:21,
61:5,25;76:12	61:21;64:6;67:23;	London (1)	maintained (1)	21,23;62:11;68:3;
leap (1)	72:16	54:1	101:23	69:1;74:11;83:19,20;
32:13	light (1)	long (4)	majority (3)	90:24;91:24;92:3;
learned (3)	78:1	35:24;39:13;90:25;	77:19;101:11;118:6	93:10;94:3;97:10;
41:1;85:7,8	likely (1)	106:13	makes (4)	99:11;101:6;103:23;
least (7)	47:5	longer (4)	62:1;75:22;86:22;	104:7,8;105:5,13,18,
		0		
6:9;9:24;33:6;	limitations (1) 115:11	12:15,18;17:10;	103:19	21;110:17;112:15,20;
54:13;72:1;73:13;		51:1	making (9)	113:12;115:18
100:25	limited (1)	long-term (1)	13:15;28:25;51:12;	maybe (1)
leave (12)	64:24	115:17	62:4;63:12;78:19;	84:24
7:14,23,23;19:6,9;	limits (1)	look (19)	80:25;98:1;110:1	mean (8)
28:1,4,6,6,17;110:19;	58:20	32:17;45:4;46:5;	malnourishment (1)	50:14;55:11;61:10;
117:21	linguistic (1)	47:7;75:24;77:19,22;	8:3	65:25;78:15;81:17;
led (1)	96:5	85:11;86:15;87:3,5;	man (1)	92:24;107:23
59:2	link (1)	99:8;100:15;108:8,	54:7	meaning (2)
Lee (1)	96:7	11;113:21;119:1,17,	Manning (75)	83:3;87:22
19:3	list (2)	19	3:10,10,17,17,19,	means (15)
left (7)	25:17;90:25	looked (1)	20,23;4:1,2,3,20;5:3;	31:10,12;36:19;
17:20,20;83:5;	listening (1)	95:9	6:21;7:1,3,9,21;8:16,	50:7;51:2,4;56:20;
90:22;101:12;103:2,9	45:2	looking (3)	21;10:3,5,10;17:21;	61:10;75:1;76:10;
leg (1)	lists (2)	21:24;32:7;87:12	18:2;20:25;21:2,22,	81:16;82:19,20;
85:23	67:24;73:21	looks (1)	24;22:1,5,8,11,19;	87:20;108:13
legal (44)	litany (1)	5:22	42:23,25;43:3;48:12;	meant (9)
32:5;34:18,19,22;	70:4	Lord (3)	51:9,11;93:2,10,13;	29:22;32:1;35:9;
35:8,11,12;44:6;46:6,	literally (1)	54:4,9;55:2	94:9,18;95:5,19,23;	45:18;58:20;72:17,
13;51:3;52:4,7,9,12,	81:21	lost (3)	96:4,14;97:22;98:7,	17;76:24;108:8
16;54:7;59:13;60:11;	litigating (3)	44:6;114:16;116:4	21;99:14,16;100:8,	meeting (1)
74:9,14;76:9,10,11,	52:5,8;60:9	lot (9)	19;101:2,3,7;107:12;	50:25
16,24;79:4;80:10,21;	litigation (4)	44:21;50:11,11,16;	110:6,7,13;111:6,21,	member (3)
81:15,23;82:13;83:3,	6:1;29:3;110:23;	55:10;77:9;78:9;	24;115:3,4,14;116:17,	24:22;64:9;79:13
4,20,21;95:15,16,20;	115:17	109:16;119:1	24;117:7,16;118:19;	members (1)
96:7;110:8,9;115:16;	litigations (1)	lots (1)	119:20	51:15
116:3	119:23	43:7	M-a-n-n-i-n-g (1)	memo (3)
legality (1)	Litscomb (1)	louder (9)	3:17	18:23;48:8,8
72:20	52:25	5:2;22:18;53:11;	Mansfield (3)	memoranda (2)
legally (2)	little (7)	70:20;81:18;86:7,20;	54:4,10;55:2	7:10;49:15
96:8,8	11:11;28:12;49:16;	104:12;114:5	many (10)	memorandum (3)
legislative (2)	66:9;68:15,18;77:13	loudly (1)	56:3;61:2;67:24;	19:5;22:15;87:2
9:20;77:16	live (13)	94:18		Mendosa (1)
legislators (1)	24:23;55:17;63:24;	Louisiana (1)	71:8;73:3,3,4,5,5,6 mark (2)	57:9
53:20 logislature (11)	69:15,17;71:11,14; 72:16:72:11:74:2;	85:21 Java (2)	48:17,19	mental (1) 90:12
legislature (11)	72:16;73:11;74:3;	love (2)	Marneffe (2)	
9:22;53:9;62:13,23;	90:8,9,23	119:4,4	87:5,6	mentally (1)
63:6;77:17;101:12;	lived (2)	lower (4)	Massachusetts (2)	89:12
103:2,9,11;108:8	66:17;70:5	43:18,19;75:3,9	24:22,24	$\begin{array}{c} \text{mention} (1) \\ 70.25 \end{array}$
Lemmon (3)	lives (7)	lowest (1)	Master's (1)	79:25

mentioned (2) Moreover (1) 112:22;113:17; no	otwithstanding (4)
79:21;116:19 80:22 N 116:18	12:13;16:25;18:21;
merely (2) morning (6) next (2)	19:12
	November (1)
merits (17) 99:1,3 116:2,5 Niagara (1)	14:23
8:19;10:18;25:11; Moss (1) Nagaraja (3) 29:25 no	owhere (1)
27:9;29:16;33:14,25; 68:10 Nagaraja (5) 58:13;59:3,5 nice (1)	55:8
20 12 15 20 10 42 0 4 (2) 30.13,57.5,5	NRP (2)
5.4,10,10.9,20.11,	110:14,21
	nullified (2)
met (1) 3:13;4:9,10,15,22; named (2) nieces (1)	17:9,9
72:12 6:22,24;8:12,16;9:14; 57:8,20 65:13 m	ullifies (1)
might (6) 10:1,7,19,19;11:3,4,5, names (1) nobody (2)	77:23
	nullity (1)
04 01 100 14 F0 0 0 10 16 10 01	20:1
	number (1)
	107:6
71:13;90:9 19,23,25;14:7,8,10, narrow (1) non-existent (1)	
	numerous (2)
55:18 8,10,12,16,18,21; national (2) Nonhuman (61)	29:4;107:4
mind (2) 17:1,5,6,7,9;19:14,17; 56:15;60:7 3:2;5:25;10:16; m	unc (1)
25:11;84:15 20:2,7,15,18;21:6,10, Native (4) 24:9,10,12;25:22;	16:17
	NY (1)
70 5 100 0 11 12 10 05 04 11 35.13,21,22,30.7	53:2
	33.2
	0
47:21;100:18; 47:17;74:24;84:17, nature (5) 52:4,6,9,15;56:11;	0
102:13;119:20 18;85:10;98:11,14,15, 70:9;80:24;81:4; 57:16,18;58:1,14;	
	bject (2)
84:9 100:2;105:1;117:4, Nebraska (2) 63:2,5,7,13,15,16,18;	97:15;118:6
misreading (2) 25;118:2,24,25 55:16,17 64:9;79:17,23;81:5; ol	bjecting (2)
89:22,24 motions (13) necessarily (2) 84:10;86:22;91:18;	117:8,10
	bjection (3)
10 12 24 0 0 07 10	15:4,8,23
111 12 112 11 12 17	bjections (2)
26.5.27.12.40.20 11.6.10 1.1.6.1.5.1.6 1.1.5.1.6	22:17;97:24
35.15,75.25,117.0,15	
	bjects (2)
mistreatment (1) 3:6;99:11 20:17;23:18;36:23; nor (2)	95:16,20
	obvious (2)
misunderstanding (7) 8:18;22:13;65:14, 65:18:99:12.13: normal (2)	26:5;81:25
75:11;76:1;86:1; 15,16;67:23;89:10,10, 109:17;118:9,10,13 65:9,11 ol	bviously (4)
87:14;88:17,18;92:7 16,17;90:6,13;93:3, needed (1) North (1)	35:18;36:25;46:6;
misunderstandings (5) 24;94:1,7,13;107:10 37:21 87:17	108:15
37.21	occurred (1)
95 05 A 11 20 0 20 14	84:4
	o'clock (2)
	34:5:48:20
	/
	October (9)
18:25;31:15;96:15; 31:1;67:16,16;89:9; 33:13;46:11 28:19	4:8;98:14,21,22;
105:5 90:11,12,15 Nepal (1) noted (5)	116:19,20,23;117:13,
moments (1) much (14) 59:18 3:7;59:22;69:5;	17
33:24 6:11;7:10,17;10:2; network (2) 79:16;114:9 00	dious (1)
month (6) $20:24;22:4;23:23;$ $65:14,17$ notes (2)	54:10
	off (4)
	34:3;48:18;100:23,
(1, (1)) $(1, (1))$ $(1, (1))$ $(1, (1), (1), (1), (1), (1), (1), (1), ($	24
1(1) 1(0) 10512,00.22,00.3,0,0	offer (1)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	115:11
	offered (1)
More (15) 23:9;34:19,22;35:10; 56:10.13.15:61:4.5 notices (1)	97:3
6:2;7:19;9:9;31:9; 103:14;113:11 21,25;62:13;63:6,9, 14:7 O	Office (1)
$32:15;52:3;56:21,21; myself (2) \qquad \qquad 11;73:8,9;76:7,13,19; noticing (1)$	34:6
11,/J.0,/,/0,/,1,J.1/,	officer (2)
79:12;91:16;101:3; 98:15,17;106:14,15, noting (1)	48:14;100:21
	40.14.100.21
	often (2)

				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
95:15,19	opposed (2)	109:10;115:19,19	5:10;20:22;34:10;	5;52:7,9,12;54:18,19,
Oklahoma (2)	64:23;90:4	overcrowded (1)	94:16	21,23;55:22,23,25;
55:16,17	opposing (2)	67:21	particularly (2)	56:1,11,15,16,17,19,
old (1)	24:25;98:4	overlook (2)	72:5;93:17	20;57:2,14,16;58:3,
4:5	opposite (2)	21:18;22:2	parties (2)	15;59:23;60:11,22;
Once (14)	51:14;88:24	overnight (1)	112:9,11	61:1,6,9,10,11,20,23;
11:23;12:16,17;	opposition (6)	73:24	party (7)	62:1,1,3,4;63:2,10;
19:13;23:1;36:21;	15:3,12;98:25;99:2,	overruled (1)	20:12,13,14;91:23;	66:6;72:11,11;76:9,
42:7;50:5;61:10;82:4;	5;100:8	76:22	111:22;116:21;	12,13,15,16;77:7;
83:11;99:22;108:24;	oral (7)	overturn (1)	119:24	78:10,16,16,19,25;
110:25	45:13;49:15;84:7;	116:3	pass (2)	79:11;80:6,17;81:23;
			62:23,23	
one (109)	85:3;110:21,21;	overturned (1)	,	82:1,2,4,4,7,8,12,19;
4:12,13;7:9,15;8:5;	119:21	78:6	passed (1)	83:3,7,10,11,12,16,18,
12:17;14:2;17:23;	order (44)	overturning (1)	53:15	20;85:22;86:17;89:8;
18:22,25;21:19;	4:17;7:4;10:22;	116:7	past (2)	91:21,21;95:3,4;
26:17;29:6;31:2,14;	11:2,3;12:13,16;	own (3)	15:2;95:9	96:10;97:22;105:11;
33:21;36:15;37:7,19;	14:23,24;16:1;17:21;	71:20;87:19;88:12	Paul (1)	106:1,6,8,8;107:14,
40:6;47:11,25;48:1;	18:4,4,5,7,13,13;	owner (1)	101:18	18,18,24;108:2,5,5,6,
50:9,20;51:17,18;	19:13,15;21:7,8;	32:1	pause (1)	8,9,12,13,17;109:7;
52:5,8;53:16;55:10;	25:23,24;29:24;	owners (1)	109:18	111:18;112:2;117:1
59:17,17;60:2,24,25;	34:18,23;35:10,13;	62:24	PAWS (1)	personal (2)
61:2,9;62:5;65:14;	36:11,17,19,25;37:4;	_	119:11	100:17;117:3
66:2,15,19,22;67:13,	43:17,20;47:2;64:2;	Р	pay (2)	personality (4)
20,23;68:7,7,7,7;70:3,	74:24;75:17;82:11;		74:17,19	76:10;79:4;80:10;
6,22;71:10,11,14,22;	83:10;91:20;100:21;	package (1)	peculiarly (2)	82:13
72:1;73:13;75:13,16,	118:16	21:19	52:21;53:9	personhood (11)
23;76:6;77:13;80:21,	ordered (3)	page (7)	pending (13)	62:8;75:17;76:24;
22;81:6;82:3;83:6,15;	19:18;54:11;57:11	76:8,20;80:9,14;	12:3,14,15,21;	78:13;80:7;81:15;
86:4,14,14;87:8;	orders (3)	87:1,15;89:4	17:11,16,17;18:15;	82:20;88:5;102:14;
88:19,25;89:9,16,17;	4:20;16:25;43:11	pages (3)	19:22;20:19;23:10;	103:10;108:21
90:8,12,13;91:17,23;	ordinance (1)	75:8;81:6;112:23	96:18;117:25	persons (25)
92:15;94:7,14;97:12,	49:21	palpably (1)	People (9)	32:12;51:20;55:12;
15;98:19;100:15;	Orleans (5)	118:5	31:21;46:14;59:7;	56:5,6,6,7,9,10,10;
101:3,16;103:14;	4:10;7:5;10:23;	paper (2)	66:24;73:25;79:21;	59:13,22;60:3;61:14;
105:11,12;106:25;	21:4;23:15	98:8;99:8	94:15;107:1;109:4	62:15,15;63:3,8,13;
109:6;113:6;115:6;	others (1)	papers (16)	Pepperdine (2)	79:22;88:1,1;94:21;
116:1,9,9;117:18,24,	118:24	14:9,10;16:5,17;	86:19,21	95:14;110:15
25;118:15,22	otherwise (2)	17:20,20;21:22;	per (1)	person's (1)
ones (5)	91:22;105:12	22:12,25;42:21;	97:17	94:15
53:19;69:22;82:10;	ourselves (3)	90:25;91:8,11;97:23;	percent (2)	personship (1)
92:8;118:24	49:23;50:23;100:16	99:5;118:10	35:2;92:25	66:6
only (30)	out (30)	paragraph (2)	perfectly (1)	persuasive (1)
7:3;13:12;20:9,9;	6:3;20:8;21:13;	18:12;26:17	10:21	97:5
27:14,21;43:15;47:7;	22:25;27:24;35:23,	Pardon (1)	Performing (1)	pertains (1)
50:3;55:9;59:16;60:8;	25;36:5;37:16;42:11;	91:9	66:19	119:22
69:11,21;70:21,23;	43:23,25;47:2,11;	park (1)	Perhaps (1)	pet (6)
81:24;86:2,24;88:10,	55:8;56:4;70:22;	56:15	20:6	62:20,24;63:14,17;
24;95:14;102:16;	73:22;74:2,3;83:5;	Parliament (1)	period (4)	92:22;104:22
106:8,23;107:25;	95:8;103:10,14;	53:14	15:13;22:16;73:10;	Peter (2)
108:18;111:10;115:9;	104:15;106:25;107:3;	part (35)	82:14	87:5,6
119:8	113:14,23;119:7	5:12;10:7;11:4,4;	permanently (1)	petition (30)
	outcomes (1)	12:8,9,17;14:18,19;	116:6	3:14;4:9,12;5:5,20;
<b>open (2)</b> 94:12;119:11	6:1	17:5;28:24;30:4;	permission (6)	6:8,23;8:18;12:5;
<b>opinion (17)</b>	outlining (1)	37:24;38:4,6;44:24;	3:14;11:7,25;20:3;	14:16,20,21;39:15,23,
18:9;40:9;41:1,4; 60:14:64:24:73:15	8:5 outset (2)	45:3;46:4;50:21,22;	91:19;97:4	24;40:1;42:9;44:1,7,
60:14;64:24;73:15,	outset (2)	53:14;54:14;56:18;	permit (2)	15,20;91:25;105:13,
15,16;77:15,19,20;	11:8,10	59:6,8;65:12;66:14;	88:19;115:21	19;113:11,13;114:9,
78:3,5;79:15;87:16;	outside (5)	67:2,25;85:14;86:1;	permitted (3)	13,14;115:23
101:10	56:11;66:19;67:9;	87:13;105:1;108:22,	20:6;108:3,20	petitioner (12)
opportunity (3)	73:6,11	22	person (118)	3:11;4:5;7:18;
20:13;115:2;117:4	over (10)	partially (1)	29:19;30:24;31:24;	43:16;90:3;93:22;
oppose (2)	3:5;27:6;64:5,10;	49:15	32:1;36:2,10,12,17,	94:3,19;96:17;97:14;
8:10;99:19	68:5;76:23;90:8;	particular (4)	20,21,22;37:1,4;51:3,	101:21;105:22

# September 23, 2019

petitioners (4) 3:7;5:5;6:10;9:21 petitioner's (7) 4:25;7:10;9:17; 21:3:43:10:44:4; 74:22 petitions (1) 74:25 pets (1) 63:17 **p-h**(1) 24:18 PhD (1) 72.1Phillips (1) 3:20 philosopher (1) 87:5 philosophers (4) 87:18,22,24;88:3 philosophy (1) 29:7 picked (1) 58:25 picks (1) 80:12 place (22) 14:22;31:2,2;32:4, 11;51:24;64:23,25; 65:2;67:20,23;71:15, 16:73:8,22:87:7; 89:10.12:90:14.18: 94:14:102:24 placed (1) 64:20 places (3) 67:17,17,24 plaintiff (2) 116:9.11 plaintiff's (1) 19:8 pleading (1) 22:14 pleadings (2) 16:4;110:9 please (7) 3:22;49:2;74:12; 84:16;85:11;100:22; 115:1 Plessy (2) 116:3,7 Plus (1) 112:20 point (26) 6:6;8:11;12:24; 14:3;21:3,14;26:6; 44:15;45:24;51:10, 12,16,16,16,23;56:4; 75:3;95:23;100:17; 101:3:104:3:111:4: 116:5,17;118:22; 119:7 pointed (1)

47:2 points (3) 27:24;49:4;104:14 policies (1) 102:25 policy (12) 62:5,6,14;80:8,10, 11,13,13;92:21; 101:11;102:14; 104:22 political (2) 87:10,22 Poole (14) 64:12;65:15;67:8, 11,13;68:1,10,13,19; 69:5;70:14;71:6; 73:16,17 poor (3) 51:13,14;90:6 population (4) 35:2;55:12;68:23; 92:25 portion (2) 23:24;119:21 position (3) 9:21;21:7;113:16 positively (1) 85:21 possible (2) 22:25:90:23 possibly (2) 17:17:64:1 post (1) 85:10 Pound (2) 82:12,12 power (2) 9:24:94:4 powerful (1) 78:9 pre (1) 61:16 pre-answer (1) 11:24 precise (1) 80:21 precluded (1) 113:18 preclusion (1) 113:19 pre-decision (1) 85:11 predicament (2) 90:6,10 predicate (1) 96:9 prejudice (1) 23:6 premature (3) 9:11;11:12;19:25 prematurely (2) 9:5;23:18 premise (1)

79:12 present (2) 110:3:113:16 presented (3) 96:21,23;97:8 presenting (1) 92:6 President (3) 24:10,14;26:13 presiding (1) 3:5 Presti (14) 27:8;28:2,7;30:10, 14;32:6,7,10,14,17, 18;79:24;80:3;93:18 P-r-e-s-t-i (1) 27:8 pretty (2) 6:6,11 previous (2) 113:23;119:14 previously (1) 102:12 principal (1) 86:10 principles (1) 5:8 prior (3) 5:25;112:10;119:23 prison (3) 89:7,11,11 prisoner (6) 50:5,21:73:3,4,6; 89:15 prisoner's (1) 73:5 privacy (1) 119:12 privilege (1) 100:17 privileges (3) 76:11,15;82:8 pro (2) 16:17;24:9 probably (11) 30:11;35:2,2;52:4; 53:23;54:4;69:13; 72:8;76:22;98:19; 102:13 problem (4) 39:11,12,15:83:4 problems (6) 55:10,11;67:20,21; 73:21,21 procedural (7) 9:17;42:11;44:1; 75:1,3;108:7;116:17 procedure (3) 14:17;103:22;108:9 proceed (2) 3:13:9:7 proceeding (10) 4:4,6;8:25;18:15;

43:12;51:24;91:24; 107:19:112:10: 119:25 proceedings (4) 4:14;5:12;93:15; 115:10 procure (1) 53:10 Professor (17) 29:5;85:8,10;86:19; 87:10,17,19;88:6,16; 96:24,24;97:1,2,5,24; 98:13:117:1 professors (1) 29:6 Project (35) 3:2;5:25;10:16; 24:9,10,13;25:22; 26:12;27:8,13;30:13; 33:17;34:17;38:13, 18;52:5;56:11;57:19; 58:1;60:12;64:9;81:6; 84:11;91:19;98:23; 105:16,17,23;109:22; 111:13;112:11,13,17; 114:7;119:23 promptly (1) 22:14 pronounce (1) 59:21 proof (1) 100:12 properly (4) 43:11.17:59:19: 74:24 property (1) 31:24 proposed (1) 100:2 proposition (2) 7:22;89:25 protect (3) 72:17,17;97:14 protected (4) 62:9;80:19,20;81:2 protective (1) 118:16 provide (4) 20:9;64:25;84:19; 115:22 provided (4) 95:6;97:1;100:11; 101:24 provides (2) 14:16,19 province (4) 59:20,24;60:1,3 psychotic (1) 73:19 public (10) 57:25;62:5,6,14; 80:8;92:21;94:12; 102:14,25;119:11

### September 23, 2019

published (1) 41:3 purported (1) 98:16 purpose (5) 44:9;58:3;62:8,9; 94:21 purposes (4) 51:19,21;63:14; 110:15 pursuant (3) 4:17;105:23;111:18 pushing (1) 88:8 put (9) 20:8;51:16;54:2; 57:22;64:3;73:6,12; 94:12;105:5 0 quality (2) 68:3;71:18 quick (1) 77:5 quickly (3) 23:4;101:6;118:24 quite (4) 11:16;43:23;51:14; 76:21 quote (23) 19:4:32:4:43:9; 48:3;57:15;76:9; 78:13;79:9;80:15,24; 82:13;83:3,6,16,20, 21;85:22;87:20,21; 90:1,4:91:21:113:15 quoted (4) 37:3:82:23:83:19: 95:11 quoting (6) 51:17;79:9;88:4; 95:14:96:4:115:15 R

Rain (1) 56:18 raise (1) 9:17 raised (4) 5:5;67:14,15;105:2 ran (1) 54:2 rather (7) 5:7;6:5;8:18;11:13; 20:15;87:24;115:4 reach (3) 27:9;29:10;33:25 reactionary (1) 86:19 read (15) 17:24;18:8;40:10;

53:4;73:25;84:16,17; 90:25:91:3.5.7.10.13. 14:101:9 reading (1) 6:21 ready (2) 25:19:75:15 real (4) 63:12;66:6;77:5; 119:24 realize (3) 45:24;77:22;86:16 really (16) 9:20;29:2;42:15; 47:6;67:17,18;69:8; 75:22;77:16;91:21; 94:4,9;103:19; 107:13;110:10;115:5 reason (19) 6:12;13:3;14:5; 20:8;52:7;67:13,20; 70:14;79:25;80:4; 82:16;84:18,18; 85:13;86:2;88:16; 101:20;113:13; 114:15 reasonably (1) 112:16 reasons (9) 11:8,19:27:11; 37:20:76:2:92:5.16; 96:19:112:3 rebut (2) 69:23:110:4 rebutted (1) 69:9 recall (1) 41:8 recaptured (1) 54:2 receipt (1) 23:4 receive (2) 6:15;29:13 received (3) 4:8;6:1;14:25 recently (3) 6:2;101:17;104:6 recess (4) 34:11;48:21;49:1; 100:20 recognized (1) 46:5 recognizing (1) 47:23 record (16) 9:8,14,19;17:24; 18:9;38:4,6;48:1,18; 49:3;51:16;70:24; 100:23,24;119:5,5 redundant (1) 20:9refer (6)

24:25;25:4,4;30:12; 33:20.21 reference (2) 98:11:115:12 referenced (1) 95:10 referred (1) 87:16 referring (2) 18:3:89:23 refile (2) 39:2,2 re-file (1) 26:9 refiled (4) 39:6;40:6;41:21,21 refusal (1) 27:10 refused (3) 7:14;25:24;84:19 regard (1) 21:10 regularly (1) 76:3 regulations (2) 73:1.2 rejected (1) 32:3 rel (1) 89:1 related (2) 25:3:62:12 release (4) 29:21,24;31:4; 88:19 released (1) 27:13 relevant (3) 14:19:15:12:119:25 relied (14) 35:22;37:8,18,24; 78:6;84:13;85:12,17; 86:4,18;89:22,25; 95:11:97:23 relief (12) 7:25;9:1,21;13:15; 30:18;31:1;51:20; 79:11;94:22;97:7; 105:25;110:15 religious (2) 59:6,7 rely (4) 4:25;6:7;85:15; 95:24 relying (5) 12:20;16:22;31:5; 37:13;115:4 remain (1) 27:12 remained (1) 5:8 remains (1) 7:23

remanded (1) 77:25 remarks (1) 51:10 remedy (5) 30:18;64:16,19; 73:20.23 remember (1) 60:2 remind (1) 77:6 reminded (1) 61:8 remove (2) 27:14;66:10 removed (2) 57:21;64:20 renewals (1) 113:3 repeated (1) 110:24 repeating (1) 23:9 reply (3) 48:8;51:10;93:3 **Reporter** (1) 3:8 represent (1) 66:23 represented (3) 109:23:111:13; 112:19 representing (2) 109:24:111:15 reputable (1) 69:14 request (5) 19:9:28:20:94:16: 99:12:119:22 requested (1) 48:13 requests (2) 118:6;119:8 require (1) 12:23 required (5) 20:3;49:19;81:16, 16;92:24 requirement (1) 35:13 requirements (1) 50:25 requires (1) 79:4 res (9) 26:8;39:1,14,25; 113:17,18;114:10; 116:7,13 research (2) 88:12,13 reserved (1) 7:25 resides (1)

4:11resolved (1) 7:2 respect (13) 5:17:6:5:8:4:60:1: 64:4,5;68:18;74:20; 81:20;84:4,4,5; 104:23 respiratory (1) 109:16 respond (14) 15:7:18:19.20; 22:22;97:8;102:9,12; 103:3,5;107:11,20; 110:6;115:1,2 responded (1) 46:16 respondents (17) 3:11;5:24;6:10; 11:3,11,20;12:2,20; 13:22;15:3,11;16:20; 17:15;19:23;20:16; 23:7.10 respondent's (2) 10:18:11:9 responding (2) 14:16;102:7 responds (1) 10:20 response (2) 24:11:102:15 responsibilities (5) 5:14:35:3:45:7; 92:24:104:20 responsibility (1) 34:24 rest (4) 44:20;49:4;95:11; 119:13 restrained (2) 91:22;105:12 restriction (1) 105:18 result (1) 5:10 return (2) 14:20;100:18 returnable (1) 100:4 reverse (3) 77:25:111:2.3 reversed (1) 57:23 Review (5) 29:4,7;86:18;87:3,4 revisited (1) 77:25 Richard (1) 86:21 Right (60) 4:19;5:12;8:20; 11:16;14:11;20:14; 26:3,6,19,23;27:8;

### September 23, 2019

29:17:30:16:34:24; 38:24:39:11:41:16: 42:15:44:25:45:5.14, 21:46:11.12.23: 51:23;54:22,25; 61:21;62:9,21;63:1,3, 10,15,16;67:12; 73:14:75:17:80:3.18, 19;81:2,13,19;82:3,6, 7;92:2,7,9,10,14,20; 93:2;102:16;105:4; 106:3;111:17;115:20 Rights (118) 3:2;5:15,25;7:17; 10:16;24:9,10,12; 25:22;26:12;27:12; 30:13;31:7;32:5,12, 14;33:17;34:17,18, 19;35:3,8,11,12,13; 36:15,18,21,22;37:4, 6,7,10,11,12,16,17,22; 38:12,18;45:6;46:6,9, 13;47:2,3,6,7;50:8; 51:3,4,21;52:4;54:21, 22,25;55:5;56:11; 57:18;58:1,3,16; 59:14,15;60:4,12,25; 61:1,11,24;63:8,9; 64:9;76:1,11,15;81:5; 82:14,20;83:4,6,7,9, 11,12,15,16,17,18,21; 84:9.10.10:85:22.23: 86:9,18,23:91:18; 92:17,17,18;95:3,3, 16,20;98:23;105:15, 17,23;109:22;111:13; 112:11,13,17;114:6; 115:16:119:22 River (2) 56:14,16 **Riverhead** (2) 114:4,6 Rochester (1) 29:23 Roe (5) 61:16,17;77:23; 78:1,4 role (1) 119:22 rolling (1) 66:21 room (1) 3:21 row (1) 113:7 rule (7) 29:16;33:16;37:21; 38:12;40:3,10;108:7 ruled (14) 25:10:29:1,20:33:1, 3,5,14;34:16;35:6,20; 38:14;47:11;55:25; 114:15

**Min-U-Script**®

James Di cheny, et al	
Rules (4)	scientists (3)
8:25;97:17,17;	64:6;69:8,13
116:25	Scotland (1)
ruling (11)	68:7
9:6;37:20,23,24;	screwed (1)
39:7,9;40:14,15;	38:5
58:11;110:17,18	seated (2)
run (1)	49:2;100:22
66:24	Second (35)
rungs (1)	8:14;11:4;12:9;
86:4	14:5;17:23;25:20,
S	26:1,17;27:2,22;
3	29:17;33:12,13,21 38:21,23;39:8,9,13
Sacramento (1)	23,24;63:16;66:25
66:20	67:12;71:21;72:11
Salmond (7)	93:16;97:12;104:1
37:3,10;82:17,19;	107:25;113:24;114
84:9;95:12,13	19;117:18
same (16)	secondary (2)
5:9;6:4,11;38:21;	82:9;85:21
45:11,12;67:14;73:2;	Secondly (1)
74:4;79:17;80:17;	94:18
84:20;89:18;103:13;	section (5)
109:3;115:18	90:12;113:9,10,10
sanctuaries (2)	114:11
66:18;90:22	secure (1)
sanctuary (10)	115:16
27:16;30:2;57:12;	seek (5)
64:18,21;66:22; 69:16;73:23;90:19;	52:1;79:19;90:1; 105:24;106:20
94:8	seeking (2)
satisfy (1)	29:21;31:3
94:15	seeks (1)
saw (6)	34:18
6:10;37:9;60:16;	seem (3)
88:14;119:8,9	66:3;75:24;88:9
saying (40)	seemed (1)
14:1,6;16:2,12;	37:4
24:21;26:18;29:20;	seems (4)
30:15;31:8,9;34:7,17;	32:13,13,14;109:1
36:2,6;37:21,23; 45:15;47:6;50:23;	<b>segue (2)</b> 62:11;77:13
51:1;59:10;62:7;	self (1)
63:25;68:6;69:24;	91:4
72:18,21,24;73:17;	self-awareness (1)
79:15;81:20;83:8;	63:19
90:15;98:4;103:13;	sense (4)
109:22;112:15,24;	3:13;75:23;99:11;
114:19;116:5	112:19
SCHNEIDER (22)	sent (5)
97:10,13,21;98:3,9,	6:18;26:17;38:4;
25;99:3,19,22;100:1,	57:11;58:9
5,11;117:10,14,17,24;	sentence (5)
118:4,12,15,23;119:3, 7	51:18;60:13;83:5, 95:18
School (1)	separate (1)
29:6	90:2
science (1)	separately (1)
87:11	10:6
scientist (5)	September (1)
64:13;69:9,10,12,	48:8
14	series (1)
	1

106:16 serve (2) 8:24:19:9 served (3) 10:20;14:15;118:4 service (1) 100:12 serving (2) 8:18;19:7 set (5) ,25; 21:22;62:14,24; 98:14:113:14 1; settled (7) 3, 6:6;7:24;110:20; 5; 111:3,10;112:24,25 1; seven (1) 19; 7:22 4:7, seventeen (4) 15:9,19;87:17,24 seventy (1) 81:6 several (3) 26:21;37:19;75:8 severe (2) 0; 67:19,21 severely (1) 63:23 shared (1) 6:2 ship (1)54:2 ships (1) 56:10 shoot (1) 53:6 shopping (1) 6:18 short (4) 5:11;8:9;78:8; 87:10 16 shot (1) 116:9 shouting (1) 3:25 show (10) 14:23;18:4;25:23, 24;43:11;47:9;85:14. 24;100:15;119:23 showed (2) 64:11;87:9 showing (2) 61:14;84:22 shows (1) 67:24 ,5; sick (1) 73:18 side (1) 28:24 sign (5) 43:11,17,20;74:24; 98:16 signed (3) 97:18;98:16;99:23

significance (1) 82:13 significantly (1) 15:2 signifies (1) 85:22 signs (1) 66:8 similarly (1) 112:1 simple (3) 29:2,2;111:6 simply (18) 7:24;8:18;11:12; 20:20;26:1,17;30:5; 39:10;42:6,7;56:19; 72:23;76:9;81:21; 83:8;95:7;103:22; 104:13 single (7) 5:4;62:8,9;69:9,10; 71:22;76:12 sister (1) 25:5 sisters (2) 25:1;65:13 sitting (3) 21:4;22:2;68:22 situated (2) 4:6:112:2 situation (4) 15:13;87:6;90:11, 11 six (4) 15:14,14;35:16; 59:25 skip(1) 27:6 slave (3) 54:1:106:18:109:6 slavery (4) 54:6,7,10,13 slaves (5) 54:9;106:17,18,21, 22 slipped (1) 25:11 Smith (5) 88:22,24;89:15,23; 112:24 so-called (1) 73:21 social (13) 63:21;71:1;86:1,10, 17,24;87:20,23,25; 88:3,5,15;92:7 Society (8) 66:19;69:6;90:20; 101:16,18;106:16,19, 24 solely (1) 26:25 solitary (1)

#### September 23, 2019

71:2 somebody (5) 50:6:61:19:67:9; 106:9.10 somebody's (2) 22:14;72:20 somehow (3) 21:5;29:1;35:11 Someone (4) 17:19:96:10:108:2, 5 someone's (1) 89:6 Somerset (6) 53:24;54:12,16; 55:3;109:3,6 sometimes (8) 50:8;56:5,6,7; 77:15;79:22;117:20, 21 somewhat (2) 9:4;57:19 somewhere (4) 21:6;29:23;70:18; 105:5 soon (2) 55:8;85:7 sorry (19) 14:14;23:8;29:8; 34:2:38:5.9:41:6; 45:1,1:49:17:83:2; 84:25;89:23;95:18; 101:4;102:18;106:5, 15:114:21 sort (4) 16:18;38:1;73:2; 107:3 sorts (1) 68:2 sought (7) 9:21;25:23;55:19. 21;57:9,21;105:16 source (5) 85:12,17,21;95:9, 24 sources (2) 82:9;83:1 south (4) 66:18;90:7,9; 106:14 southern (2) 106:17,17 Spaulding (1) 115:7 speak (13) 5:2;16:18;22:18; 49:16;53:11;54:5; 70:20;81:18;86:7,20; 90:24;104:12;114:5 speaking (5) 3:22;35:16;76:25; 81:22;98:19 Special (1)

8:25 species (5) 72:6.8:79:13:80:24; 81:5 specific (2) 18:22;59:1 specifically (12) 9:1;14:22;16:25; 27:24;61:20;62:24; 85:20:87:18:90:5; 103:15;105:10; 111:20 specified (1) 14:22 spectacled (1) 57:19 spectacular (1) 61:14 spelled (1) 24:17 Spencer (1) 41:15 spend (2) 64:6;68:8 spent (6) 64:13;65:4;68:10; 72:2;112:4;119:20 spoken (2) 58:16;66:23 sponte (2) 26:2,18 Square (3) 29:22:94:2:96:10 squarely (5) 93:19,22;94:20; 97:23;115:8 stack (4) 98:8;100:5,7,16 staff (1) 69:8 standing (26) 5:22:54:15:55:14; 91:17,20;93:8;102:6, 7,17,19,19;104:24,25; 105:2,6,9,18,23; 106:2,5,13,24;107:8, 11,14,18 stands (3) 7:21;44:19;89:25 Stanley (6) 26:13:39:4:40:4.5; 105:15;113:24 stare (3) 75:6,10;112:15 start (2) 68:5;70:4 started (2) 52:8:103:17 state (19) 5:22;9:22;16:3; 17:16;29:11,12;35:3; 49:21;51:22;55:12; 61:25;62:13;76:13;

89:7,7:91:23:115:17. 67:5 17.19 studied (2) stated (7) 68:11,14 14:24;19:16,17; study (1) 59:14:72:9:83:3; 68:11 88:22 studying (3) 64:6,14;68:9 statement (6) 6:7:35:17.21; stuff (2) 103:19;115:15;116:1 50:11;68:21 states (2) sua(2)18:13;113:15 26:2,18 stating (1) subject (4) 29:4;59:19;83:4; 81:14 85:22 status (1) 80:22 subjects (2) statute (12) 95:16,21 29:20;49:21;61:16; submission (1) 117:9 62:16,20,23;63:14,18; submit (7) 75:2;92:22;108:24; 111:18 15:5,12,22;19:23; 20:18:58:2:69:14 statutes (2) 50:24;53:16 submitted (8) statutory (8) 18:13;69:10,11,12, 15:6;23:2;52:13,18, 22;85:1,2;101:15 19;58:15;59:15;60:4 submitting (2) 118:20,21 stay (1) 74:2 subsequent (1) stayed (1) 91:24 18:15 subsequently (1) **Stein (45)** 30:110:4,12,14,16,25; substantive (6) 103:24:106:2: 11:16.18:12:12:13:5. 8,17,19,22;14:2,5,12; 108:4.10.22.22 15:17,19,21;16:6,9, suburban (1) 14,20;17:3,14;18:3,6, 71:11 successfive (1) 18,20;19:2,22;20:24; 22:21,22:23:21,23; 44:6 24:2,4,8,14;47:25; successive (14) 48:3,5,7,10 39:15;40:1;42:9; Steven (2) 43:12:44:1,15,20; 24:5,15 74:25;113:11,13,15; S-t-e-v-e-n (1) 114:9,12,14 24:16 sufficient (1) Stewart (1) 35:12 53:25 suggest (4) 22:2;23:2;84:21; still (10) 10:15;12:19,21; 96:11 17:4;18:21;19:22; suggesting (1) 23:10,12;34:8;106:22 21:4 Stony (1) suggests (1) 26:13 15:6 stop (3) sui (1) 17:22,23;92:2 103:15 stopped (1) suit (2) 72:3;91:20 107:3 story (1) suitable (1) 35:22 65:2 stricken (1) sum (1) 11:10 103:6 strike (6) summarize (1) 10:19;13:19;15:4, 118:23 10:22:14:23:3 summarizing (2) 7:17.17 structure (1)

summary (2) 16:19:119:15 summons (3) 19:6,7,10 supplemental (7) 18:23,23;19:5,10; 48:7;67:12;87:1 support (5) 32:10;54:11;82:11; 87:7:95:24 supported (2) 22:3;43:13 supposed (2) 62:4;64:25 Supreme (8) 27:10;33:15;39:20; 58:5,14,17;59:14; 107:2 sure (16) 10:12;13:24;25:11; 29:22;31:16;42:14; 48:2;69:24;70:2;74:7; 99:5,10;109:20; 116:17;117:25; 118:18 Surely (1) 19:1 surface (1) 32:15 surrounding (1) 119:2 survive (2) 65:22:66:12 swallowed (1) 88:11 swam (1) 59:23 synonymous (1) 56:2 synonyms (1) 61:15 systematic (1) 60:9 systems (1) 83:20 Т table (1) 96:17 talk (11) 27:22,23;29:9;33:6, 19;44:13;50:8;62:5; 79:5;82:15;105:10 talked (7) 33:11;61:3;74:16; 75:19;76:7;87:15; 101:11 talking (10) 47:17;61:4;70:4; 72:14,14,15,21;75:8; 83:9,10 talks (5)

### September 23, 2019

7:16;44:21,21; 68:13:113:10 taller (1) 68:17 tantamount (1) 46:22 Tat-Sum (1) 19:2 technically (1) 118:1 telling (1) 30:8 Telos (1) 63:22 **T-e-l-o-s** (1) 63:22 ten (4) 47:19,21;92:24; 102:13 Tennessee (3) 66:22;90:19,19 tenth (1) 55:11 terms (3) 5:10;7:18;14:16 terrible (2) 3:22;73:22 test (1) 58:19 theory (3) 86:2,24;92:8 therefore (2) 55:23:75:4 Third (64) 8:14;27:24;28:10, 21;30:5;33:9,14,20; 34:16:35:9,19:37:8, 11,17,20;38:9,15; 40:16,18;42:8;43:22, 24:45:4,10,11,12,16, 17.22:46:12:47:1.4: 51:17;55:7;60:16; 72:23;78:21;81:11; 83:2,7;84:13,20; 85:12,15,23,24;86:3, 12;87:12;88:10; 93:16;94:19,20,22; 95:2,6;96:1,16,25; 97:6;107:16;110:14; 111:1;115:8 third-party (1) 106:13 thirty (1) 74:1 thoroughly (1) 20:8 though (7) 24:23;39:7;70:9; 78:19;83:17;98:17; 114:3 thought (5) 29:14;53:16;68:16; 101:4;111:12

James Breneny, et al	1		1	September 23, 2019
thousand (5)	4:17,20,21;12:14;	10:6;11:8,18;48:13;	unsuccessful (1)	vice (1)
54:9;69:7,7;72:6,7	17:11;104:8	59:17;61:9;66:18;	96:20	24:9
thousands (3)	translate (1)	69:6;70:23;86:14,18;	untimely (6)	video (2)
46:6,9;47:3	96:6	88:24;92:8;94:6;	11:13,14,17,18;	58:2,9
three (16)	treat (5)	96:21;99:17;103:6;	14:6;15:24	view (1)
8:4,5;9:9;15:11;	10:5;50:6;70:1;	105:16;115:5	unusual (1)	80:16
56:13;58:9;69:7;	73:14,17	type (2)	113:20	violated (1)
70:22;71:19,20;72:6,	treated (3)	66:12;68:21	up (25)	85:16
7;86:4,4;101:16,20	59:19;69:24;72:18	00.12,08.21	3:22;6:12;20:11,20;	violates (2)
threw (1)	treating (1)	U	21:19;26:7;27:23;	104:20,22
42:10	50:15		31:14,18;32:22,24;	violating (1)
throughout (1)	treatment (3)	ultimately (1)	38:5;41:16;49:16;	49:20
106:16	8:6,7;51:13	55:25	53:18;62:24;68:5;	virtually (2)
throwing (1)	treats (1)	um (11)	69:23;80:12;86:3;	5:4;70:12
43:25	50:10	10:20;11:2;13:16;	88:8;100:25;103:6;	voluminous (1)
thrown (1)	trial (2)	14:13;15:23;20:7;	104:6;114:16	9:19
71:2	3:1;21:4	23:20;42:18;49:23;	upon (31)	
timeliness (3)	tribal (1)	53:21;81:23	4:12;6:7;8:12;9:24;	W
15:4,8,22	67:5	unable (1)	16:22;19:10;23:3;	
timely (2)	Tribe (1)	5:13	37:8,18,24;43:25;	Wade (5)
16:23,24	29:5	unanimously (2)	52:1;76:10,17,18,18;	61:17,17;77:23;
times (6)	tried (2)	7:14;29:16	78:6;79:12;80:23;	78:1,4
26:21;73:3,3,4,5;	110:18;116:3	under (30)	81:4;84:13;85:12,15,	wait (2)
87:23	true (9)	5:18;8:25;11:7,19,	17;86:5,18;89:22,25;	22:5;92:2
Time's (1)	62:13;63:1;79:17;	23;29:19;39:16;40:1;	95:11,24;97:23	waived (4)
29:22	86:11,11,12;98:3;	42:9;44:2,7;62:17;	urging (1)	15:4,8,22;22:20
today (8)	112:5;116:2	63:9;75:1;90:3;92:22;	32:16	wants (3)
4:22;6:17;80:25;	trunk (1)	93:15;101:22;106:3;	use (3)	89:10;111:2,3
86:11;96:23;98:20;	73:5	107:23;108:24;113:6,	56:22;89:19;114:18	War (1)
100:4;116:21	trust (7)	10,12;114:2,11,18,18;	used (3)	107:3
told (7)	62:20,24;63:11,14,	115:11;116:6	82:17;90:1;114:2	warranted (1)
26:21;28:16;42:7;	18;92:22;104:22	underlying (1)	using (2)	43:13
43:20,21,22,24	trustees (1)	5:8	82:16;114:11	Wartelle (2)
Tommy (1)	63:4	undermined (1)	usually (1)	85:20,20
81:11	try (3)	63:22	103:10	wash (1)
took (2)	33:4;70:1;74:3	understood (2)	Uttarakhand (1)	73:4
22:16;35:24	trying (8)	79:14;87:22	59:21	washing (1)
total (1)	7:18;22:23;89:7,9,	undisputed (1)	<b>X</b> 7	73:4
119:8	15,17;90:6;119:14	65:3	V	Washington (1)
touch (1)	<b>Tuitt</b> (1)	unfavorable (1)		50:10
49:11	3:4	6:1	value (5)	watch (1)
towards (1)	tunc (1)	unheard (1)	56:21,22,23;77:17;	40:12
111:22 <b>Tracey (1)</b>	16:17 <b>turned (1)</b>	70:12 unique (1)	78:3 values (1)	<b>watching (1)</b> 71:9
4:16	35:23	103:15	102:24	way (26)
track (1)	turns (3)	University (2)	various (1)	6:4,11;13:12;15:22;
100:13	47:11;70:22;106:25	26:14;86:22	44:10	22:23,23;46:16,24,25;
tracked (1)	Tweed (1)	unless (10)	vast (1)	47:10;60:9;62:3;
37:9	52:25	13:20,22,24;16:9,	118:6	72:18;77:18;81:24,
tradition (1)	twenty (1)	20;19:16;97:15;	venue (2)	25;82:10;83:9;90:16,
55:9	71:13	111:1;118:10;119:17	4:10;6:25	24;99:6;106:8,23;
Trainer (1)				
	<b>twenty-five (1)</b> 90:8	unlike (1)	versus (26)	107:25;108:18;
107:4	<b>twenty-five (1)</b> 90:8	<b>unlike (1)</b> 71:20	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8;	107:25;108:18; 114:16
	twenty-five (1)	unlike (1)	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25;	107:25;108:18;
107:4 training (1)	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4)	<b>unlike (1)</b> 71:20 <b>unopposed (1)</b>	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8;	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1)
107:4 training (1) 72:9	<b>twenty-five (1)</b> 90:8 <b>twenty-four (1)</b> 89:14	<b>unlike (1)</b> 71:20 <b>unopposed (1)</b> 99:18	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7;	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14
107:4 training (1) 72:9 transfer (30)	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4)	unlike (1) 71:20 unopposed (1) 99:18 unquote (8)	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7; 77:23;78:1,4;79:21;	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14 weeks (3)
107:4 training (1) 72:9 transfer (30) 4:10;7:5,6;8:10;	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4) 67:1,3;69:17;71:16	unlike (1) 71:20 unopposed (1) 99:18 unquote (8) 76:12;78:14;79:13;	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7; 77:23;78:1,4;79:21; 88:21,24;89:5,14,23,	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14 weeks (3) 15:14,14;58:9
107:4 training (1) 72:9 transfer (30) 4:10;7:5,6;8:10; 10:22,25;11:2,3,4,6;	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4) 67:1,3;69:17;71:16 twenty-three (1)	<b>unlike (1)</b> 71:20 <b>unopposed (1)</b> 99:18 <b>unquote (8)</b> 76:12;78:14;79:13; 83:20;86:10;87:24;	<b>versus (26)</b> 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7; 77:23;78:1,4;79:21; 88:21,24;89:5,14,23, 24;98:23;107:1;	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14 weeks (3) 15:14,14;58:9 welcomed (3) 67:6,10,10 welfare (8)
107:4 training (1) 72:9 transfer (30) 4:10;7:5,6;8:10; 10:22,25;11:2,3,4,6; 12:9,12,16;16:18,24; 17:1,5,9;18:4,5,7,15; 19:14,14,18;21:7,8,9;	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4) 67:1,3;69:17;71:16 twenty-three (1) 63:6 twice (4) 29:18;30:23;31:10;	unlike (1) 71:20 unopposed (1) 99:18 unquote (8) 76:12;78:14;79:13; 83:20;86:10;87:24; 90:4,5 unrebutted (1) 69:4	versus (26) 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7; 77:23;78:1,4;79:21; 88:21,24;89:5,14,23, 24;98:23;107:1; 112:22,24;116:4,7,8 veterinarian (2) 72:12;101:18	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14 weeks (3) 15:14,14;58:9 welcomed (3) 67:6,10,10 welfare (8) 50:24,25;59:10;
107:4 training (1) 72:9 transfer (30) 4:10;7:5,6;8:10; 10:22,25;11:2,3,4,6; 12:9,12,16;16:18,24; 17:1,5,9;18:4,5,7,15; 19:14,14,18;21:7,8,9; 90:1,4	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4) 67:1,3;69:17;71:16 twenty-three (1) 63:6 twice (4) 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:9	unlike (1) 71:20 unopposed (1) 99:18 unquote (8) 76:12;78:14;79:13; 83:20;86:10;87:24; 90:4,5 unrebutted (1)	versus (26) 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7; 77:23;78:1,4;79:21; 88:21;24;89:5,14,23, 24;98:23;107:1; 112:22,24;116:4,7,8 veterinarian (2) 72:12;101:18 veterinary (3)	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14 weeks (3) 15:14,14;58:9 welcomed (3) 67:6,10,10 welfare (8)
107:4 training (1) 72:9 transfer (30) 4:10;7:5,6;8:10; 10:22,25;11:2,3,4,6; 12:9,12,16;16:18,24; 17:1,5,9;18:4,5,7,15; 19:14,14,18;21:7,8,9;	twenty-five (1) 90:8 twenty-four (1) 89:14 twenty-six (4) 67:1,3;69:17;71:16 twenty-three (1) 63:6 twice (4) 29:18;30:23;31:10;	unlike (1) 71:20 unopposed (1) 99:18 unquote (8) 76:12;78:14;79:13; 83:20;86:10;87:24; 90:4,5 unrebutted (1) 69:4	versus (26) 3:2;26:13;27:8; 31:21;33:17;52:25; 53:25;61:4;76:7; 77:23;78:1,4;79:21; 88:21,24;89:5,14,23, 24;98:23;107:1; 112:22,24;116:4,7,8 veterinarian (2) 72:12;101:18	107:25;108:18; 114:16 website (1) 115:14 weeks (3) 15:14,14;58:9 welcomed (3) 67:6,10,10 welfare (8) 50:24,25;59:10;

• /				
west (2)	11;68:13,17,24;69:4,	14:22,22;29:13;		1905 (2)
25:18;27:6	20;70:10,21;74:7,11,	31:3;50:14;53:5,9,10,	Z	112:25;115:5
whales (1)	13;75:16;76:6;77:3,5,	12,21,22;54:3;55:19,		191 (1)
64:2	9,12;78:8,12,18,23;	20,24;57:8,10,21,23;	Zealand (2)	112:23
Whanganui (1)	79:3;80:3;81:14,20;	58:3;62:10;72:19;	56:13,15	1927 (2)
56:14	82:23;83:25;84:3,7,	79:19;81:2;91:25;	Zoo (15)	37:3,9
W-h-a-n-g-a-n-u-i (1)	25;85:2,6,19;86:8,21;	105:13,16;108:2;	4:6;49:20;51:15;	1930 (1)
56:14	89:4;91:6,9,12,14,16;	109:5;113:3,18	57:11,22;64:18,20,23;	116:4
What's (1)	92:4,9,11,13,15,18,	write (1)	65:1;69:16;72:4;	<b>1930's</b> (1)
31:20	21;93:5,7,9;95:10;	68:6	73:10;90:16;94:10;	116:2
whatsoever (2)	96:23;97:9;101:8;	writes (1)	101:15	<b>1947</b> (1)
23:7;86:16	102:2,3,5,7,11,18,21,	87:3	Zoological (2)	95:12
wherever (1) 93:25	23;103:4,7,13,18;	writs (1) 106:20	99:17;101:18	1961 (2)
whole (3)	104:1,4,6,13,17,22, 25;105:4,8;106:12;	written (1)	zoos (1)	89:5;104:14 <b>1972 (2)</b>
64:6;72:2;107:15	107:7,10,21;108:24;	7:10	90:21	61:15;76:20
who's (1)	109:2,10,13,20;110:3;	wrong (21)	-	<b>1975</b> (1)
36:24	111:9,23;112:4,7;	27:22,25;35:24;	1	53:2
whose (2)	114:1,6,24;115:25;	36:2;37:19,25;40:21;	1 (4)	1993 (1)
63:21,21	116:2	46:1;47:12;49:24;	1 (4)	115:7
wide (1)	Wise' (1)	50:2;51:5;81:14;	21:13;66:17;69:15;	1996 (2)
65:17	51:10	82:21;84:14;85:13,	90:15	62:22,23
wild (3)	W-i-s-e (2)	17,24;88:23;94:19,25	<b>1.1 (1)</b> 65:4	19th (2)
57:22;64:14;90:23	24:16,17	wrongful (1)	65:4 <b>1:00 (2)</b>	53:15;82:25
Wildlife (2)	wishes (1)	50:20	34:4;47:19	
69:6;101:15	42:23	wrongly (2)	10 (2)	2
willing (2)	within (14)	50:6;74:1	10:21;35:2	
13:22;16:20	15:9;29:11,12;38:4;	wrote (10)	10/21 (1)	2(1)
win (1)	56:18;60:3,8;67:5;	7:15;39:13;41:1;	99:25	21:13
106:25	70:3;77:23;89:18,18;	53:24;54:10;82:12,	1058 (1)	2:00 (1)
wings (1)	90:4;91:23	24,25;84:11;114:8	87:15	48:20
59:23	without (21)			20 (1)
winning (1)	20:1,1,3;26:1;	Y	10th (3)	87:1
<b>winning (1)</b> 112:18	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10;		<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20	87:1 2003 (1)
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1)	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3;	yard (1)	10th (3)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3;	<b>yard (1)</b> 71:11	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b>	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1)
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244)	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20;	yard (1) 71:11 year (11)	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13;	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b>	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3)
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4	87:1 <b>2003 (1)</b> 19:4 <b>2009 (1)</b> 115:8 <b>201 (3)</b> 76:8,20;80:9
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b>	87:1 <b>2003 (1)</b> 19:4 <b>2009 (1)</b> 115:8 <b>201 (3)</b> 76:8,20;80:9 <b>2014 (1)</b>
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4	87:1 <b>2003 (1)</b> 19:4 <b>2009 (1)</b> 115:8 <b>201 (3)</b> 76:8,20;80:9 <b>2014 (1)</b> 58:13
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17)	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b>	87:1 <b>2003</b> (1) 19:4 <b>2009</b> (1) 115:8 <b>201</b> (3) 76:8,20;80:9 <b>2014</b> (1) 58:13 <b>2015</b> (3)
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14	87:1 <b>2003</b> (1) 19:4 <b>2009</b> (1) 115:8 <b>201</b> (3) 76:8,20;80:9 <b>2014</b> (1) 58:13 <b>2015</b> (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14 <b>16th (1)</b>	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2)
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14 <b>16th (1)</b> 14:24	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1;	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1)
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14 <b>16th (1)</b> 14:24 <b>1772 (1)</b> 53:25	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21
<pre>winning (1)</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43)	<b>10th</b> (3) 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165</b> (1) 19:3 <b>1166</b> (1) 19:3 <b>12:30</b> (1) 34:4 <b>13th</b> (2) 48:8;56:4 <b>157</b> (1) 80:14 <b>16th</b> (1) 14:24 <b>1772</b> (1) 53:25 <b>17th</b> (2)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1)
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,     19,21;32:20,23;33:2,     9,13;34:13,16,23;     35:1;36:7,9,11,14;     37:7;38:3,8,11,20;     39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9,     15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11,</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18;	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14 <b>16th (1)</b> 14:24 <b>1772 (1)</b> 53:25 <b>17th (2)</b> 53:14;88:4	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,     19,21;32:20,23;33:2,     9,13;34:13,16,23;     35:1;36:7,9,11,14;     37:7;38:3,8,11,20;     39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9,     15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11,     16,19,24;42:1,6,13,</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3;	<b>10th</b> (3) 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165</b> (1) 19:3 <b>1166</b> (1) 19:3 <b>12:30</b> (1) 34:4 <b>13th</b> (2) 48:8;56:4 <b>157</b> (1) 80:14 <b>16th</b> (1) 14:24 <b>1772</b> (1) 53:25 <b>17th</b> (2) 53:14;88:4 <b>18</b> (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2)
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,     19,21;32:20,23;33:2,     9,13;34:13,16,23;     35:1;36:7,9,11,14;     37:7;38:3,8,11,20;     39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9,     15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11,     16,19,24;42:1,6,13,     18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, </pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14 <b>16th (1)</b> 14:24 <b>1772 (1)</b> 53:25 <b>17th (2)</b> 53:14;88:4 <b>18 (1)</b> 87:1	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,     19,21;32:20,23;33:2,     9,13;34:13,16,23;     35:1;36:7,9,11,14;     37:7;38:3,8,11,20;     39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9,     15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11,     16,19,24;42:1,6,13,     18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9,     12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, </pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12;	<b>10th</b> (3) 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165</b> (1) 19:3 <b>1166</b> (1) 19:3 <b>12:30</b> (1) 34:4 <b>13th</b> (2) 48:8;56:4 <b>157</b> (1) 80:14 <b>16th</b> (1) 14:24 <b>1772</b> (1) 53:25 <b>17th</b> (2) 53:14;88:4 <b>18</b> (1) 87:1 <b>181</b> (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2)
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,     19,21;32:20,23;33:2,     9,13;34:13,16,23;     35:1;36:7,9,11,14;     37:7;38:3,8,11,20;     39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9,     15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11,     16,19,24;42:1,6,13,     18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9,     12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20,     22;46:1,3,20,23;</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25;	<b>10th (3)</b> 14:14,15;15:20 <b>1165 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>1166 (1)</b> 19:3 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 34:4 <b>13th (2)</b> 48:8;56:4 <b>157 (1)</b> 80:14 <b>16th (1)</b> 14:24 <b>1772 (1)</b> 53:25 <b>17th (2)</b> 53:14;88:4 <b>18 (1)</b> 87:1 <b>181 (1)</b> 112:23	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4
<pre>winning (1)     112:18 wisdom (1)     20:21 Wise (244)     10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16,     19,20;25:7,8,15,17,     20,22;26:12,16;27:1,     3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10,     14,18,20,24;30:9,11,     17,20,22;31:8,14,17,     19,21;32:20,23;33:2,     9,13;34:13,16,23;     35:1;36:7,9,11,14;     37:7;38:3,8,11,20;     39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9,     15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11,     16,19,24;42:1,6,13,     18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9,     12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20,     22;46:1,3,20,23;     47:14,16,22;48:11;</pre>	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8,	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23;	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4;	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2)
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23;	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8,	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23; 50:2,14,19;52:3,24;	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1) 56:11	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4; 92:25;93:14;98:15,	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1) 106:17	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3 3 (2)
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23; 50:2,14,19;52:3,24; 53:2,4,8,12;54:17,20;	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1) 56:11 world (11)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4; 92:25;93:14;98:15, 17;106:14,15,18,22;	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1) 106:17 1874 (2)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23; 50:2,14,19;52:3,24; 53:2,4,8,12;54:17,20; 55:2,14;57:3,5,7,15,	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1) 56:11 world (11) 35:16;52:4;64:5,10;	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4; 92:25;93:14;98:15, 17;106:14,15,18,22; 111:3,10;112:22;	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1) 106:17 1874 (2) 112:23;115:5	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3 3 (2) 21:13;35:7
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23; 50:2,14,19;52:3,24; 53:2,4,8,12;54:17,20; 55:2,14;57:3,5,7,15, 18;58:7,9,13,22,25;	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1) 56:11 world (11) 35:16;52:4;64:5,10; 68:6;69:11;75:21; 76:25;81:7,22;86:25 worth (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4; 92:25;93:14;98:15, 17;106:14,15,18,22; 111:3,10;112:22; 113:17	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1) 106:17 1874 (2) 112:23;115:5 1878 (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3 3 (2) 21:13;35:7 309 (1)
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23; 50:2,14,19;52:3,24; 53:2,4,8,12;54:17,20; 55:2,14;57:3,5,7,15, 18;58:7,9,13,22,25; 59:3,5,12;60:6,8,16, 19;61:13;62:17,20, 22;64:19;65:3,7,11,	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1) 56:11 world (11) 35:16;52:4;64:5,10; 68:6;69:11;75:21; 76:25;81:7,22;86:25 worth (1) 6:9	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4; 92:25;93:14;98:15, 17;106:14,15,18,22; 111:3,10;112:22; 113:17 Yorkers (3)	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1) 106:17 1874 (2) 112:23;115:5 1878 (1) 55:14	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3 3 (2) 21:13;35:7 309 (1) 19:3
winning (1) 112:18 wisdom (1) 20:21 Wise (244) 10:18;24:1,5,7,8,16, 19,20;25:7,8,15,17, 20,22;26:12,16;27:1, 3,6,19,21;28:3,5,10, 14,18,20,24;30:9,11, 17,20,22;31:8,14,17, 19,21;32:20,23;33:2, 9,13;34:13,16,23; 35:1;36:7,9,11,14; 37:7;38:3,8,11,20; 39:6,9,19,22;40:5,7,9, 15,23,25;41:3,6,8,11, 16,19,24;42:1,6,13, 18,20;43:6,19;44:6,9, 12;45:1,3,6,9,18,20, 22;46:1,3,20,23; 47:14,16,22;48:11; 49:4,7,9,10,14,17,23; 50:2,14,19;52:3,24; 53:2,4,8,12;54:17,20; 55:2,14;57:3,5,7,15, 18;58:7,9,13,22,25; 59:3,5,12;60:6,8,16, 19;61:13;62:17,20,	20:1,1,3;26:1; 29:18;30:23;31:10; 32:8;43:9,15;44:3; 45:23;46:10;47:3; 71:3;74:22;75:20; 87:12;91:25;105:13; 117:3 withstanding (1) 116:21 women (1) 56:5 wonderful (1) 73:8 word (1) 76:12 words (7) 42:15;43:7,7;46:18; 60:20;78:14;80:5 work (4) 72:2;77:18;97:8,24 worked (1) 22:24 works (1) 56:11 world (11) 35:16;52:4;64:5,10; 68:6;69:11;75:21; 76:25;81:7,22;86:25 worth (1)	yard (1) 71:11 year (11) 4:5,7;9:4;15:15; 21:16;31:19;56:17; 61:17;70:4;73:13; 77:23 years (17) 35:17;52:5;56:13; 63:6;64:13;65:4,21; 66:11;68:10;70:19; 71:4,12;72:5;74:1,1; 76:23;89:14 York (43) 7:24;24:24;27:16; 29:3,12,12;35:3; 38:22;39:3,5,6,12,19; 42:3;52:21;55:12; 56:10;61:4,5,21,25; 62:13;63:6,9,11;73:8, 9;76:7,13,19;89:4; 92:25;93:14;98:15, 17;106:14,15,18,22; 111:3,10;112:22; 113:17 Yorkers (3)	10th (3) 14:14,15;15:20 1165 (1) 19:3 1166 (1) 19:3 12:30 (1) 34:4 13th (2) 48:8;56:4 157 (1) 80:14 16th (1) 14:24 1772 (1) 53:25 17th (2) 53:14;88:4 18 (1) 87:1 181 (1) 112:23 1830's (1) 106:17 1840's (1) 106:17 1874 (2) 112:23;115:5 1878 (1)	87:1 2003 (1) 19:4 2009 (1) 115:8 201 (3) 76:8,20;80:9 2014 (1) 58:13 2015 (3) 25:22;33:21;40:23 2017 (2) 5:4;33:22 2019 (1) 10:21 21st (1) 24:23 2d (2) 19:3;89:4 2nd (2) 4:8;15:21 3 3 (2) 21:13;35:7 309 (1) 19:3 3rd (3)

4	9	
4 (1)	9 (1)	
21:13	89:4	
<b>104</b> (1)		
8:25 <b>04a (17)</b>		
11:8,19,24;12:4,20,		
22;13:10;16:1,22;		
17:17;19:24;20:3,11,		
16,17,18,20 <b>482 (1</b> )		
89:4		
<b>185 (1)</b>		
89:4	-	
5		
<b>56 (1)</b> 112:22		
598 (1)		
53:2		
6		
	-	
50 (1)		
53:2	-	
7		
(000h (1)	_	
<b>7,000b (1)</b> 114:12		
70 (7)		
14:19;26:4;93:14;		
103:20,20,22;108:13 7000 (1)		
113:10		
<b>7000b</b> (1)		
114:18 7002 (1)		
115:11		
7 <b>002a (4)</b> 91:21;105:10,24;		
106:3		
7003b (11)		
39:16;40:2;42:9; 44:2,7;75:1;113:10,		
10,15,21;114:2		
/008 (2)		
14:15,19 - <b>8.1 (2)</b>		
62:17;92:22		
'th (9)		
98:14,21,22;		
116:19,20,23;117:12, 17,20		
8	_	
8th (4)		
14:14,15;15:1,2		
, -,, <b>-</b>		