

NEW YORK STATE  
SUPREME COURT

COUNTY OF FULTON

-----  
In the Matter of a Proceeding under Article 70 of the CPLR  
for a Writ of Habeas Corpus,

Petitioners,

-against-                      Index No. 02051

PATRICK C. LAVERY, Individually and as an Officer of  
Circle L Trailer Sales, Inc., DIANE LAVERY and CIRCLE L  
TRAILER SALES, INC.,  
Respondents.

-----  
Montgomery County Courthouse  
Fonda, New York 12068  
December 3, 2013

B-E-F-O-R-E:

HON. JOSEPH SISE

Supreme Court Justice

A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S:

ELIZABETH STEIN, ESQ.  
5 Dunhill Road  
New Hyde Park, New York 11040  
Attorneys for the Petitioners

STEVEN M. WISE, ESQ.

THE NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT  
5195 NW 112th Terrace  
Coral Springs, FL 33076

NATALIE K. PROSIN  
NONHUMAN RIGHTS PROJECT, Executive Director

TIMOTHY RILEY  
CHIEF COURT CLERK

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

2

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

3 THE COURT: This is in the matter of an  
4 application for an Order to Show Cause, a petition  
5 made under Article 70 of the CPLR seeking a writ  
6 of habeas corpus for a nonhuman.

7 Can I have the appearance of counsel for the  
8 record?

9 MS. STEIN: Yes. Elizabeth Stein.

10 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Stein. You  
11 are duly admitted in New York state?

12 MS. STEIN: Yes, I am.

13 THE COURT: And I know that from your  
14 submission you are from Hyde Park.

15 MS. STEIN: New Hyde Park.

16 THE COURT: New Hyde Park.

17 MS. STEIN: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: And there's another attorney  
19 here.

20 MR. WISE: I'm Steven Wise.

21 THE COURT: Admitted in New York?

22 MR. WISE: I'm admitted in Florida, but I'm  
23 not admitted in New York.

24 THE COURT: Sometime when you come, you have  
25 to visit the North Country in the summer.

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 MR. WISE: And I think Attorney Stein has a

3 letter to request my being admitted pro hac vice

4 for the purpose of this suit.

5 MS. STEIN: Yes. I have a motion, Your

6 Honor.

7 THE COURT: Why don't you make your motion?

8 MS. STEIN: Yes. I have known attorney

9 Steven Wise for some years now.

10 THE COURT: How many years?

11 MS. STEIN: I've known him for five years,

12 and I know of his work for many years.

13 THE COURT: Legal work?

14 MS. STEIN: His legal work, yes.

15 THE COURT: In what fields?

16 MS. STEIN: In the field of animal welfare

17 and animal rights.

18 THE COURT: Litigation?

19 MS. STEIN: Yes. He is a litigator, Your

20 Honor.

21 THE COURT: My question is to qualify the

22 statement you made where you've known of his works

23 in animal rights.

24 MS. STEIN: Yes.

25 THE COURT: And I ask, in litigation?

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

4

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 MS. STEIN: More so -- no, Your Honor. More  
3 so in his discussion of legal personhood of  
4 nonhuman animals.

5 THE COURT: Well, this is a motion, I take  
6 it, to have Mr. Wise be admitted pro hac vice for  
7 the purpose of litigation of this petition; is  
8 that correct?

9 MS. STEIN: Yes.

10 THE COURT: That's why I ask that question.  
11 Because to be friends and to share legal  
12 discussions is one thing and may be relevant  
13 material. That's why I ask. Do you know of his  
14 representation as a litigator?

15 MS. STEIN: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: How so?

17 MS. STEIN: He has a wonderful reputation as

18 a litigator from his -- well, one of his famous

19 decisions in...

20 MR. WISE: Would it help, Your Honor, if I

21 may say something?

22 THE COURT: Well, she's making the motion,

23 sir.

24 We're off the record.

25 (Discussion held off the record; record

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.

Official Court Reporter

(518) 853-8377

5

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 resumed.)

3 THE COURT: Back on the record.

4 MS. STEIN: Thank you so much.

5 Yes, I am fully aware of Attorney Wise's

6 reputation in the field of litigation. I have

7 followed his different court rulings -- his  
8 different court decisions and the various  
9 applications that he has made.

10 I do have a letter for Your Honor that fully  
11 elaborates Mr. Wise's credentials; and I -- having  
12 been a member of the New York State Bar since  
13 1981, I would recommend that Attorney Wise be  
14 admitted pro hac vice in these proceedings.

15 THE COURT: All right. And that's your wish,  
16 Mr. Wise?

17 MR. WISE: It is, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Okay. The Court grants that for  
19 the purpose of this application for today only  
20 that Mr. Wise is admitted pro hac vice for the  
21 purpose of seeking an order from this Court in  
22 this matter of the Nonhuman Rights Project on  
23 behalf of Tommy vs. Patrick C. Lavery,  
24 Individually, and as an Officer of Circle L  
25 Trailer Sales, Inc., Diane Lavery and Circle L

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2           Trailer Sales, Inc., this index number, 2051.

3           Who would like to address the Court?

4           MR. WISE: I would like to.

5           THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wise, why is it that

6           you choose to bring a petition before this Court

7           on behalf of an animal under Article 70? Is that

8           the only right of redress you have in New York

9           state for what you are seeking?

10          MR. WISE: Yes, it is, Your Honor. We are

11          bringing it because -- a writ of habeas corpus

12          because Tommy is -- we are arguing that Tommy

13          is --

14          THE COURT: For the record, Tommy is what?

15          MR. WISE: Is a chimpanzee, Your Honor.

16          And --

17          THE COURT: Because I didn't make that clear

18          before.



19 MR. WISE: We are claiming that Tommy, number  
20 one --

21 THE COURT: Let me ask you this -- and I  
22 interrupted you not as an indication that you're  
23 not going to have a chance to make a record, but I  
24 want you to answer some questions I have.

25 Do you claim that the treatment of Tommy is

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 not appropriate, Tommy, the chimpanzee?

3 MR. WISE: We are not claiming that it is  
4 illegal. We are claiming that it is inappropriate  
5 for a chimpanzee, but we're not claiming that it  
6 violates any rules, regulations or statutes that  
7 we are aware of, because Tommy is seen as a legal  
8 thing and you can treat him in ways that if he  
9 were a legal person, which we argue he already is

10 under New York State statutory law and he should  
11 be under New York common law, then indeed he is an  
12 autonomous being.

13 THE COURT: Before we get to your legal  
14 argument on why you think Article 70 applies to a  
15 chimpanzee, my question is, is there any other  
16 form of redress, i.e., are you claiming -- and I'm  
17 assuming you have a claim that he's being  
18 mistreated for the sophistication of the animal  
19 that he is, a chimpanzee; if that were so, isn't  
20 there a different way for you to petition the  
21 Court for this relief other than attempting to  
22 have the Supreme Court trial level to enlarge the  
23 definition of human-being under Article 70 to  
24 include an animal, a chimpanzee?

25 MR. WISE: We are most definitely not asking

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2           the Court to redefine the term "human-being."

3           THE COURT: Then the courts have already in  
4           New York found that a chimpanzee is subject -- can  
5           be subject to a writ of habeas corpus?

6           MR. WISE: No, there hasn't been a ruling on  
7           that.

8           THE COURT: All right. That's what I mean by  
9           seeking this enhancement or enlargement of the  
10          definition of who may apply for -- who or what,  
11          for writ of habeas corpus. Isn't there other  
12          forms of redress that you can move for?

13          MR. WISE: Perhaps.

14          MS. STEIN: May I?

15          THE COURT: Sure. Of course.

16          MS. STEIN: Your Honor, unfortunately, I  
17          believe the answer to be no, that under the --

18          THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Are you  
19          saying that you don't have grounds that he's being  
20          mistreated?

21          MS. STEIN: No, because the --

22          THE COURT: The answer is you don't?

23 MS. STEIN: The --

24 THE COURT: Explain what you mean by "No."

25 MS. STEIN: Okay. What I would like to

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

9

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 explain is the notion of mistreatment, because the

3 way the --

4 THE COURT: Okay. I still don't know what

5 you mean yet in your initial answer of "No," so

6 let me just ask it in a better way.

7 MS. STEIN: Sure.

8 THE COURT: Do you have grounds that you can

9 allege that this chimpanzee is being mistreated?

10 MS. STEIN: No. What I --

11 THE COURT: It's not dispositive of the

12 motion; I just want to know what your --

13 MS. STEIN: Yes.

14 THE COURT: So you do have grounds?

15 MS. STEIN: What we do know is that Tommy --

16 THE COURT: You do have grounds?

17 Off the record.

18 (Discussion held off the record; record  
19 resumed.)

20 THE COURT: Back on the record.

21 Let the record indicate that off the record I  
22 explained to counsel that my questions were not  
23 aimed to be dispositive of the issue, that I'm  
24 trying to clarify what the issue is for the Court  
25 so that I am fully aware of the legal grounds and

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 the legal arguments on why you seek this redress  
3 under Article 70.

4 Okay. Please respond as you see fit.

5 MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 We brought a writ of habeas corpus because a  
7 writ of habeas corpus is aimed at the denial of a  
8 legal person, not necessarily a human-being, but a  
9 legal person's right to bodily liberty.

10 THE COURT: Do you have any authority under  
11 New York law or federal law that a legal person  
12 can be defined as chimpanzee or a chimpanzee can  
13 fit within that definition? Do you have any  
14 precedent?

15 MR. WISE: We do.

16 THE COURT: What's the name of the case?

17 MR. WISE: We cite cases in which various  
18 nonhumans have been held to be legal persons.  
19 Some of them are New York --

20 THE COURT: You're talking about habeas  
21 corpus cases or no?

22 MR. WISE: No. There's not a habeas corpus  
23 case on that.

24 THE COURT: In what type of case has a  
25 nonhuman been held as a human-being?

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

11

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 MR. WISE: Well, aside from the average,  
3 which is ships and corporations and partnerships  
4 and states, there are also cases in other common  
5 law jurisdictions. There is an Indian Supreme  
6 Court case where the holy books of the Sikhs have  
7 been held to be a legal person. There's another  
8 Indian case with Hindu idols. There was a treaty  
9 last year between the Crown of New Zealand and the  
10 Maori Tribes in which a river was held to be a  
11 legal person.

12 A legal person is not synonymous with a  
13 human-being, as we talked about in our memorandum.

14 A legal person is an entity that the judicial  
15 system here -- we're asking this Court to begin to  
16 consider it, that the judicial system considers is

17 important enough so that it's visible and its  
18 interest, whether it's a river or a Hindu idol or  
19 a holy book or corporation or -- and I must say,  
20 this Court also -- not this Court but this state  
21 was a leader in holding blacks in the antebellum  
22 north before the Civil War were also legal persons  
23 who were subject to writs of habeas corpus. The  
24 Lemmon vs. People case is probably the most famous  
25 and one of the strongest, most powerful statements

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

12

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 in the United States of holding a black person who  
3 was a slave when he was taken into here, into New  
4 York, and he was -- he came -- they came out  
5 persons.

6 These were based on the famous case of  
7 Somerset vs. Stewart, which was 1772 England, a



8 common law writ of habeas corpus case which was  
9 absorbed into the common law of New York when New  
10 York became a state. And that was for the first  
11 time you had a black slave who was seen as a legal  
12 thing, was able to come into court, went in front  
13 of --

14 THE COURT: Court's not even going to  
15 consider that as synonymous, so you'll have to use  
16 your other cases. I'm just telling you, the Court  
17 will reject that argument, the argument that the  
18 cases involving human-beings who were slaves in  
19 the 1800s as synonymous with a chimpanzee. I  
20 reject it.

21 MR. WISE: We're not making that --

22 THE COURT: However, I don't reject your  
23 ability to argue further in this regard.

24 MR. WISE: We're not comparing chimps to  
25 blacks. We are not at all. What we're doing is

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2           saying there's been a whole spectrum of legal  
3           things, and that includes rivers and idols and  
4           corporations and black slaves. And they have been  
5           able to in the appropriate cases argue that they  
6           are indeed legal persons, that their interests  
7           should be acknowledged and they should have the  
8           capacity to have certain kinds of rights.

9           Now, we argue, Judge, and I think this is  
10          very important, number one -- there's two reasons  
11          why Tommy fits that. Number one, the Pet Trust  
12          Act in New York specifically says that an animal  
13          like Tommy can be the beneficiary of a trust. We  
14          have indeed set up a trust for Tommy. There has  
15          been -- the only case in New York under the Pet  
16          Trust statute indeed held that --

17          THE COURT: This is all in your papers,  
18          correct?

19          MR. WISE: Yup.

20          THE COURT: Let's turn to the reason why

21           you're here. What is it about Tommy and his  
22           treatment that causes you to seek this writ of  
23           habeas corpus?

24           MR. WISE: Thank you very much for asking.

25           Your Honor, in March of this year, we decided

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

14

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2           that we wanted to file a writ of habeas corpus on

3           behalf of two chimpanzees. In April --

4           THE COURT: "We" as in --

5           MR. WISE: "We," the Nonhuman Rights Project.

6           Both of them are dead. We then were

7           concerned about this and we identified all five

8           chimpanzees who were alive in the state of New

9           York. One of them have died. Three of the seven

10          chimpanzees in the state of New York are now dead

11          in the last seven months. We are now filing a

12 lawsuit here on behalf of Tommy, we're filing  
13 another lawsuit in Niagara Supreme Court on behalf  
14 of Peto, and we're filing another lawsuit in the  
15 Supreme Court in Suffolk County on behalf of  
16 Hercules and Leo.

17 We believe that all chimpanzees in the state  
18 of New York should be declared legal persons, that  
19 there is ample precedent to do that. They already  
20 are legal persons under the Pet Trust statute.

21 And if not -- or in addition to it, under the  
22 common law, they ought to be. They're fully  
23 autonomous, extraordinarily complex beings, and  
24 their autonomy, their ability to self-determine,  
25 ability to make choices --

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 THE COURT: Is that why you're making this

3 argument, because the level of sophistication of a  
4 chimpanzee versus some other animal? Is that what  
5 your argument rests on?

6 MR. WISE: There are two arguments.

7 THE COURT: No, no, no. The argument you  
8 just made.

9 MR. WISE: That part rests not on the general  
10 cognitive sophistication but on the fact that  
11 chimpanzees possess the autonomy that New York  
12 courts highly value in human-beings.

13 THE COURT: But you're not making your  
14 argument and differentiating the chimpanzee from  
15 other animals, are you?

16 MR. WISE: We are, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: So it does matter, the cognitive  
18 ability of a chimpanzee, in your argument.

19 MR. WISE: Absolutely. My --

20 THE COURT: It would be important for you to  
21 understand what my questions are.

22 MR. WISE: Sometimes I don't get it, so --

23 THE COURT: Stop. I'll give you a full

24 opportunity to be heard, but this is not a  
25 discussion.

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

16

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 My question to you is you're differentiating  
3 chimpanzees from other animals. It's key to your  
4 argument. Right?

5 MR. WISE: We are differentiating.

6 THE COURT: A chimpanzee from a dog, from a  
7 horse, from a zebra, from --

8 MR. WISE: But you --

9 THE COURT: You haven't heard what I'm  
10 asking. You're doing it again.

11 MR. WISE: I apologize.

12 THE COURT: Sit down. Sit down, please.

13 MR. WISE: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: Here's my question. Perhaps you

15           won't need to respond when you're sitting and then  
16           you can stand when you want to respond.

17           It strikes me that you're making an argument  
18           and part of your argument - and I see that  
19           Ms. Stein and your associate are shaking their  
20           heads - that it's the level of sophistication of  
21           the chimpanzee that is important here, and so I am  
22           asking to flush out that issue as opposed to other  
23           animals. It's important as part of your argument  
24           that a chimpanzee is more sophisticated than other  
25           animals. And I'm asking, is that important to

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

17

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2           your argument? Because it sounds like that's what  
3           you're saying.

4           MR. WISE: We are saying that but not in a  
5           general manner of sophistication. It's because

6 they are autonomous.

7 THE COURT: Says who? And I say that  
8 because -- I'm asking the question because that's  
9 beyond your ken and beyond my ken. It's beyond  
10 the ken of the normal fact-finder. You're stating  
11 something that only expert testimony could supply.

12 MS. STEIN: Yes, Your Honor. That is why, in  
13 fact, we have the affidavits attached to the  
14 petition and the memorandum of law from the most  
15 renown primatologists in the world. They are from  
16 Sweden, Germany, England, Scotland, Japan and five  
17 of them within the Continental United States.

18 THE COURT: So, what is it that you are  
19 asking the Court to do in terms of Article 70,  
20 make an exception for chimpanzees only?

21 MR. WISE: We are asking only that --

22 THE COURT: You understand the question,  
23 right, the legal reasoning or the legal conundrum  
24 the Court is in based upon your argument?

25 MR. WISE: We are -- in a specific legal way,

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377



1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2           we're simply asking that you issue the writ of  
3           habeas corpus on behalf of Tommy; in a general  
4           way, on behalf of chimpanzees.

5           THE COURT: You're asking the Court to  
6           recognize chimpanzees over other animals and  
7           things as a person. That's what you're asking me  
8           to do --

9           MR. WISE: That's --

10          THE COURT: -- specifically for Tommy.

11          MR. WISE: Partly so, Your Honor. We are  
12          asking that -- we are saying that the reason that  
13          this Court should do that is Tommy, as these  
14          experts pointed out, is autonomous and that a  
15          chimpanzee, a gorilla and an orangutan, a bonobo,  
16          those are all the great apes, they are almost  
17          certainly as autonomous as Tommy is. But we don't  
18          know that. We haven't proven that. What we have

19 proven clearly is that Tommy and chimpanzees are  
20 autonomous, and that's as far as we want to go.

21 So, we are asking that this Court recognize  
22 that chimpanzees have what it takes for legal  
23 personhood within the meaning of the habeas corpus  
24 statute, which is autonomy, self-determination,  
25 self-agency, the ability to choose how to live

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

19

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 their lives. That's what we're asking.

3 THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

4 MR. WISE: We have so much, Your Honor. We  
5 have a lot that we have to say, but I'm interested  
6 in specifically addressing any other questions you  
7 may have.

8 We're asking that you issue the writ of  
9 habeas corpus, too, so that we can flush out what

10 we think are very complex legally, interesting and  
11 significant issues; and that specifically we are  
12 concerned that Tommy is going to die and the other  
13 chimpanzees are going to die, like the three  
14 chimpanzees have died in the last seven months.

15 THE COURT: I think before we reach the  
16 merits -- and when I say the "merits," the merits  
17 of whether or not Tommy is being mistreated as a  
18 highly sophisticated animal, you first would have  
19 to meet the threshold that Article 70 should apply  
20 to a chimpanzee.

21 And so when I say "anything further,"  
22 anything further on the argument of whether or not  
23 this Court should recognize Article 70 to include  
24 chimpanzee, specifically this chimpanzee, Tommy,  
25 as part of a protected class that can seek a writ

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2           of habeas corpus? Anything further in that  
3           regard?

4           MR. WISE: I do, Your Honor.

5           So, the writ of habeas corpus says that  
6           anyone may seek a writ of habeas corpus when a  
7           person is being imprisoned. It does not say  
8           "human-being." It says "person." Part of our  
9           memorandum specifically points out that  
10          "human-being" is not a synonym for "person,"  
11          "person" is not a synonym for "human-being."  
12          Throughout history, which we clearly pointed  
13          out, there have been human-beings who have not  
14          been legal persons for purposes of habeas corpus  
15          and there have been nonhuman-beings who are legal  
16          persons for purposes of writs of habeas corpus.  
17          There is some requirement other than being human,  
18          though we do believe and we would argue that -- at  
19          least in the year 2013, that being a member of the  
20          species *homo sapiens* is indeed a sufficient  
21          condition for personhood, but there are other

22 sufficient conditions for personhood, as well; and  
23 we would argue that based upon New York law common  
24 law, US Supreme Court has talked about common law,  
25 that indeed autonomy is one of the most highly

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

21

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 protected attributes of human-beings. Court of  
3 Appeals of New York will allow you to die.  
4 They'll allow you to take your own life. They'll  
5 allow you to represent yourself in court, even  
6 though we all know you're going to lose.

7 Autonomy is an extraordinarily important  
8 attribute, and we argue that autonomy -- that a  
9 being who is autonomous, who can choose, who is  
10 self-aware, these, Your Honor, are essentially us.  
11 They're so extraordinarily close to us.

12 We have presented 150 pages of affidavits

13 from the world's greatest primatologists who set  
14 out in specific and even excruciating detail just  
15 how from language to culture -- these beings have  
16 cultures, there are cultures, they have language.  
17 They can use human language. They can use  
18 chimpanzee language. They are extraordinarily  
19 similar to us. And if we focus in on not just how  
20 they look, their brains are similar to us, the way  
21 their brains work are similar to us. They're  
22 essentially almost us. And if you focus on the  
23 issue of autonomy, self-determination, choice,  
24 that those are such powerful concerns of the  
25 courts of New York that a being who can

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 demonstrate, which we do demonstrate, that they  
3 indeed have that autonomy, that is a sufficient

4 condition for legal personhood.

5 Plus, under the Pet Trust statute, the New  
6 York legislature has already determined that they  
7 are legal persons, because Tommy is a beneficiary  
8 of a trust that we have created. We created it  
9 for him. He owns the corpus of his trust. He can  
10 sue. And, indeed, Attorney Stein is the enforcer  
11 of that Pet Trust statute. So he already has  
12 certain kinds of rights, and we're saying that he  
13 should also have the fundamental right to bodily  
14 liberty that protects his fundamental interest in  
15 bodily liberty.

16 Now, that is an argument as a matter of  
17 liberty. We have another argument under common  
18 law equality in New York that Tommy should -- the  
19 only reason that someone could not issue a writ of  
20 habeas corpus on behalf of Tommy is, one, that he  
21 is a chimpanzee. And we look at the case of Romer  
22 vs. Evans, and in Romer vs. Evans you have Justice  
23 Kennedy saying that -- striking down Amendment 2  
24 of a Colorado constitution saying that to choose a  
25 single trait and essentially strip someone, in

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

23

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2           that case, gay human-beings of all their rights  
3           because they have a single attribute so  
4           fundamentally undermines the argument both from  
5           constitutional equality for our purposes, more  
6           importantly, from common law equality, that it is  
7           violative of equality. And the only difference --  
8           essential difference between Tommy and myself is  
9           that I'm a human-being and Tommy is a chimpanzee.  
10          Other than that, autonomy has the  
11          self-determination, self awareness. We have  
12          probably 40 different attributes that show a  
13          complex cognition, a very complex one. He has  
14          essentially the same as we have.  
15          And so not only as a matter of liberty, but  
16          as a matter of equality under the common law,



17 Tommy should be entitled to be viewed as a legal  
18 person as well and he also for the exact same  
19 reasons should be entitled to the right to bodily  
20 liberty which the common law -- or the common  
21 law --

22 THE COURT: What's the standing?

23 MR. WISE: Standing -- actually, we have a  
24 section on standing, but, essentially, the writ of  
25 habeas corpus is a different sort of cause of

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)  
2 action in that a person who is being imprisoned  
3 generally is not able to leave the place of  
4 imprisonment to come and seek a writ of habeas  
5 corpus. So what happens is that the usual  
6 standing requirements are exceedingly relaxed so  
7 that a third party -- in fact, under the statute,

8 it says anyone can come in and seek a writ of  
9 habeas corpus on behalf of a person who is  
10 imprisoned. That's what we do. That's what the  
11 Nonhuman Rights Project does. But even if it  
12 wasn't, any person could come in and seek a writ  
13 of habeas corpus on behalf of Tommy under the  
14 statute as well as under the constitutional law.

15 THE COURT: The trust you say that's set up  
16 for this chimpanzee, has it been used by the owner  
17 of the chimpanzee or is it --

18 MR. WISE: The -- I am so sorry, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: That's okay. Go ahead. You were  
20 going to answer. Go ahead.

21 MR. WISE: The answer is the trust is for the  
22 care and maintenance of Tommy, and so we have --  
23 right now he's being treated as a legal thing. We  
24 hope he's going to be treated as a legal --

25 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Is the trust monies

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

1           Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2           used for Tommy?

3           MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor.

4           THE COURT: So the owner of Tommy has been

5           using the money?

6           MR. WISE: Nope. There is no -- the trust is

7           not for Tommy as a legal thing. Tommy cannot --

8           Tommy could not --

9           THE COURT: You said the trust is used for

10          his care.

11          MR. WISE: No. The trust shall be used for

12          his care.

13          THE COURT: So it hasn't been used yet.

14          MR. WISE: It hasn't been used for his care,

15          because the Nonhuman Rights Project has spoken

16          to -- has arranged with the North American Primate

17          Sanctuary Alliance, who has a string of primate

18          sanctuaries throughout the United States, they

19          have several of them in which they have some

20 spectacular sanctuary, they're going to take care

21 of Tommy and we're going --

22 THE COURT: Has the owner been approached and

23 will not sell Tommy, will not release Tommy? Has

24 it even been approached?

25 MR. WISE: This owner has not been

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.

Official Court Reporter

(518) 853-8377

26

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2 approached.

3 THE COURT: This owner has not been

4 approached?

5 MR. WISE: Tommy is just there. We've seen

6 him.

7 THE COURT: All right. What else? Anything

8 else?

9 Ms. Stein, anything else?

10 MS. STEIN: No, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Your impassioned representations  
12 to the Court are quite impressive. The Court will  
13 not entertain the application, will not recognize  
14 a chimpanzee as a human or as a person as a person  
15 who can seek a writ of habeas corpus under Article  
16 70. I will be available as the judge for any  
17 other lawsuit to right any wrongs that are done to  
18 this chimpanzee because I understand what you're  
19 saying. You make a very strong argument.  
20 However, I do not agree with the argument only  
21 insofar as Article 70 applies to chimpanzees.

22 Good luck with your venture. I'm sorry I  
23 can't sign the order, but I hope you continue. As  
24 an animal lover, I appreciate your work.

25 MS. STEIN: Thank you. And I in no way was

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

2 trying to avoid your answer.

3 THE COURT: No.

4 Off the record.

5 (Discussion held off the record; record  
6 resumed.)

7 THE COURT: Anything further for the record?

8 MS. STEIN: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

9 MR. WISE: Thank you. We certainly  
10 appreciate it.

11 THE COURT: This Court will maintain this  
12 verified application and petition as part of the  
13 record, and it will be held on file for a  
14 reasonable period of time before it is condensed  
15 and removed.

16 Thank you. Good luck.

17 (Whereupon, the proceedings held in the  
18 above-entitled matter were concluded.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377

28

1 Writ of Habeas Corpus (12/3/13)

2

3

4 C E R T I F I C A T I O N

5

6

7 I, KAREN L. KOLTERMAN, a Certified Shorthand

8 Reporter, an Official Court Reporter and Notary

9 Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby

10 CERTIFY that the foregoing record was taken by me

11 at the time and place as noted in the heading

12 hereof, was recorded stenographically by me, and

13 that the foregoing transcript is a correct and

14 accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, to

15 the best of my ability and belief.

16

17

18

19

---

KAREN L. KOLTERMAN  
Certified Shorthand Reporter

20

21

22

23

24

25

Karen L. Kolterman, C.S.R.  
Official Court Reporter  
(518) 853-8377